



ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Hepatology

ESPS manuscript NO: 13567

Title: Staging systems for hepatocellular carcinoma-current status and future perspectives-

Reviewer code: 02546581

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2014-08-28 18:38

Date reviewed: 2014-10-12 20:47

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

“Staging systems for hepatocellular carcinoma-current status and future perspectives” is a nicely written review study comparing the numerous risk survival scores and classifications for hepatocellular carcinoma. The review study is separated in six different parts (Introduction, “Currently available staging systems”, “Staging systems proposed since 2012”, “External validation and comparison of currently available staging systems”, “New attempts”, “Problems with currently available staging systems and future perspectives”). The authors concluded that there is currently no globally accepted system for assessing HCC patients due to the extreme heterogeneity of the disease. But due to growing evidence regarding understanding of tumor biology as well as advancements in imaging techniques and treatment modalities, better staging systems that refine the process of stratification, survival prediction and treatment allocation in order to optimize the management of HCC patients can be expected in the future. Again this is a nicely written review study dealing with the clinical significance of the different staging scores and classifications for patients with HCC. The review study is well planed, performed and presented in detail. I have no issues to mention and think that this is an interesting review study which is worth publishing.



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Hepatology

ESPS manuscript NO: 13567

Title: Staging systems for hepatocellular carcinoma-current status and future perspectives-

Reviewer code: 00004208

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2014-08-28 18:38

Date reviewed: 2014-08-28 23:54

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Well written comprehensive article on an interesting topic