World Journal of *Hepatology*

World J Hepatol 2022 September 27; 14(9): 1694-1843





Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

J H World Journal of *Hepatology*

Contents

Monthly Volume 14 Number 9 September 27, 2022

REVIEW

1694 Nutritional assessment in patients with liver cirrhosis

Haj Ali S, Abu Sneineh A, Hasweh R

MINIREVIEWS

1704 Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: Is surgery the best current option and can novel endoscopy play a role in the future?

Mandour MO, El-Hassan M, Elkomi RM, Oben JA

- 1718 Therapies for non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: A 2022 update Shen K, Singh AD, Modaresi Esfeh J, Wakim-Fleming J
- 1730 Bile acids as drivers and biomarkers of hepatocellular carcinoma Colosimo S. Tomlinson JW
- 1739 Approach to persistent ascites after liver transplantation Ostojic A, Petrovic I, Silovski H, Kosuta I, Sremac M, Mrzljak A

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Case Control Study

1747 Alcohol intake is associated with a decreased risk of developing primary biliary cholangitis French JA, Gow P, Simpson-Yap S, Collins K, Ng J, Angus PW, van der Mei IAF

Retrospective Cohort Study

1757 Positive autoantibodies in living liver donors Loh J, Hashimoto K, Kwon CHD, Fujiki M, Modaresi Esfeh J

Retrospective Study

Decrease in liver cancer incidence rates in Bamako, Mali over 28 years of population-based cancer 1767 registration (1987-2015)

Amadou A, Sighoko D, Coulibaly B, Traoré C, Kamaté B, Mallé BS, de Seze M, Kemayou Yoghoum FN, Biyogo Bi Eyang S, Bourgeois D, Curado MP, Bayo S, Gormally E, Hainaut P

1778 Modified preoperative score to predict disease-free survival for hepatocellular carcinoma patients with surgical resections

Lai Y, Lee JC, Hung HC, Wang YC, Cheng CH, Wu TH, Lee CF, Wu TJ, Chou HS, Chan KM, Kao CY, Lee WC

1790 Liver magnetic resonance imaging for evaluation of response to treatment after stereotactic body radiation therapy of hepatocellular carcinoma

Serafini A, Ruggeri V, Inchingolo R, Gatti M, Guarneri A, Maino C, Ippolito D, Grazioli L, Ricardi U, Faletti R



Contents

Monthly Volume 14 Number 9 September 27, 2022

1804 Hereditary hemochromatosis: Temporal trends, sociodemographic characteristics, and independent risk factor of hepatocellular cancer - nationwide population-based study

Haider MB, Al Sbihi A, Chaudhary AJ, Haider SM, Edhi AI

Observational Study

1817 A retrospective study on use of palliative care for patients with alcohol related end stage liver disease in United States

Gupta K, Hans B, Khan A, Sohail SH, Kapuria D, Chang C

CASE REPORT

1830 Primary hepatic leiomyosarcoma: A case report and literature review

Ahmed H, Bari H, Nisar Sheikh U, Basheer MI

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

1840 Analysis of hepatitis C virus-positive organs in liver transplantation.

Legaz I, Muro M



Contents

Monthly Volume 14 Number 9 September 27, 2022

ABOUT COVER

Editorial Board Member of World Journal of Hepatology, Mohit Girotra, MD, FASGE, FACG, FACP, Consultant Gastroenterologist and Therapeutic Endoscopist, Digestive Health Institute, Swedish Medical Center, Seattle, WA 98104, United States. girotra.mohit@gmail.com

AIMS AND SCOPE

The primary aim of World Journal of Hepatology (WJH, World J Hepatol) is to provide scholars and readers from various fields of hepatology with a platform to publish high-quality basic and clinical research articles and communicate their research findings online.

WIH mainly publishes articles reporting research results and findings obtained in the field of hepatology and covering a wide range of topics including chronic cholestatic liver diseases, cirrhosis and its complications, clinical alcoholic liver disease, drug induced liver disease autoimmune, fatty liver disease, genetic and pediatric liver diseases, hepatocellular carcinoma, hepatic stellate cells and fibrosis, liver immunology, liver regeneration, hepatic surgery, liver transplantation, biliary tract pathophysiology, non-invasive markers of liver fibrosis, viral hepatitis.

INDEXING/ABSTRACTING

The WJH is now abstracted and indexed in PubMed, PubMed Central, Emerging Sources Citation Index (Web of Science), Scopus, Reference Citation Analysis, China National Knowledge Infrastructure, China Science and Technology Journal Database, and Superstar Journals Database. The 2022 edition of Journal Citation Reports® cites the 2021 Journal Citation Indicator (JCI) for WJH as 0.52. The WJH's CiteScore for 2021 is 3.6 and Scopus CiteScore rank 2021: Hepatology is 42/70.

RESPONSIBLE EDITORS FOR THIS ISSUE

Production Editor: Yi-Xuan Cai; Production Department Director: Xiang Li; Editorial Office Director: Xiang Li.

