

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Hepatology

ESPS manuscript NO: 13695

Title: Diagnosis and Treatment of Hepatocellular Carcinoma: An Update

Reviewer code: 00069262

Science editor: Yue-Li Tian

Date sent for review: 2014-08-30 11:22

Date reviewed: 2014-11-04 03:16

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

It's interesting work, I suggest making the following changes: 1. It should be considered mini-review. Of the 54 pages of the article, half are references, figures and images. 2. We can summarize the three images into a single image? 3. The figures are made ??by the authors? or are primary sources? have permissions? Figures can be summarized into one? 4. I ??strongly suggest updating the literature, 50% is more than five years. The review is an update? Very old references

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Hepatology

ESPS manuscript NO: 13695

Title: Diagnosis and Treatment of Hepatocellular Carcinoma: An Update

Reviewer code: 02860874

Science editor: Yue-Li Tian

Date sent for review: 2014-08-30 11:22

Date reviewed: 2014-10-19 10:13

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

According to the title this review pretends to be "an update", but in my opinion this aim was not achieved. Many of the references cited by the authors are over 5 to 10 year-old, or even more old, for example, in the Core Tip authors say "This paper reviews the most recent evidence on hepatocarcinoma including its molecular pathogenesis", the references are so old as 1996 or 1997, all this articles have been cited in other reviews and guidelines, then the present review not achieved the aim to be an update. On the other hand, most of the more recent references cited by the authors 2010 - 2014 are also review articles, one of them even was published in World J Gastroenterology recently. but they did not include original studies related to pathogenesis, diagnosis and new therapeutic options. This review do not provides additional important information different from what is already described in guidelines. In Pubmed there is a lot of new original research about this topic that would be interesting include in an update. Just for mention some examples of new potential therapeutic targets and new discovers related to pathogenesis which authors did not take in count: Development of T Cells Redirected to Glypican-3 for the Treatment of Hepatocellular Carcinoma. Gao H, Li K, Tu H, Pan X, Jiang H, Shi B, Kong J, Wang H, Yang S, Gu J, Li Z. Clin Cancer Res. 2014,[Epub ahead of print] PMID: 25320357 Development of a Novel Azaspirane That Targets the JAK-STAT Pathway in Hepatocellular Carcinoma In Vitro and In Vivo. Mohan CD, Bharathkumar H, Bulusu KC, Pandey V, Rangappa S, Fuchs JE, Shanmugam MK, Dai X, Li F, Deivasigamani A, Hui KM, Kumar AP, Lobie PE, Bender A, Basappa, Sethi G, Rangappa KS. J Biol Chem. 2014[Epub ahead

of print] PMID: 25320076 Loss of CD155 expression predicts poor prognosis in hepatocellular carcinoma patients. Qu P, Huang X, Zhou X, Lü Z, Liu F, Shi Z, Lü L, Wu Y, Chen Y. Histopathology. 2014 Oct 15. doi: 10.1111/his.12584. [Epub ahead of print] PMID: 25320076 Silencing ADAM10 inhibits the in vitro and in vivo growth of hepatocellular carcinoma cancer cells. Liu S, Zhang W, Liu K, Ji B, Wang G. Mol Med Rep. 2014 Oct 15. doi: 10.3892/mmr.2014.2652. [Epub ahead of print] PMID: 25323956 Granulin-epithelin precursor renders hepatocellular carcinoma cells resistant to natural killer cytotoxicity. Cheung PF, Yip CW, Wong NC, Fong DY, Ng LW, Wan AM, Wong CK, Cheung TT, Ng IO, Poon RT, Fan ST, Cheung ST. Cancer Immunol Res. 2014

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Hepatology

ESPS manuscript NO: 13695

Title: Diagnosis and Treatment of Hepatocellular Carcinoma: An Update

Reviewer code: 00052707

Science editor: Yue-Li Tian

Date sent for review: 2014-08-30 11:22

Date reviewed: 2014-11-09 07:23

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

To editor/authors: It is very interesting work. It is valuable to be published. But English writing and figure legends should be modified. Some errors should be checked and corrected (as: J Hepatol 21012;56:908-943).