88395_Auto_Edited.docx

Name of Journal: World Journal of Methodology

Manuscript NO: 88395

Manuscript Type: REVIEW

Crohn's Disease and clinical management today: how it does?

Ronaldo Teixeira da Silva Júnior, Jonathan Santos Apolonio, Jessica Oliveira de Souza Nascimento, Bruna Teixeira da Costa, Luciano Hasimoto Malheiro, Marcel Silva Luz,

Lorena Sousa de Carvalho, Cleiton da Silva Santos, Fabrício Freire de Melo

Abstract

Crohn's Disease (CD) is an Inflammatory Bowel Disease and is characterized by an immune-mediated nature. Its etiology results from the interaction between genetic, enviromental and microbial factors. Regarding pathophysiology, it involves high levels of IL-12, IL-17, and Th1 profile, along with loss of tolerance mechanisms, an increase in pro-inflammatory interleukins, beyond the possibility to affect any part of the gastrointestinal tract. Its symptoms include abdominal pain, chronic diarrhea, weight loss, anorexia, and fatigue, as well as blood in the stool or rectum. Additionally, conditions comprising musculoskeletal, cutaneous, ocular, hepatic, and hematological alterations may be associated with this scenario and extra-intestinal presentation, such as erythema nodosum, anterior uveitis, osteoporosis, and arthritis can also occur. Today, clinical history, exams as fecal calprotectin, ileocolonocopy, and capsule endoscopy can be performed in the diagnosis investigation, along with treatments to induce and maintain remission. In this sense, anti-inflammatory drugs, such as corticosteroids, immunomodulators, and biological agents, as well as surgery and nonpharmacological interventions plays a role in its therapy. The aim of this review is to bring more current evidence to clinical management of CD, as well as to briefly discuss aspects of its pathophysiology, surveillance, and associated disorders.

5 INTRODUCTION

Inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) is a chronic condition characterized by inflammation in the gastrointestinal tract (GI)[1-3]. It encompasses a variety of diseases, with Crohn's Disease (CD) and Ulcerative Colitis (UC) being the primary recognized types^[2,3]. These diseases are persistent, debilitating, recurrent, and immune-mediated, affecting the digestive system^[4,5] . The etiology of CD is multifactorial and results from the interaction between genetic and environmental factors and microbial exposure [6] . Several genes have been associated with CD, with the most evident link being related to the NOD2/CARD15 gene, which is associated with an earlier onset of the disease and a family history of CD[7]. Environmental risk factors include smoking, infections (especially Clostridium difficile, linked to disease relapses), use of medications (for example, antibiotics, mainly in the first year of life, aspirin, non-steroidal antiinflammatory drugs, and oral contraceptives), a low-fiber diet, and stress[8]. Currently, the incidence of IBD is notably increasing, especially in developing countries and recently industrialized nations^[9]. The disease, previously considered predominantly an affliction of young adults, is now diagnosed across all age groups, with about 25% of patients identified before the age of 20. The peak occurrence in childhood is during adolescence, but approximately 20% of children develop it before the age of 10, and about 5% before the age of 5 [10]. Epidemiological studies in Japan also indicate an increase in IBD incidence, especially in males^[11]. In Brazil, it is considered to have low rates of IBD. However, there are indications of an increase in its occurrence, even in the absence of detailed information on new cases[12]. Regarding age, research indicates a notable prevalence in individuals between 20 and 50 years old. Age groups between 20 and 60 years exhibited the highest rates of disease, with women registering a higher incidence in both CD and UC^[13]. In the context of IBD, inflammation of the intestinal mucosa triggers symptoms such as abdominal pain, diarrhea, presence of blood in stools, weight loss, and the infiltration of immune cells like neutrophils and macrophages that release inflammatory substances, enzymes, and free radicals, contributing to lesions and ulcerations^[2]. CD causes segmental inflammation that can affect any part of the digestive system, from the mouth to the anus. It often results in deeper ulcers that traverse all intestinal layers, potentially leading to the formation of fistulas and associated complications^[5]. Although it most commonly affects the gut, this inflammatory condition can impact multiple organs^[14, 15]. The frequency of extraintestinal manifestations ranges from 6% to $47\%^{[16]}$ and includes joint, mucocutaneous, hepatopancreatobiliary, and ocular manifestations^[17, 18]. The impact of IBD on patients' quality of life is significant, affecting physical and mental health as well as work performance. Moreover, it imposes a substantial burden on healthcare systems due to its chronic and recurrent nature. If inflammation is not properly controlled, serious complications can arise, such as abdominal abscesses, strictures, and intestinal obstructions, increasing the risk of developing tumors in the GI tract^[5]. Therefore, this review aims to describe the current clinical management of Crohn's Disease to assist healthcare professionals in providing adequate care for these patients.