NAME OF JOURNAL World Journal of Hepatology	INSTRUCTIONS TO AUTHORS https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/204
ISSN	GUIDELINES FOR ETHICS DOCUMENTS
ISSN 1948-5182 (online)	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/287
LAUNCH DATE	GUIDELINES FOR NON-NATIVE SPEAKERS OF ENGLISH
October 31, 2009	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/240
FREQUENCY	PUBLICATION ETHICS
Monthly	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/288
EDITORS-IN-CHIEF	PUBLICATION MISCONDUCT
Nikolaos Pyrsopoulos, Ke-Qin Hu, Koo Jeong Kang	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/208
EDITORIAL BOARD MEMBERS	ARTICLE PROCESSING CHARGE
https://www.wjgnet.com/1948-5182/editorialboard.htm	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/242
PUBLICATION DATE	STEPS FOR SUBMITTING MANUSCRIPTS
September 27, 2022	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/239
COPYRIGHT	ONLINE SUBMISSION
© 2022 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc	https://www.f6publishing.com

© 2022 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved. 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com



W J H World Journal of Henatology Hepatology

Submit a Manuscript: https://www.f6publishing.com

World J Hepatol 2022 September 27; 14(9): 1757-1766

DOI: 10.4254/wjh.v14.i9.1757

ISSN 1948-5182 (online)

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Retrospective Cohort Study

Positive autoantibodies in living liver donors

Joyce Loh, Koji Hashimoto, Choon Hyuck David Kwon, Masato Fujiki, Jamak Modaresi Esfeh

Specialty type: Gastroenterology and hepatology

Provenance and peer review: Invited article; Externally peer reviewed.

Peer-review model: Single blind

Peer-review report's scientific quality classification

Grade A (Excellent): A Grade B (Very good): B Grade C (Good): C Grade D (Fair): 0 Grade E (Poor): 0

P-Reviewer: Casanova Rituerto D, Spain; He D, China

Received: May 5, 2022 Peer-review started: May 5, 2022 First decision: June 8, 2022 Revised: June 17, 2022 Accepted: September 8, 2022 Article in press: September 8, 2022 Published online: September 27, 2022



Joyce Loh, Department of Internal Medicine, Cleveland Clinic Foundation, Cleveland, OH 44195, United States

Koji Hashimoto, Choon Hyuck David Kwon, Masato Fujiki, Digestive Diseases Institute, Cleveland Clinic Foundation, Cleveland, OH 44195, United States

Jamak Modaresi Esfeh, Digestive Disease and Surgery Institute, Cleveland Clinic Foundation, Cleveland, OH 44195, United States

Corresponding author: Jamak Modaresi Esfeh, MD, Staff Physician, Digestive Disease and Surgery Institute, Cleveland Clinic Foundation, 9500 Euclid Ave, Cleveland, OH 44195, United States. modarej@ccf.org

Abstract

BACKGROUND

There is a nationwide shortage of organs available for liver transplantation. Living donors help meet this growing demand. Not uncommonly, donors will have positive autoantibodies. However, it is unclear whether donor positive autoantibodies are correlated with worse outcomes following living liver donor transplantations.

AIM

To analyze the significance of positive autoantibodies in donors on post-transplant outcomes in recipients.

METHODS

We performed a retrospective review of living liver donors who had undergone liver transplantation between January 1, 2012 and August 31, 2021. Demographic characteristics and pre-transplant data including antinuclear antibodies (ANA) and anti-smooth muscle antibody titers were collected in donors. Outcomes of interest were post-transplantation complications including mortality, biliary strictures, biliary leaks, infection, and rejection. Pediatric recipients and donors without measured pre-transplant autoantibody serologies were excluded from this study.

RESULTS

172 living donor liver transplantations were performed during the study period, of which 115 patients met inclusion criteria. 37 (32%) living donors were autoantibody-positive with a median ANA titer of 1:160 (range 1:80 to 1:1280) and median anti-SMA titer of 1:40 (range 1:20 to 1:160). There were no significant



differences in baseline demographics between the autoantibody positive and negative donors. Post-transplantation rates of death (P value = 1), infections (P value = 0.66), and overall rates of complications (P value = 0.52) were similar between the autoantibody positive and negative groups. Higher incidences of anastomotic strictures and rejection were observed in the autoantibody positive group; however, these differences were not statistically significant (P value = 0.07 and P value = 0.30 respectively).

CONCLUSION

Isolated pre-transplant autoantibody positivity is not correlated to worse post-transplant outcomes in living liver donor transplants.

Key Words: Antinuclear antibodies; Anti-smooth muscle antibody; Liver transplantation; Treatment outcome; Transplant donors

©The Author(s) 2022. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Core Tip: This was a retrospective study designed to analyze the significance of positive autoantibodies in donors on post-transplant outcomes in recipients in living donor liver transplantations. Post-transplantation rates of complications including mortality (P value = 1), infections (P value = 0.66), anastomotic strictures (P value = 0.07), and rejection (P value = 0.30) were found not to be statistically significant between the autoantibody positive and negative groups. These results suggest that isolated pre-transplant autoantibody positivity is not correlated to worse post-transplant outcomes in living liver donor transplants and should not preclude donors from donating.

