

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

ESPS manuscript NO: 16876

Title: Gingival enlargements: Differential diagnosis and review of literature

Reviewer's code: 00742049

Reviewer's country: China

Science editor: Yue-Li Tian

Date sent for review: 2015-02-02 08:22

Date reviewed: 2015-04-16 09:24

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a non-systematic literature review on gingival enlargement. It is fairly comprehensive and could serve as a quick reference for clinicians who encounter such problems. However, the manuscript contains quite a number of incorrect materials and I'd recommend publication of this article ONLY IF the authors are willing to carry out revision as suggested as the following: General: There were quite a number of typos which needed to be rectified. Page 4: epulis usually refers to pyogenic and fibrous granuloma ONLY. Peripheral giant cell granuloma is a gingival lesion BUT not an epulis. Authors should also discuss the relation between pyogenic and fibrous granuloma. page 5: gingival cyst is NOT a epulis, nor central giant cell granuloma/ameloblastoma/lipoma/etc a gingival lesion. periapical or periodontal abscess is not a gingival lesion either !! page 7: it is not an appropriate practice to ask the patient's physician to change the medication solely because of the side effect of gingival enlargement. Also, idiopathic means no known cause, and so should not be classified as one type of genetic disorder. page 8: hormonal changes make the host more prone to gingivitis caused by dental plaque. The change itself is NOT a cause of gingival enlargement. page 22: tree diagram: please incorporate the above suggestions, also authors should note that



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

mucocoeles is not a gingival lesion as it usually develops in non-keratinised mucosa only. It will be a great improvement if clinical pictures are included in the presentation.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

ESPS manuscript NO: 16876

Title: Gingival enlargements: Differential diagnosis and review of literature

Reviewer's code: 00722239

Reviewer's country: Japan

Science editor: Yue-Li Tian

Date sent for review: 2015-02-02 08:22

Date reviewed: 2015-02-08 20:01

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a good review of diseases causing gingival enlargement. The differential diagnosis and the diagnostic strategy are intelligibly documented. This review would be good reference for the clinician particularly in the field of dental or oral surgery. If this review contains some typical clinical and/or pathological pictures, this article would be more excellent.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

ESPS manuscript NO: 16876

Title: Gingival enlargements: Differential diagnosis and review of literature

Reviewer's code: 00724887

Reviewer's country: India

Science editor: Yue-Li Tian

Date sent for review: 2015-02-02 08:22

Date reviewed: 2015-05-08 12:38

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> [Y] Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> [] The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> [] High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> [Y] Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> [] Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> [Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> [] Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> [] The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> [] Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> [] Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> [Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Well written review