3 METHODOLOGY

For this review, the authors surveyed relevant articles in the United States National Library of Medicine (PubMed). The descriptors used, along with Boolean descriptors AND/OR, were: Crohn's Disease; pathophysiology; immunology; diagnosis; clinical management; pharmacological; non-pharmacological; aminosalicylates; corticosteroids; immunomodulators; infliximab; adalimumab; vedolizumab; ustekinumab; surgery. The eligibility criteria for this review were based on the discussion of clinical management, covering topics from the diagnosis of Crohn's Disease to the exploration of new therapies. Articles published within the last 10 years and available in English, Portuguese, or Spanish were considered. A total of 24,638 articles were initially identified in the database, of which 124 met the inclusion criteria. Articles that did not address the topics mentioned in the title/abstract or upon full-text examination were excluded. Additionally, a manual search of the references in the included articles was

conducted, leading to the inclusion of 18 more articles. In total, 142 articles were included in this review. The summary of the articles selection process is shown in **Figure 1**.

PATHOPHYSIOLOGY AND CROHN'S DISEASE IMMUNOLOGY

Both immunological mechanisms of innate and adaptive immunity are interconnected on pathophysiology of CD. There is a dysregulation of the inflammatory and anti-inflammatory processes, as well as excessive release of cytokines^[19].

Histologically, the mucosa of the gastrointestinal (GI) tract comprises three types of cells: goblet cells, Paneth cells, and immune system cells^[20]. These cells are crucial for maintaining the structural integrity and defense of the intestines and play an active role in homeostasis^[21, 22], causing any intestinal injury to potentially disrupt physiological functioning mechanisms, resulting in harmful responses to the body concerning food absorption and digestion^[23]. Additionally, when there is tissue damage in the intestine, alterations in the microbiota can lead to mucosal inflammation, recruiting natural killer (NK) cells and monocytes to combat the injury or pathogen^[23].

Studies in mice infected with microorganisms have demonstrated the crucial role of innate lymphoid cells (ILC3) in maintaining the integrity of intestinal mucosal tissues. The absence of these cells, along with IL-22, has been linked to the development of IBD^[24]. In addition, Th2 profile response is observed in patients with UC while a Th1 profile response in patients with CD^[24]. The high levels of IL-12 in the serum stimulate the maturation of immature T lymphocytes to Th1 profile^[25]. Subsequently, cytokines released into the intestinal lumen contribute to the chemotaxis of Th1 cells to the mucosa of this organ. Lymphocytes synthesize chemokines like IFN- γ , IL-2, and TNF- α , which are related to the migration of neutrophils and macrophages to the site of inflammation, causing further damage to the mucosal epithelium^[19, 26].

Within this context, other cytokines such as IL-1, IL-6, IL-23, and TGF- β contribute to the differentiation of a Th17 profile during the antigen presentation process among a subset of immature lymphocytes^[27]. These cells are responsible for synthesizing IL-17,

which stimulates the migration and activity of neutrophils, thereby promoting a more inflammatory environment, along with T regulatory (T-reg) cells^[27, 28]. Furthermore, the high levels of IL-17 present in the tissues affected by CD can act on the intestinal epithelium, triggering the release of chemokines that further enhance the chemotaxis of inflammatory agents^[28, 29]. Macrophages and antigen-presenting cells (APC) also contribute to the synthesis of IL-12, IL-6, TGF- β , and IL-23. Therefore, the inflammatory process is capable of creating a feedback loop, continually stimulating the expression of substrates with Th1 and Th17 profiles^[26].

Physiologically, the microbiota assist in the immune tolerance process, which occurs when the body's own antigens are captured by APCs, presented to naïve T lymphocytes, and, due to the heightened expression of immunomodulators such as IL-10 and retinoic acid, the lymphocytes are activated into T-reg cells. Studies have demonstrated that in individuals with CD, there is a loss of this tolerance mechanism and an increase in proinflammatory interleukins[30] . Supporting the theory of immune dysregulation between pro and anti-inflammatory processes, studies have shown that even in the presence of high levels of TGF- β and IL-10, which act as regulators of the immune response, local inflammation persists[27] . Furthermore, a diminished action of IL-10, responsible for regulating IL-23 Levels, allows for the elevation of the latter, contributing to the stimulation of the Th17 profile[31] .