Citation: Loh J, Hashimoto K, Kwon CHD, Fujiki M, Modaresi Esfeh J. Positive autoantibodies in living liver donors. *World J Hepatol* 2022; 14(9): 1757-1766 URL: https://www.wjgnet.com/1948-5182/full/v14/i9/1757.htm DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.4254/wjh.v14.i9.1757

INTRODUCTION

There is a nationwide shortage of organs available for liver transplantation with more than 1700 patients dying annually while on the waitlist. Living donors help meet this growing demand. Of the 10109 liver transplantations performed in the United States in 2021, 568 were living-donor liver transplantations (OPTN, 2022).

Donors often undergo extensive screening prior to being approved. Transplant centers vary in their specific protocols for donor evaluation and selection, but general principles include ensuring that the donor has normal liver function and structure and is not a risk to the recipient with respect to disease transmission. It has been estimated that less than half of the candidates who complete transplant evaluation will be accepted for donation[1].

In the work-up of potential donors, positive autoantibodies are common and have been reported in up to 25% of healthy and asymptomatic individuals in the general population[2]. Positive autoantibodies are found in even higher prevalence in post-liver transplant populations with estimates ranging from 64%-80% [3-7]. Most studies evaluating autoantibodies in liver transplantations have focused on the significance of post-transplant positive autoantibodies, which have been correlated to the development of graft dysfunction, de novo hepatitis, and increased risk of death [4,6-10]. It is thought that the development of autoantibodies post-transplant represents a nonspecific marker of liver injury rather than a predictor of post-transplant outcomes [8,11].

In the liver transplantation literature, few studies have evaluated the effect of pre-transplant positive autoantibodies on graft outcomes. While traditionally graft rejection has been associated with antibodies specific to organ donor HLA, there is mounting evidence supporting the association of non-HLA antibodies with rejection and decreased graft survival in kidney, heart, and lung transplantations[12, 13]. It is hypothesized that tissue damage associated with ischemia-reperfusion, vascular injury, and rejection creates permissive conditions for autoantigens and allows for autoantibodies to bind to antigenic targets to further cause vascular inflammation and organ dysfunction[14].

However, it is unknown if donor autoantibody positivity in liver transplants predisposes to increased rates of post-transplantation complications and whether it should preclude donors from donating. In our retrospective study, we aimed to analyze the significance of positive donor autoantibodies on recipient post-transplant outcomes in living liver donor transplantations.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This was a retrospective study designed to compare post-transplantation outcomes between recipients who received transplants from positive autoantibody donors to those who received transplants from negative autoantibody donors. Data was collected by chart review. The study population consisted of patients that underwent a living liver donor transplantation between January 1, 2012 and August 31, 2021. Transplantations in recipients less than 18 years old and in those who received a transplant from a donor that did not have autoimmune markers checked during the pre-transplantation evaluation were excluded. Serum autoantibodies including antinuclear antibodies (ANA), antimitochondrial antibody (AMA), and anti-smooth muscle antibody (ASMA) were detected by indirect immunofluorescence. A positive antibody screen was defined by an ANA titer greater than or equal to 1:40 or anti-smooth muscle antibody greater than 1:20. Post-transplantation complications including rejection, biliary strictures, infection, post-transplantation lymphoproliferative disorder, bleeding, thrombosis, and portal vein stenosis were collected manually with chart review. Rejection was confirmed with a liver biopsy. The study was approved by our site's Institutional Review Board.

Statistical analysis

Differences among post-transplant liver recipient outcomes between positive and negative autoantibody groups were assessed by a Fisher's exact test to compare the dichotomous variables. Continuous variables were compared using independent unpaired t-tests. A Mantel-Haenszel chi-squared test with continuity correction was used to check for interaction between the cause of transplantation and posttransplant outcomes. Data analysis was performed with R statistical package, version 3.6.2.

RESULTS

Study population

During the study period, a total of 172 living donor liver transplantations were performed at our center (Figure 1). There were 20 pediatric recipients and 37 did not have donor autoantibodies checked during the pre-transplantation evaluation and were excluded. Of the remaining 115 individuals, 78 (68%) donors had no detectable autoantibody titers while 37 (32%) donors had a positive autoantibody titer including 23 with a positive ANA, 11 with a positive ASMA, and 3 donors with both a positive ANA and ASMA. As shown in Figure 2, the median ANA titer was 1:160 (range 1:80 to 1:1280). The median ASMA was 1:40 (range 1:20 to 1:160). No donors were found to have a positive AMA.

Recipient demographics

Baseline characteristics were similar between the patients in both groups (Table 1). 59 (51%) were female. 103 (90%) of the recipients were Caucasian, 6 (5%) were Hispanic, 4 (3%) were Black, and 2 (2%) were Asian. The average age of recipients was 51 ± 15 years. The average recipient body mass index at time of transplantation was 28 ± 6 and the average listed model for end-stage liver disease sodium of the recipients at time of transplantation was 14 ± 5 .

