

We thank the editors and the reviewers for considering our manuscript and advising changes to further improve it. We have incorporated all the changes as suggested by the reviewers. We hope, you will find it appropriate for publication now. However, we will be happy to make any further changes you may suggest.

Reviewer's comments	Authors reply	Changes made
This paper showed us the concise, clear and informative side of TEG. After stating that CCT cannot adequately evaluate coagulability and platelet function, the usefulness of TEG was evaluated while presenting cirrhosis, sepsis, various venous thrombosis and portal hypertension, liver and related organ's disease as references. I would suggest the following four items. Although mechanism and how to use TEG were also clearly stated, it seemed to be much better when the usefulness as VETs was described in more detail, such as the possibility of decision making in 6 to 15 minutes even if the test actually takes more than 30 minutes (cf. reference 35).	Thank you for your inputs. We have added the necessary text.	Necessary changes made
Moreover, on page 13, I felt that the usefulness of TEG would be much more emphasized if there was improvement for the TEG guided group in the length of hospitalization or the survival rate as written in the paper below, although it was partially mentioned in the LT section on page 18 (Gopal JP, Dor FJ, Crane JS, Herbert PE, Papalois VE, Muthusamy AS. World J Transplant. 2020 Jul 29;10(7):206-214. doi: 10.5500/wjt.v10.i7.206. PMID: 32844096).		Necessary changes made
In the Limitation column on page 21, there was no explanation for abbreviation PAP.	Sorry for the oversight.	Necessary changes made

Finally, although it is a trivial point, the second line on page 3, milieu seems to be French. Isn't there any problem in using it as an English expression?	It has become a part of our daily vocabulary. But the suggestion is well accepted and changes made.	
This is a mini-review regarding TEG used in the patients with liver cirrhosis. I agree with your points. It should be advised to use in clinic. This paper is associated with clinical significance. I would suggest the authors to quote several important literature, such as PMID: 33312434;PMID: 33229277;PMID: 35977053.	Thank you for your inputs. We have added the suggested literature.	Necessary changes made in text and the references.