The continuous and exaggerated inflammation of the intestinal mucosa, along with interactions between substrates and cytokines such as IL-13, IL-17, and TGF- β , can stimulate increased synthesis and deposition of the extracellular matrix, potentially leading to the strictures of the inflamed tissue. Furthermore, the inflammatory process could induce hyperplasia and hypertrophy of the intestinal muscle, contributing to a stenosis process in the affected region^[32].

CD is regarded as a systemic illness due to its potential for complications and the presence of various extraintestinal symptoms^[33]. Accordingly, the most widespread disorders associated with this condition comprise musculoskeletal, cutaneous, ocular, hepatic and hematological alterations^[34]. Firstly, CD-related arthropathy occurs,

apparently, due to genetic predisposition and immune system dysregulation^[14]. In this sense, the human leukocyte antigen gene (HLA)-B27 is considered a major factor to the development of arthropathies, regarding genetic susceptibility^[35-37]. On the other hand, increasing evidence suggests that it could also be linked to an immune-driven inflammatory reaction, especially related to IL-23, which supports IL-17 production and, consequently, neutrophil recruitment and maintenance of the inflammatory status^[38,39].

Regarding cutaneous manifestations, erythema nodosum (ED) and pyoderma gangrenosum (PG) are the most prevalent disorders associated with Crohn's disease^[40]. Apparently, both ED and PG are more frequent in women and patients with other extraintestinal manifestations^[41]. Also, a study suggests that the susceptibility to cutaneous manifestations is associated with the TRAF3IP2 gene, which plays a role in IL-17-mediated cellular immune responses^[42]. The third most prevalent extraintestinal manifestation of CD involves the eye, e.g., episcleritis, scleritis, and uveitis, with approximately 3-4% of CD patients affected^[43-45]. Overall, the onset and maintenance of the inflammatory process in these structures, as mentioned above, seems to be also related to Th17 cells^[46]. As for disease susceptibility, several studies suggests a relationship between HLA-DRB1*0103 and extraintestinal manifestations, including uveitis^[47].

In terms of the hepatopancreatobiliary system, Primary Sclerosing Cholangitis (PSC) is considered the most common CD-related disorder^[48]. While the pathogenesis of PSC is not yet fully understood, there is growing interest in the role of genetic factors, including certain HLA alleles, in its development. To date, HLA-B8, HLA-DRB1*0301, HLA-DRB3*0101 and HLA-DRB1*0401 are associated with PSC susceptibility^[48-50].

CLINICAL MANAGEMENT OF THE CROHN'S DISEASE TODAY: HOW IT DOES?

The diagnosis of CD is complex and is made on the basis of symptomatic findings, physical examination, laboratory and imaging tests.

The role of clinical history and physical examination in CD

In terms of clinical aspects, CD presents diverse manifestations that are related to the intensity of transmural inflammation and its location, which, although it has a higher prevalence in the ileocolic segments, can affect any part of the gastrointestinal tract^[51]. Symptoms are usually insidious, but can also develop acutely^[52]. In most cases, they occur in young patients and include abdominal pain in the right iliac fossa, chronic diarrhea, weight loss, anorexia and fatigue. In cases that colonic inflammation is present, blood may appear in the stool or rectum^[52,53]. In this sense, continuous blood loss and reduced absorption of iron, vitamin B12 and folic acid lead to anemia in 6.2-73.7% of CD patients. Among these, iron deficiency anemia is the most prevalent^[54,55]. It is also important to note the occurrence of extra-intestinal symptoms such as erythema nodosum, anterior uveitis, episcleritis, sclerosing cholangitis, osteoporosis, cholelithiasis, venous thromboembolism, nephrolithiasis, as well as arthritis of large joints or axial arthropathies^[52-56]. These manifestations are shown in **Figure 2**.