Transplantation indications

Indications for transplantation were similar between groups and included malignancy 8 (7%), alcoholic cirrhosis 14 (12%), viral hepatitis 9 (8%), nonalcoholic steatohepatitis 36 (31%), autoimmune hepatitis 6 (5%), primary biliary cholangitis 4 (3%), and primary sclerosing cholangitis 21 (18%). Of the 6 (5%) recipients that had autoimmune hepatitis, 3 (3%) also had overlapping primary sclerosing cholangitis, and 1 (1%) had overlapping primary biliary cholangitis. The types of malignancies included metastatic colon cancer 3 (3%), cholangiocarcinoma 2 (2%), metastatic rectal cancer 1 (1%), hepatocellular cancer 1 (1%), and metastatic neuroendocrine cancer 1 (1%). Other less common causes for transplantation included biliary atresia 2 (2%), congenital hepatic fibrosis 2 (2%), cryptogenic 6 (5%), common variable immunodeficiency 1 (1%), cystic fibrosis 1 (1%), polycystic liver disease 2 (2%), sarcoidosis 1 (1%), telomere syndrome 1 (1%), and portal vein thrombosis 1 (1%). One recipient underwent a simultaneous liver-kidney transplant.

Immunosuppression regimens

Initial immunosuppressive regimens were similar for both groups. The standard immunosuppression protocol consisted of induction with thymoglobulin followed by the initiation of tacrolimus, mycophenolate, and prednisone. Mycophenolate was not started in 12 patients due to the development of bacteremia following transplant. Five were started on cyclosporine instead of tacrolimus due to a history of seizures or witnessed neurological changes after starting tacrolimus. One was switched from tacrolimus to cyclosporine after developing acute tubular necrosis requiring the initiation of hemodialysis. One individual was started only on prednisone as her liver donor was also her donor for her prior bone marrow transplant.



Table 1 Demographics and characteristics of living donor liver transplant recipients				
		Recipients of transplants from autoantibody positive donors (<i>n</i> = 37), No. (%)	Recipients of transplants from autoantibody negative donors (<i>n</i> = 78), No. (%)	<i>P</i> value
Race/Ethnicity				0.61
	Caucasian	33 (89)	70 (90)	
	Hispanic	3 (8)	3 (4)	
	Black	0 (0)	4 (5)	
	Asian	1 (3)	1 (1)	
Gender				0.23
	Male	15 (41)	39 (50)	
	Female	22 (59)	39 (50)	
Cause for tr	ansplantation			0.11
	Alcoholic cirrhosis	6 (16)	8 (10)	
	Non-alcoholic steatohepatitis	16 (43)	19 (24)	
	Hepatitis B	0 (0)	1 (1)	
	Hepatitis C	4 (11)	4 (5)	
	PSC	8 (22)	13 (17)	
	РВС	1 (3)	3 (4)	
	AIH	1 (3)	5 (6)	
	With PBC	0	1	
	With PSC	1	2	
	AIH only	0	2	
	Malignancy	0 (0)	7 (9)	
	Other ¹	1 (3)	17 (22)	
Age at trans	splantation	55 ± 15	50 ± 14	0.13
Average BMI		28 ± 6	27 ± 6	0.22
Average M	ELD at transplantation	15±5	14 ± 5	0.71

¹Other includes biliary atresia 2 (2%), congenital hepatic fibrosis 2 (2%), cryptogenic 6 (5%), common variable immunodeficiency 1 (1%), cystic fibrosis 1 (1%), polycystic liver disease 2 (2%), sarcoidosis 1 (1%), telomere syndrome 1 (1%), and portal vein thrombosis 1 (1%). AIH: Autoimmune hepatitis; BMI: Body mass index; MELD: Model for end-stage liver disease; PBC: Primary biliary cholangitis; PSC: Primary sclerosing cholangitis.

Post-transplantation donor complications

As seen in Table 2, a total of 21 (18%) donors developed complications related to liver donation with the most common being symptomatic incisional hernias (6) and wound infections (6). Other less common complications of donation included chronic abdominal or incisional pain (3), portal vein stenosis requiring balloon angioplasty or an exploratory laparotomy (3), duodenal ulceration (1), pneumothorax requiring chest tube placement (1), and wound hematoma requiring exploratory laparotomy (1).

Post-transplantation recipient complications

Recipients were followed on average for 2.6 years with a standard deviation of 1.8 years. Acute rejection occurred in 21 (18%) individuals. Two (2%) developed plasma cell rich rejection. The remaining 19 (17%) developed acute cellular rejection. 15 individuals had a single episode of rejection, 5 had 2 episodes, and 1 had 3 episodes. Most of the episodes of rejection were mild (15) with fewer cases of mild-moderate (2), moderate (4), or severe (2). Acute cellular rejection occurred on average 7.3 mo after transplantation with a standard deviation of 10.1 mo and range of 6 days to 13.8 mo. Two had developed allograft rejection in the setting of medication non-adherence. All patients were admitted for episodes of rejection. Of the 21 patients that developed rejection, 17 were treated with 1000 mg of IV methylprednisolone followed by an oral prednisone taper and adjustment in their long-term immunosuppression regimen. One patient was treated with a prednisone and immunosuppression dose



