

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 13326

Title: Recurrent Intestinal Intussusception as First Clinical Manifestation of Primary Intestinal Melanoma: Case Report and Review of the Literature

Reviewer code: 02941346

Science editor: Yuan Qi

Date sent for review: 2014-08-18 16:01

Date reviewed: 2014-08-25 22:31

| CLASSIFICATION | LANGUAGE EVALUATION | RECOMMENDATION | CONCLUSION |
|---|---|-------------------------------------|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing | Google Search: | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> Existing | <input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> No records | <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected | BPG Search: | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor | | <input type="checkbox"/> Existing | <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> No records | |

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a very rare and interesting case. I have several comments to the authors: 1:Did you consider the diagnosis of intestinal malignant melanoma during the first surgical operation? Why not perform the frozen pathology? 2:Could you provide the images during the two surgical operations?

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 13326

Title: Recurrent Intestinal Intussusception as First Clinical Manifestation of Primary Intestinal Melanoma: Case Report and Review of the Literature

Reviewer code: 02732513

Science editor: Yuan Qi

Date sent for review: 2014-08-18 16:01

Date reviewed: 2014-08-30 02:36

| CLASSIFICATION | LANGUAGE EVALUATION | RECOMMENDATION | CONCLUSION |
|--|--|-------------------------------------|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing | Google Search: | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> Existing | <input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> No records | <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected | BPG Search: | <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor | | <input type="checkbox"/> Existing | <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> No records | |

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

It's a good case.with complete clinical history and studios, that contribute with new knowledge.

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 13326

Title: Recurrent Intestinal Intussusception as First Clinical Manifestation of Primary Intestinal Melanoma: Case Report and Review of the Literature

Reviewer code: 01220166

Science editor: Yuan Qi

Date sent for review: 2014-08-18 16:01

Date reviewed: 2014-08-30 10:29

| CLASSIFICATION | LANGUAGE EVALUATION | RECOMMENDATION | CONCLUSION |
|---|---|-------------------------------------|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing | Google Search: | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> Existing | <input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> No records | <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected | BPG Search: | <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor | | <input type="checkbox"/> Existing | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> No records | |

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I read the paper, entitled "Recurrent Intestinal Intussusception as First Clinical Manifestation of Primary Intestinal Melanoma: Case Report and Review of the Literature" by Kouladouros K et al. My comments are as follow. 1. The authors reported an interesting case of recurrent intussusception in an adult induced by malignant melanoma. The reason of indication for second surgical intervention is still unclear. Why did the authors perform a second surgical attempt in spite of poor prognosis of melanoma? 2. One of important points of this case report is that this case is an intussusception induced by melanoma. The authors described that only 8 cases of primary malignant melanoma of the small bowel leading to enteric intussusception have been reported in the international literature. The additional table in which summarized characteristics of these cases would be helpful to convince the contents in the text. 3. Discussion section is too long, which include previously known issues. The authors should focus on important issues associated with this case, including intussusception induced by malignant melanoma. Conclusion should be also described simply to indicate teaching points. 4. Abbreviations should be defined at first appearances (i.e., FDG-PET-CT). 5. In figure legend of Figure 1, "left" and "right" should change to "a" or "b" with labelling in the figure.