When investigating the patient's clinical history, risk factors such as prior familiar cases of inflammatory bowel disease, diet low in fruit fiber, appendectomy, as well as a lifestyle with poor sleep quality, high stress and little physical activity should be taken into account^[53, 56]. Among the drugs with potential involvement are antibiotics, oral contraceptives and non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs^[52, 56]. Genetics also seems to be related to CD, among which it can be mentioned that the NOD2 gene in homozygosity increases the risk between 20 and 40 times^[52]. In addition, one study suggests that exposure to cigarette smoke, together with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, leads to systemic and intestinal ischemia, with epithelial dysfunction occurring in the latter and a greater risk of developing more severe forms of CD^[58]. The physical examination should include an assessment of the hemodynamic state and look for signs of toxemia, malnutrition, dehydration and anemia. The abdomen may show distension

or masses. When examining the pelvic and perianal region, lesions on skin or in the anal canal, as well as fistulas with or without abscesses should be investigated^[53, 57].

How laboratory tests can assist in CD diagnosis

Laboratory tests should be guided by a search for anemia, thrombocytosis, folate and 25-hydroxyvitamin D deficiency and increased acute phase proteins. Fecal Calprotectin (FC), a neutrophil-derived factor for CD in adults with sensitivity 83-100% and specificity 60-100%, can be useful for assessing disease activity and monitoring after diagnosis^[53, 57]. Other potential biomarkers include serum IgA antibodies against Saccharomyces cerevisiae^[53], antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibodies, antibodies directed against CBir1 and OmpC, elafin for predicting intestinal stenosis in CD^[59], as well as microRNA expression screening for intestinal dysbiosis^[60, 61].

Endoscopic procedures and other imaging exams in CD

Endoscopic procedures are the first line of examination following an assessment of the clinical and laboratory aspects of patients with a non-toxic presentation^[52]. Ileocolonoscopy is considered the preferred examination for assessing luminal disease^[62], while esophagogastroduodenoscopy is the choice for cases suspected of upper gastrointestinal tract involvement^[57, 62]. Typical findings may include friability, erosion, segmental inflammation, or aphthoid, longitudinal and serpiginous ulceration. In more advanced cases, it is possible to find fistulas, stenosis, mucosal cobblestoning and wall stiffness^[56, 63]. Additionally, this technique allows for the collection of biopsy samples, characterized by epithelioid granulomas, preservation of globose cells, and transmural inflammatory infiltrate^[63]. It is worth noting that endoscopy is also useful for determining the prognosis of CD, as well as screening for cancer associated with colitis and its characteristic lesions^[64].

One of the limitations of traditional endoscopic methods is the difficulty of accessing the small bowel^[66]. Thus, in cases of negative endoscopy, but significant symptomatology and suspicion of small bowel involvement, small bowel capsule endoscopy can be performed, which has a high negative predictive value^[53]. The advantages of this method include no radiation exposure, no need for sedation and no pain. However, it is not possible to obtain a sample for biopsy or perform therapeutic interventions^[57].

Radiographic techniques are considered for CD affecting the small intestine^[53]. Even plain abdominal X-rays can be useful in visualizing dilation, obstruction, perforation or thickening of the intestinal wall. However, they are gradually being replaced by Computed Tomography (CT), especially CT-enterography, which, although it requires a high volume of intravenous contrast, has become the preferred exam for investigating the wall thickness and the relationships between the intestinal loops^[52, 56].

Although Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) is a less available and more expensive exam, MR-enterography is an alternative to CT that allows the assessment of mesenteric vascularization and the presence of penetrating disease without the risk of exposure to ionizing radiation^[62]. Another important point is that pelvic MRI is the preferred examination for investigating perianal fistulas or adjacent abscesses^[53].

Another possibility is Ultrasound (US), which, despite being operator-dependent, is highly available, well tolerated and has a sensitivity and specificity close to that of CT and MRI. Also, the accuracy and quality of US for visualizing the intestine can be increased with the use of oral or intravenous contrast^[62]. However, it is of limited use in cases where gas is present, present less sensitive for colonic segments, and unable to assess the retroperitoneum and some areas of the GI tract^[62,67].

Therefore, it is possible to classify the CD phenotype according to Montreal classification, based on age to diagnosis, localization of inflammatory lesions, and clinical behavior of the disease^[65]. This classification is shown in **Figure 3**.

That said, and despite all the complexity of diagnosing CD, professionals should also be aware of differential diagnoses that include ulcerative colitis, intestinal tuberculosis,

eosinophilic gastroenteritis, diverticulitis, inflammation of Meckel's diverticulum, intestinal ischemia, chemotherapy-induced enteritis, the presence of a foreign body, neoplasms and others^[66, 67].