Table 2 Donor complications in the Autoantibody Positive and Negative Groups				
	Autoantibody positive (<i>n</i> = 37), No. (%)	Autoantibody negative (<i>n</i> = 78), No. (%)		
Chronic abdominal or incisional pain	2 (5)	1 (1)		
Diaphragmatic or incisional hernia		6 (8)		
Duodenal ulcer		1 (1)		
Pneumothorax requiring chest tube		1 (1)		
Portal vein stenosis	1 (3)	2 (3)		
Wound hematoma requiring exploration		1 (1)		
Wound infection	2 (8)	4 (5)		
Total	5 (14)	16 (21)		







Figure 2 Histogram of individual antinuclear antibodies and anti-smooth muscle antibody titers (Three donors had both a positive antinuclear antibodies and anti-smooth muscle antibody and are not included in the above histogram). ANA: antinuclear antibodies; ASMA: Anti-smooth muscle antibody.

increase, two were treated with lower doses of IV methylprednisolone, and one was switched to different immunosuppression agents. No patients included within the study timeframe developed chronic rejection.

Anastomotic biliary strictures developed in 48 patients (42%). Twenty-six (23%) recipients had strictures that resolved with serial ERCPs and stent placement. Sixteen (14%) underwent percutaneous transhepatic cholangiograms (PTHC). Six (5%) patients had recurrent episodes of biliary strictures

Raishidena® WJH https://www.wjgnet.com

despite PTHC placement and required surgical revision of the biliary anastomosis with a Roux-en-Y heptaticojejunostomy. Four (3%) had cholangitis and 20 (17%) developed bile leaks.

Infections post-transplantation occurred in 32 (28%) of individuals. Twelve had developed cytomegalovirus and were treated with valganciclovir, 13 developed bacterial infections requiring intravenous antibiotics, 4 developed fungal infections, and 3 developed coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pneumonia.

Twelve (10%) recipients died following transplantation. Causes of death included septic shock (4), COVID-19 pneumonia (1), recurrent cirrhosis in the setting of medication non-adherence and pneumocystis jiroveii pneumonia (1), malignancy (3), intracranial hemorrhage (1), massive PE (1), and unknown (1). Of these, two recipients died during the index admission. The median length of survival of those who died was 1.3 years (range 4 d to 4.9 years).

A total of 26 recipients experienced other complications as shown in Table 3. These included gastric or bowel perforation (2), kidney rupture (1), and diaphragmatic hernia requiring urgent exploratory laparotomy (1), hematoma (2), splenic artery bleeding (1), splenic artery aneurysm (1), hepatic artery or portal vein thrombosis (9), hepatic artery or portal vein stenosis (4), portal steal syndrome (2), small for size syndrome (1), and post-transplant lymphoproliferative disorder (1). Four recipients required re-transplantation. Two had developed hepatic artery thrombosis, one developed portal vein thrombosis, and one developed ischemia.

Post-transplantation rates of death (P value = 1), infections (P value = 0.66), and any post-transplantation complication (P value = 0.52) were similar between the autoantibody positive and negative groups (Table 4). Higher incidences of anastomotic strictures (P value = 0.07) and rejection (P value = 0.30) were observed in the positive autoantibody group; however, these differences were not statistically significant.

DISCUSSION

The principal findings of this study are that positive autoantibodies commonly associated with liver disease in donors are not correlated to higher rates of complications including rejection or stricture development.

In lung, kidney, and heart transplants, various non-HLA antibodies have been associated to worse graft outcomes. Proposed mechanisms of injury include the induction of cell lysis *via* activation of the complement cascade upon antibody binding and tissue damage associated with ischemia-reperfusion, vascular injury, and rejection creates permissive conditions for autoantigens and allows for autoantibodies to bind to antigenic targets to further cause vascular inflammation and organ dysfunction. However, these findings have largely not been replicated in liver transplantations[14].

In a single center study in a pediatric population, ANA, ASMA, and angiotensin II receptor type-1 (AT1R) positivity was not associated with increased risk of fibrosis[15]. In a larger population consisting of adults, O'Leary *et al*[16] evaluated autoantibodies that had been previously correlated to worse outcomes in renal transplants including AT1R and endothelin type A receptor autoantibodies and found that these patients did not have an increased risk of rejection or fibrosis progression. In an autoimmune hepatitis population, Dbouk *et al*[9] found no difference in post-transplant outcomes between those with high and low antibody titers. Autoantibodies in living donor liver transplantations have also been studied and observed post-transplantation with several studies finding a high prevalence of autoantibody titers post-transplantation. It has been proposed that the development of autoantibodies post-transplant outcomes[8,10,11]. Fewer studies have examined the effect of pre-transplant autoantibody titers in adults on post-transplant outcomes.

Our results corroborate and expand upon the existing body of literature. We did not find any significant difference in rates of mortality, post-transplantation infection, or overall rates of post-transplantation complications among the autoantibody positive and negative groups. Mortality rates following transplantation were low in both groups and largely did not appear to be related to graft dysfunction. Interestingly, higher incidences of anastomotic strictures (*P* value = 0.07) and rejection (*P* value = 0.30) were observed in the positive autoantibody group though these differences were not statistically significant. Overall, there was also no significant difference in rates of complications (*P* value > 0.05) when comparing higher and lower titers of autoantibody positivity suggesting that isolated autoantibody positivity in asymptomatic donor is not correlated to an increased rate of post-transplantation complications.

