

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Pharmacology and Therapeutics

ESPS manuscript NO: 26325

Title: Management of pain in chronic pancreatitis: Role of exogenous pancreatic enzymes

Reviewer's code: 00034432

Reviewer's country: Italy

Science editor: Jing Yu

Date sent for review: 2016-04-05 19:58

Date reviewed: 2016-04-07 16:50

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

? The title is misleading because the authors reviewed the causes of pain in chronic pancreatitis and its management with various approaches and not only the role of exogenous pancreatic enzymes. ? Several meta-analyses and subsequently various guidelines report the non efficacy of pancreatic extracts in pancreatic pain. All the randomized studies shows the reduction of abdominal pain (not pancreatic pain) due to maldigestion in patients with severe exocrine pancreatic pain and chronic pancreatitis. ? No news or hypothesis are reported in the text that is useful for students but no for practical gastroenterologists. ? Several papers have been missed and the authors should apply a better search strategy in medical databases

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Pharmacology and Therapeutics

ESPS manuscript NO: 26325

Title: Management of pain in chronic pancreatitis: Role of exogenous pancreatic enzymes

Reviewer's code: 03552482

Reviewer's country: United States

Science editor: Jing Yu

Date sent for review: 2016-04-05 19:58

Date reviewed: 2016-04-28 03:06

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I commend authors for the review of the article titled "Management of pain in chronic pancreatitis: Role of exogenous pancreatic enzymes." It is well thought out and well written. The references are appropriate, and the discussion of the results is balanced. It provides an excellent review of the theories of pain in chronic pancreatitis as well as overview of the treatment techniques for the pain associated with chronic pancreatitis. The authors then focus on the role of exogenous enzymes in pain relief. The discussion of the results is balanced as the authors appropriately concluded that the results have been unclear due to insufficiently controlled experiments that have been reported. I would recommend the article be accepted as is with only corrections of very few minor grammatical errors.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Pharmacology and Therapeutics

ESPS manuscript NO: 26325

Title: Management of pain in chronic pancreatitis: Role of exogenous pancreatic enzymes

Reviewer's code: 03104779

Reviewer's country: China

Science editor: Jing Yu

Date sent for review: 2016-04-05 19:58

Date reviewed: 2016-05-10 22:52

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Points Minor: There are not the data from the authors' center to compare the merits of different treatment methods and put forward the preferred treatment options.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Pharmacology and Therapeutics

ESPS manuscript NO: 26325

Title: Management of pain in chronic pancreatitis: Role of exogenous pancreatic enzymes

Reviewer's code: 00199523

Reviewer's country: Nigeria

Science editor: Jing Yu

Date sent for review: 2016-04-05 19:58

Date reviewed: 2016-05-13 01:25

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Corrections are made in track changes and uploaded. see pages 3,4 and 5. Article is too long, it should be shortened.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Pharmacology and Therapeutics

ESPS manuscript NO: 26325

Title: Management of pain in chronic pancreatitis: Role of exogenous pancreatic enzymes

Reviewer's code: 00947129

Reviewer's country: Hungary

Science editor: Jing Yu

Date sent for review: 2016-04-05 19:58

Date reviewed: 2016-05-22 23:44

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Hobbs et al. review the management of pain in chronic pancreatitis with a focus on the role of exogenous enzyme administration. Major points 1. The paper is well written, however, the authors seem to have no original data on this topic. 2. Another weakness of the paper is that almost 60% of the cited papers have been published before 2000. The authors should concentrate on more recent data, some of which has not been discussed. I would also refrain from quoting (outdated) abstracts and foreign language publications. 3. I think that a figure on the feedback inhibition of pancreatic secretion would strengthen the manuscript. Minor points 1. Near the middle of page 3: ...the altered feedback mechanism? 2. Page 3, line 2 from the bottom: enzyme instead of enzyme. 3. Near the middle of page 7: history is written three times in one sentence. 4. Page 9, line 1 of paragraph entitled Pathogenesis of pancreatic pain: "th" in 19th should be in superscript. 5. The paper is somewhat repetitious. E.g. the inactivation of lipase by low pH is mentioned on pages 26 and 27.