Medical management of CD: how to induce and maintain disease remission

Although IBD has no known cure to date, early medical intervention in the diagnosis of Crohn's Disease can improve clinical response to treatment, reduce inflammatory biomarkers and increase endoscopic remission rates^[68]. In addition, patients treated in the early stages of the disease have fewer complications and need for hospitalizations^[69]. The goal of conservative clinical management is to induce and maintain remission in patients with active disease, undergoing non-pharmacological interventions and medications, such as aminosalicylates, corticosteroids, immunomodulators, and immunobiologicals^[70, 71]. Surgical treatment depends on the presentation of the disease^[5].

How non-pharmacological interventions can be used in CD treatment

The management of psychological comorbidities, such as anxiety and depression, can improve the disease status, treatment adherence and the need for high-cost care. Cognitive Behavioral Therapy (CBT) can reduce rates of these comorbidities and improve the quality of life, both individually and in groups, in adults and adolescent patients^[72]. A study showed reduction from 35.7% and 25.0% at baseline to 10.4% and 4.2% after therapy in rates of anxiety and depression, respectively^[73]. Meditation and relaxation techniques can improve quality of life and possibly decrease inflammatory activity in IBD^[74].

Dietetic interventions can be a viable option due to their low cost, availability, and few adverse effects. Diet can influence the immunological system and inflammatory response, although its interaction with intestinal mucosal defense and inflammatory

cells is complex^[75]. This approach is particularly considered for patients to avoid the use of steroid-based medications, especially children, in whom steroids may impact growth trajectory^[76]. Data has indicated an elevated risk for IBD development in individuals with diets high in fat and meat, in contrast to a lower risk associated with high-fiber foods, fruits, and vegetables. Among the dietary options, Exclusive Enteral Nutrition (EEN) has demonstrated efficacy in inducing CD remission and is considered the first-line therapy, especially in the pediatric population. However, adherence to this therapy can be a challenge in adults^[23, 77]. Additionally, the use of probiotics showed no significant effect on the induction or maintenance of CD remission^[74].

Exercise has a positive impact on various clinical aspects of IBD, including disease activity, the immune system, quality of life, fatigue, and psychological factors^[78]. The anti-inflammatory effects of physical exercise are based on myokines, exercise-specific cytokines that are released by myocytes during muscle contractions^[79]. Resistance training is a viable and safe option; however, special attention should be given to patients with active disease, as exercise capacity may be limited during this period^[78]. Smoking cessation should be strongly considered for smokers with CD, as it assists in modifying the course of the disease, reducing exacerbations, the need for surgical procedures, and improving the response to immunomodulatory therapy^[80,81].

Aminosalicylates, corticosteroids, and immunomodulators: pharmacological options?

Anti-inflammatory medications are often the first choice in the treatment of IBD, such as corticosteroids and aminosalicylates^[82]. Mesalazine, Balsalazide, and Olsalazine are medications derived from 5-aminosalicylic acid (5-ASA). Most guidelines do not recommend 5-ASA for the induction or maintenance period in CD^[83, 84]. However, 5-ASA is still used by many patients, possibly due to its safety profile, especially in the elderly^[85].

Corticosteroids are the first-line treatment at the time of diagnosis and may be indicated regardless of the localization of the inflammatory lesion in moderate or severe disease.

Systemic drugs such as Prednisone, Methylprednisolone, Hydrocortisone, and Budesonide can be used, with Budesonide being limited to mild or moderate disease in the ileocecal region^[65]. Corticosteroid treatment should be administered for up to 4 wk, followed by a gradual dose reduction until complete cessation of use, typically concluding within 12 wk^[86]. According to the British Society of Gastroenterology consensus guidelines, systemic steroids are effective for remission induction but are not suitable for the maintenance phase due to their toxicity and lack of efficacy^[87]. For cases resistant to these drugs, immunomodulators or biological therapy may be considered^[65].

CD relapse is common after discontinuation of corticosteroid therapy, and immunomodulators such as Azathioprine, Mercaptopurine, or Methotrexate are effective in maintaining remission. Early initiation of these medications is recommended in several cases^[87,88]. Methotrexate is not recommended as monotherapy for induction, but it can be used for patients refractory to corticosteroids, with a preference for subcutaneous administration^[89].