There is some data suggesting that autoantibodies are correlated to the development of *de novo* autoimmune hepatitis or plasma cell rich rejection. Autoimmune hepatitis has been estimated to recur in 17%-42% of patients post transplantation with a median time to recurrence of approximately 4.6 years [17]. In our experience, the 2 (2%) individuals that developed plasma cell rich rejection received livers from autoantibody negative donors. No recipients developed a reoccurrence of autoimmune hepatitis. Re-transplantation indications in our study were predominately related to thrombotic events.

Table 3 List of post-transplantation complications other than death, rejection, stricture, or intection				
	Number of recipient complications in positive autoantibodies group, $n = 37$ (%)	Number of recipient complications in negative autoantibodies group, <i>n</i> = 78 (%)		
Bowel perforation	0	1 (1)		
Gastric perforation	1 (3)	0		
Kidney rupture	0	1 (1)		
Diaphragmatic hernia requiring urgent exploratory laparotomy	1 (3)	0		
Intraabdominal hematoma	0	1 (1)		
Retroperitoneal hematoma	1 (3)	0		
Splenic artery bleeding	0	1 (1)		
Splenic artery aneurysm s/p embolization	1 (3)	0		
Hepatic and splenic vein thrombosis	1 (3)	0		
Hepatic artery thrombosis	1 (3)	2 (3)		
Hepatic artery stenosis	2 (5)	1 (1)		
Hepatic artery-portal vein fistula s/p embolization	0	1 (1)		
Portomesenteric thrombosis	0	1 (1)		
Portal vein thrombosis	1 (3)	2 (3)		
Portal vein stenosis	0	1 (1)		
Portal vein thrombosis and stenosis, bleeding from exploratory laparotomy	0	1 (1)		
Portal steal syndrome	1 (3)	1 (1)		
Small for size syndrome	0	1 (1)		
Post-transplant lymphoproliferative disorder	1 (3)	0		
Total	11 (30)	15 (19)		

Table 4 Comparison of recipient outcomes in those who received living liver transplants from autoantibody positive versus autoantibody negative donors

	Positive autoantibody (<i>n</i> = 37)	Negative autoantibody (<i>n</i> = 78)	Odds ratio (95%Cl)	P value
Death	4 (11)	8 (10)	1.06 (0.22-4.31)	1
Strictures	20 (54)	28 (36)	2.09 (0.88-5.02)	0.07
Rejection	9 (24)	12 (15)	1.76 (0.58-5.16)	0.30
Infection	9 (24)	23 (29)	0.77 (0.28-2.02)	0.66
Other complications	11 (30)	15 (19)	1.77 (0.64-4.77)	0.24

The positive autoantibody group in this study consisted of those with positive ANA and ASMA. ANA is a nonspecific marker with estimated sensitivity and specificity of 0.65 and 0.75 for autoimmune hepatitis[18]. Up to 75% of ANA-positive individuals have no identifiable disease and ASMA can be present in up to 43% of normal healthy individuals, whereas AMA is estimated to be present in less than 1%17. None of the donors in our study were found to have a positive AMA, which would have been a more specific marker of disease. The presence of autoantibodies in healthy individuals is common with an estimated prevalence of 25%-28% in the general population [2,20]; however, the presence of an autoantibody does not necessarily indicate the presence of an autoimmune disease or its severity. The prevalence of pre-transplant autoantibodies in donors in our study was 34%, similar to that of the general population. In disease, autoantibodies are considered pathological although the mechanism in which they result in disease is poorly understood^[19]. It remains unclear whether they are primary or secondary consequences of the underlying process. As none of the donors with positive autoantibodies in this study were found to have liver disease, it is possible that the autoantibodies in these individuals



Bishidena® WJH | https://www.wjgnet.com

are not pathogenic in of themselves.

Several limitations of our study must be acknowledged. This study was retrospective in nature and included a single center allowing a risk of type II error. Whether the results would be generalizable to a broader population would require a multi-center prospective study. Furthermore, due to the timeframe of the study, it is possible that some patients might develop strictures, rejection, or other complications that were not yet diagnosed over the duration of this study. The mean time to recurrence of autoimmune hepatitis has been reported to be 4.5 years, but may occur as early as 45 d after liver transplantation with the rate increasing with postsurgical interval. On average, patients were followed for 2.6 years following transplantation. In their study, Dbouk et al[9] examined the impact of age, race, sex, and autoimmune titer levels on recurrence rates or death, and found that African Americans were at a higher risk of, recurrence and death compared to other ethnic groups. Due to the predominantly Caucasian patient population skew in our cohort, we were unable to factor in race into our analysis. We also acknowledge that some donors were excluded due to lack of measurement of pre-transplant autoantibody titers. Despite this limitation, we believe our results provide a foundation for subsequent prospective multicenter studies.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, we presented data on post-transplantation outcomes for 115 patients who received living liver donor transplants at our center. Patients were followed for an average of 2.6 years with patient survival of 90%. We found that patients who received transplants from autoantibody positive donors had similar rates of complications including strictures, death, and rejection to patients who received transplants from autoantibody negative donors. Our results expand upon existing literature suggesting that autoantibody positivity in asymptomatic donors is not correlated to worse transplant outcomes and should not preclude donation. Larger prospective studies with longer lengths of follow-up are needed to identify whether these results can be broadly applied to a wider population and whether other factors such as ethnicity or socioeconomic status may play a role in long-term transplantation outcomes.

ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS

Research background

Positive pre-transplant autoantibodies in donors are common and of unclear significance. There is a lack of data on the significance of positive donor autoantibodies on post-transplant outcomes in living liver donor transplantations.

Research motivation

The donor pool for liver transplantations remains limited and living liver donors help bridge the gap. It is therefore important to know whether positive autoantibodies in living donors have an effect on posttransplant outcomes and whether they should pose a barrier to transplantation.

Research objectives

The objective of this study was to analyze the significance of positive autoantibodies in donors on posttransplant outcomes and complications in recipients including rates of mortality, mortality, biliary strictures, biliary leaks, infection, and rejection.

Research methods

This retrospective study included all patients above the age of 18 who underwent living liver donor transplantations at our center over a nine-year period (2012-20201). Demographic data and autoantibody titers were collected and analyzed to determine if they were associated with worse posttransplantation outcomes, including higher rates of mortality, biliary strictures, biliary leaks, infection, or rejection.

Research results

Positive autoantibodies commonly associated with liver disease in donors were not correlated to higher rates of post-transplantation complications.

Research conclusions

Our results expand upon existing literature suggesting that autoantibody positivity in asymptomatic donors is not correlated to worse transplant outcomes and should not preclude donation in living donor liver transplantations.



Research perspectives

Larger prospective studies with longer lengths of follow-up are needed to identify whether these results can be broadly applied to a wider population and whether other factors such as ethnicity or socioeconomic status may play a role in long-term transplantation outcomes.

FOOTNOTES

Author contributions: Loh J designed, performed the research and analysis of the data and wrote the paper; Hashimoto K, Kwon CD, and Masato F helped with critical revisions of the study; Modaresi Esfeh J supervised, helped design the study, made critical revisions to the manuscript and gave final approval.

Institutional review board statement: This study was reviewed and approved by the Cleveland Clinic Foundation Institutional Review Board.

Informed consent statement: This study qualified by a Waiver of Informed Consent as determined by our hospital's IRB as it was a retrospective study that involved no more than minimal risk to the subjects, could be reasonably carried out without the waiver, and was not a threat to the rights or welfare of the subjects.

Conflict-of-interest statement: We have no financial relationships to disclose.

Data sharing statement: No additional data are available.

STROBE statement: The authors have read the STROBE Statement – checklist of items, and the manuscript was prepared and revised according to the STROBE Statement-checklist of items.

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is noncommercial. See: https://creativecommons.org/Licenses/by-nc/4.0/

Country/Territory of origin: United States

ORCID number: Joyce Loh 0000-0002-3173-6892; Koji Hashimoto 0000-0002-2327-6777; Choon Hyuck David Kwon 0000-0002-1082-3321; Masato Fujiki 0000-0002-1118-0081; Jamak Modaresi Esfeh 0000-0002-9429-5465.

S-Editor: Liu JH L-Editor: A P-Editor: Liu JH

REFERENCES

- 1 Trotter JF, Wisniewski KA, Terrault NA, Everhart JE, Kinkhabwala M, Weinrieb RM, Fair JH, Fisher RA, Koffron AJ, Saab S, Merion RM; A2ALL Study Group. Outcomes of donor evaluation in adult-to-adult living donor liver transplantation. Hepatology 2007; 46: 1476-1484 [PMID: 17668879 DOI: 10.1002/hep.21845]
- Li QZ, Karp DR, Quan J, Branch VK, Zhou J, Lian Y, Chong BF, Wakeland EK, Olsen NJ. Risk factors for ANA 2 positivity in healthy persons. Arthritis Res Ther 2011; 13: R38 [PMID: 21366908 DOI: 10.1186/ar3271]
- Foschi A, Zavaglia CA, Fanti D, Mazzarelli C, Perricone G, Vangeli M, Viganò R, Belli LS. Autoimmunity after liver 3 transplantation: a frequent event but a rare clinical problem. Clin Transplant 2015; 29: 161-166 [PMID: 25522890 DOI: 10.1111/ctr.12498
- 4 Pellegrini L, Parrilli G, Santonicola A, Cinquanta L, Caputo C, Ciacci C, Zingone F. Lack of Clinical Relevance of ANA and ASMA Positivity in Patients with Liver Transplantation without a History of Autoimmune Diseases. Biomed Res Int 2017; 2017: 2456916 [PMID: 28337446 DOI: 10.1155/2017/2456916]
- 5 Avitzur Y, Ngan BY, Lao M, Fecteau A, Ng VL. Prospective evaluation of the prevalence and clinical significance of positive autoantibodies after pediatric liver transplantation. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr 2007; 45: 222-227 [PMID: 17667719 DOI: 10.1097/MPG.0b013e31805ce219]
- 6 Richter A, Grabhorn E, Helmke K, Manns MP, Ganschow R, Burdelski M. Clinical relevance of autoantibodies after pediatric liver transplantation. Clin Transplant 2007; 21: 427-432 [PMID: 17488397 DOI: 10.1111/j.1399-0012.2007.00667.x]
- 7 Chen CY, Ho MC, Wu JF, Jeng YM, Chen HL, Chang MH, Lee PH, Hu RH, Ni YH. Development of autoantibodies after pediatric liver transplantation. Pediatr Transplant 2013; 17: 144-148 [PMID: 23217026 DOI: 10.1111/petr.12032]
- Riva S, Sonzogni A, Bravi M, Bertani A, Alessio MG, Candusso M, Stroppa P, Melzi ML, Spada M, Gridelli B, Colledan M, Torre G. Late graft dysfunction and autoantibodies after liver transplantation in children: preliminary results of an Italian experience. Liver Transpl 2006; 12: 573-577 [PMID: 16555335 DOI: 10.1002/lt.20673]