Biological agents: the role of immunobiologicals in an immune-mediated disease

Immunobiologicals have revolutionized the management of IBD by targeting the inflammatory processes associated with the disease, either by reducing proinflammatory cytokines or increasing regulatory cytokines^[90]. British Society of Gastroenterology consensus guidelines recommend choosing a biological agent based on clinical factors, cost, safety, availability for use, as well as patient adherence and preference^[92]. These drugs include monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) targeting TNF α , such as Infliximab (IFX), Adalimumab (ADA), and Golimumab (GOL); mAbs targeting integrins $\alpha 4\beta 7$, such as Vedolizumab (VDZ); and mAbs targeting the p40 subunit of IL-12 and IL-23, such as Ustekinumab (UST)^[82, 91].

TNF α is a critical pro-inflammatory cytokine in intestinal inflammation^[92]. Clinical evidence has shown that anti-TNF therapy, such as IFX, leads to better clinical success,

early remission, higher rates of mucosal healing, and improved quality of life in approximately 60% of IBD patients^[93]. Adalimumab, administered subcutaneously, has also demonstrated efficacy in treating active CD and in patients with a loss of response to or intolerance of IFX^[94, 95]. However, approximately 40% of patients do not respond to anti-TNF treatment^[93]. CThe concomitant use of immunomodulators like Azathioprine or Methotrexate may prevent immunogenicity, reducing the development of anti-drug antibodies and systemic inflammatory status^[96].

Various methods are employed to evaluate the response and therapeutic efficacy of these therapies, including biomarkers like Fecal Calprotectin (FC), C-Reactive Protein (CRP), serum levels of the anti-TNF agent, and anti-drug antibodies[93]. Reduced levels of FC may precede disease remission and mucosal healing and are correlated with endoscopic scores^[97, 98]. Also, elevated levels of FC at the onset of treatment may indicate a probable non-response to anti-TNF therapy and lower rates of clinical remission[99, 100], and elevated CRP rates are associated with low response in severe CD[101]. Regarding serum levels of mAbs anti-TNF, higher chances of remission and mucosal healing are associated with levels above >2 μg/mL, a minimal concentration for IFX, for example, as well as for ADA[102, 103]. Anti-drug antibodies are associated with remission when their levels are below 3.15 U/mL in IFX treatment, while elevated levels may neutralize clinical efficacy, lead to a loss of response, and negatively impact quality of life and disease complications^[104, 105]. Novel biomarkers, such as proteomic profiles and microRNAs (miRNAs), are still under investigation for clinical practice^[93]. Thus, despite the wide availability of biomarkers, most of them are not specific to CD and reflect the body's inflammatory status, necessitating further clinical studies for IBD specifically.

Anti-integrin agents modulate inflammation by targeting integrins, preventing the migration of lymphocytes to the gastrointestinal mucosa. This serves as an alternative to anti-TNF therapy and may be used in patients with a loss of response, inadequate response, and/or anti-TNF intolerance^[106]. Vedolizumab, an IgG1 humanized mAbs targeting integrin $\alpha 4\beta 7$ without affecting $\alpha 4\beta 1$, has demonstrated efficacy in inducing

remission in CD, with a better safety profile than Natalizumab, another mAbs targeting integrin $\alpha 4$, which is associated with the development of Progressive Multifocal Leukoencephalopathy (PML)^[106, 107].

Ustekinumab targets the p40 subunit of IL-12 and IL-23. Studies have shown its efficacy and safety in the treatment of CD, with approximately half of the patients achieving long-term maintenance of response without loss of response, surgery, or intolerance^[108, 109]. However, some individuals may experience a loss of response to UST and shorter maintenance times due to factors such as infection, elevated inflammation levels, and inadequate medication concentrations^[110, 111]. In such cases, optimization strategies can be employed, such as shortening the treatment interval and intravenous reinduction. UNITI studies concluded that the treatment for 12 and 8 wk safely maintained clinical response and remission in patients with CD^[112, 113].

Surgery in CD: can be palliative but is not curative

Approximately 15% to 47% of patients undergoing treatment may require surgical intervention^[114]. While most patients initially present with the inflammatory phenotype, about 10% exhibit the stenosing phenotype at the time of diagnosis. According to the Montreal classification, within 10 years, the disease progresses to stenosing CD in approximately 15% of cases. An anti-TNF strategy may be considered in cases of strictures without complications, but surgical therapy is indicated for refractory disease^[115, 116]. In cases of acute small intestinal obstruction, hospitalization and immediate evaluation are necessary to rule out complications such as perforation, abscess, fistulizing disease, and signs of underlying malignancy^[115]. Surgical options include segmental resection and stenoplasty^[117].