- 9 Dbouk N, Parekh S. Impact of pretransplant antinuclear antibody and antismooth muscle antibody titers on disease recurrence and graft survival following liver transplantation in autoimmune hepatitis patients. J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2013; **28**: 537-542 [PMID: 22432792 DOI: 10.1111/j.1440-1746.2012.07125.x]
- Dubel L, Farges O, Johanet C, Sebagh M, Bismuth H. High incidence of antitissue antibodies in patients experiencing 10 chronic liver allograft rejection. Transplantation 1998; 65: 1072-1075 [PMID: 9583868 DOI: 10.1097/00007890-199804270-00011]
- 11 Evans HM, Kelly DA, McKiernan PJ, Hübscher S. Progressive histological damage in liver allografts following pediatric liver transplantation. Hepatology 2006; 43: 1109-1117 [PMID: 16628633 DOI: 10.1002/hep.21152]
- 12 Cardinal H, Dieudé M, Hébert MJ. The Emerging Importance of Non-HLA Autoantibodies in Kidney Transplant Complications. J Am Soc Nephrol 2017; 28: 400-406 [PMID: 27798244 DOI: 10.1681/ASN.2016070756]
- 13 Bharat A, Saini D, Steward N, Hachem R, Trulock EP, Patterson GA, Meyers BF, Mohanakumar T. Antibodies to selfantigens predispose to primary lung allograft dysfunction and chronic rejection. Ann Thorac Surg 2010; 90: 1094-1101 [PMID: 20868794 DOI: 10.1016/j.athoracsur.2010.06.009]
- 14 Zhang Q, Reed EF. The importance of non-HLA antibodies in transplantation. Nat Rev Nephrol 2016; 12: 484-495 [PMID: 27345243 DOI: 10.1038/nrneph.2016.88]
- 15 Ekong UD, Antala S, Bow L, Sese D, Morotti R, Rodriguez-Davalos M, Gan G, Deng Y, Emre SH. HLA, Non-HLA Antibodies, and Eplet Mismatches in Pediatric Liver Transplantation: Observations From a Small, Single-Center Cohort. Exp Clin Transplant 2019; 17: 6-17 [PMID: 30777518 DOI: 10.6002/ect.MESOT2018.L30]
- 16 O'Leary JG, Demetris AJ, Philippe A, Freeman R, Cai J, Heidecke H, Smith C, Hart B, Jennings LW, Catar R, Everly M, Klintmalm GB, Dragun D. Non-HLA Antibodies Impact on C4d Staining, Stellate Cell Activation and Fibrosis in Liver Allografts. Transplantation 2017; 101: 2399-2409 [PMID: 28665894 DOI: 10.1097/TP.000000000001853]
- 17 González-Koch A, Czaja AJ, Carpenter HA, Roberts SK, Charlton MR, Porayko MK, Rosen CB, Wiesner RH. Recurrent autoimmune hepatitis after orthotopic liver transplantation. Liver Transpl 2001; 7: 302-310 [PMID: 11303289 DOI: 10.1053/jlts.2001.21449]
- 18 Zhang WC, Zhao FR, Chen J, Chen WX. Meta-analysis: diagnostic accuracy of antinuclear antibodies, smooth muscle antibodies and antibodies to a soluble liver antigen/liver pancreas in autoimmune hepatitis. PLoS One 2014; 9: e92267 [PMID: 24651126 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0092267]
- 19 Zeman MV, Hirschfield GM. Autoantibodies and liver disease: uses and abuses. Can J Gastroenterol 2010; 24: 225-231 [PMID: 20431809 DOI: 10.1155/2010/431913]
- Wandstrat AE, Carr-Johnson F, Branch V, Gray H, Fairhurst AM, Reimold A, Karp D, Wakeland EK, Olsen NJ. 20 Autoantibody profiling to identify individuals at risk for systemic lupus erythematosus. J Autoimmun 2006; 27: 153-160 [PMID: 17052888 DOI: 10.1016/j.jaut.2006.09.001]





Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA Telephone: +1-925-3991568 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com Help Desk: https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk https://www.wjgnet.com