Surgery can provide palliative relief but is not curative. Many patients may experience postoperative recurrence, with risk factors such as active smoking, age younger than 30 years, and previous surgeries for penetrating disease being associated with recurrence^[118]. High-risk patients may receive anti-TNF or thiopurine prophylaxis for 8

wk post-surgery, with routine endoscopy, while low-risk patients may undergo endoscopic surveillance 6-12 mo after surgery^[119, 120]. On the other hand, early resection in CD with ileocecal stricture is associated with prolonged clinical remission and reduced exposure to corticosteroids and biological therapies^[121]. Therefore, the decision to undergo surgery should be made on a case-by-case basis, considering disease phenotype and available treatment options.

New therapeutic strategies and patients vigilance

Toll-like Receptor 4 (TLR4) is overexpressed in both CD and UC, and the binding between the receptor-ligand pattern recognition of DAMPs or PAMPs triggers a cascade of signaling and recruitment of inflammatory cells and cytokines, such as IL-6 and TNF $\alpha^{[122]}$. Evidence suggests a potential role for TLR4 antagonists in inflammation treatment, making it a promising alternative for future innovative treatments for IBD[123]. Therefore, more studies are necessary. Additionally, biosimilars are drugs that are similar to immunobiologicals without significant clinical differences in safety and efficacy[82]. The advent of this class of drugs promises to encourage a reduction in the prices of biologics and increase patient access to this form of treatment. Finally, new anti-integrin agents, such as Etrolizumab (humanized mAbs targeting the subunit β 7 of the α 4 β 7 and α E β 7 integrins), AJM300 (an orally administered humanized antagonist of α 4 integrin), and mAbs IgG2 targeting MAdCAM-1 are in development[106], and more investigations are necessary to establish their efficacy and encourage gastroenterologists to consider them, potentially as first-line therapy in the future.

The vaccination of individuals with IBD varies according to the recommendations of each country, as well as by the clinical judgment of the patient's healthcare provider^[124]. It is recommended that these patients be vaccinated before starting immunosuppressive therapy, and if they have already started, vaccines with live viruses should be avoided^[125]. Some authors recommend mandatory immunizations for these patients, including vaccines against influenza, chickenpox, hepatitis B, and triple viral. Other

authors add to this list the vaccines for hepatitis A, tetanus, diphtheria, whooping cough, herpes zoster, and HPV[127,128]. For hepatitis A and B vaccinations, it is recommended to perform serological tests to evaluate the presence of antibodies and immunity. If the test results are negative or show very low antibody levels, these patients should restart the vaccination schedule with three doses. Additionally, individuals over 50 years old should be immunized against the herpes zoster virus, and persons aged 9 to 45 years should be vaccinated for HPV, preferably covering the four main strains (6, 11, 16, and 18)[126-128]. The pneumococcal vaccine should be administered to all patients, following the recommendations of national immunization programs for chronic diseases[125]. Influenza immunization should be done annually[126]. Furthermore, in the current context, COVID-19 vaccination is essential. Although some studies have demonstrated that seroconversion rates in individuals with CD are similar to those without the disease, others have shown that the level of these antibodies and the duration of this immunity are shorter^[129, 130]. Therefore, some authors recommend administering one additional dose of the vaccine as a booster approximately 6 mo after completing the vaccination schedule^[131].

In general, CD can predispose individuals to various intestinal neoplasms, including colorectal, small intestinal, and anal cancers. Colorectal cancer is the most strongly associated with CD, affecting mainly individuals between 40 and 50 years old who have a history of early onset symptoms^[132]. The chronic inflammatory process in the rectal and colonic mucosa, excessive production of free radicals contributing to DNA damage, inactivation of tumor suppressor genes, and individual factors are all related to dysplastic mutations and the development of colorectal carcinoma^[133]. Additionally, the ongoing inflammation can create an environment favorable to colonization by pathogens like Enterotoxigenic Bacteroides fragilis, which has been linked to the development of colorectal neoplasms^[134]. Even the use of some immunosuppressive medications, such as TNF inhibitors, has been associated with an increased risk of cancer^[135]. Similarly, the inflammatory process in the small intestine, coupled with mutations in genes like TP53 (a tumor suppressor) and IDH1 (which assists in the

control of oxidative processes), has also been linked to a higher risk of small bowel adenocarcinoma^[136]. Although rare, the inflammatory process of an anal fistula, a complication of CD, can increase the risk of anal cancer^[137].

Recommendations for screening for colorectal cancer vary depending on the guidelines of different scientific organizations and local guidelines. Generally, it is recommended to undergo at least one colonoscopy within 8 years of the onset of symptoms to evaluate the extent and degree of mucosal damage^[52, 138]. TThe American Gastroenterological Association recommends annual screening for high-risk patients, evaluation every 1 to 2 years for individuals with extensive colitis or inflammation located on the left side of the colon, or every 1 to 3 years if two consecutive exams are negative. The management can be individualized based on medical criteria and the extent of mucosal damage^[138]. On the other hand, the European Crohn's disease and Colitis Organization recommends annual screening for high-risk patients, evaluation every 2 to 3 years for individuals with moderate-risk factors, and, for low-risk patients, colonoscopy every 5 years^[52].

Beyond the considerable physical impact of CD, the disease process can affect various aspects of mental well-being and interpersonal relationships^[139]. This disease directly interferes with family planning for couples because, while the pathogenesis of CD is not related to infertility, studies have shown that women with this disease often choose not to become mothers due to conditions such as excessive pain, dyspareunia, anemia, depression, low libido, and malnutrition^[140]. Additionally, concerns about the use of medications during pregnancy and their potential side effects, as well as the possibility of active disease during labor, which increases the risk of complications, contribute to reducing discussions about family planning^[141]. Other complications such as miscarriages, placental abruption, eclampsia, and pre-eclampsia can be associated with pregnancy and CD^[142]. Finally, men using medications or experiencing clinical conditions associated with pain have also been related to voluntary avoidance of having children^[140, 141].

CONCLUSION

+ADw-html+AD4APA-p+AD4-This review provides an overview of the immunologic aspects of CD and discusses the clinical management of these patients. Recognizing gastrointestinal symptoms such as diarrhea, abdominal pain, anorexia, and fatigue can assisti in diagnosis. These symptoms may also be accompanied by extraintestinal manifestations and associated disorders, such as arthropathy, erythema nodosum, episcleritis, and anterior uveitis. Additionally, laboratory tests, such as Fecal Calprotectin, and imaging exams play a crucial role in the evaluation process, with endoscopic procedures being the first-line approach. Both ileocolonoscopy and capsule endoscopy are important tools in this diagnostic scenario. Non-pharmacological and pharmacological treatments form the cornerstone of disease management, with surgical therapy being considered in some cases. This includes the use of anti-inflammatory medications, such as corticosteroids and immunomodulators, as well as biological agents. Therefore, psychological interventions should be more widely prescribed, as they have the potential to improve treatment responses and, consequently, disease outcomes. Finally, therapies targeting the immune system are increasingly being studied, and more research is needed to elucidate the best approach to patients with CD, taking into account their specific phenotypes. Our work summarizes the current evidence available to date.+ADw-/p+AD4APA-/html+AD4-

88395_Auto_Edited.docx

ORIGINALITY REPORT

2%

SIMILARITY INDEX

PRIMARY SOURCES

1 www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov

- $_{28 \text{ words}}$ < 1%
- Guanglin Cui, Qingbo Fan, Zhenfeng Li, Rasmus $_{26 \text{ words}} < 1\%$ Goll, Jon Florholmen. "Evaluation of anti-TNF therapeutic response in patients with inflammatory bowel disease: Current and novel biomarkers", EBioMedicine, 2021 $_{\text{Crossref}}$
- Ronaldo Teixeira da Silva Júnior, Jonathan Santos
 Apolonio, Beatriz Rocha Cuzzuol, Bruna Teixeira
 da Costa et al. "COVID-19 neuropsychiatric repercussions:
 Current evidence on the subject", World Journal of
 Methodology, 2022
 Crossref
- Gabrielle Wark, Dorit Samocha-Bonet, Simon Ghaly, Mark Danta. "The Role of Diet in the Pathogenesis and Management of Inflammatory Bowel Disease: A Review", Nutrients, 2020

 Crossref
- academic.oup.com $_{\text{Internet}}$ 15 words -<1%
- 6 www.wjgnet.com
 Internet 14 words < 1%

OFF

EXCLUDE QUOTES ON EXCLUDE SOURCE

EXCLUDE BIBLIOGRAPHY ON EXCLUDE MATCHES <12 WORDS