

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**Manuscript NO:** 53701

**Title:** Pearls and pitfalls in magnetic resonance imaging of hepatocellular carcinoma

**Reviewer's code:** 03699961

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MD

**Professional title:** Professor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Japan

**Author's Country/Territory:** Serbia

**Manuscript submission date:** 2019-12-31

**Reviewer chosen by:** Ruo-Yu Ma

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2020-01-04 04:36

**Reviewer performed review:** 2020-01-05 10:44

**Review time:** 1 Day and 6 Hours

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Title: Pearls and pitfalls in MR imaging of Hepatocellular Carcinoma. Jelena Djokic

Kovač, Tamara Milovanovic, Vladimir Dugalic and Igor Dumic. 1) General Comments

In this manuscript, the authors neatly summarized characteristics of MR imaging of hepatocellular carcinoma by showing many representative images of actual cases including patients with rare types of hepatocellular carcinoma. The information is helpful in clinic to diagnose a liver tumor. There are several points, however, to increase the value of this manuscript further. The followings are concerns that the authors may wish to consider:

2) Specific comments Major concerns: 1. There are many types of

contrast medium available in an MRI study for hepatocellular carcinoma. Especially gadoxetic acid, which chelates a gadolinium ion with the moiety of ethoxybenzyl diethylenetriaminepentaacetic acid, reveals not only flow dynamics in the liver but also function of hepatocytes in a hepatobiliary phase. It is helpful to summarize characteristics of contrast agents available in an MRI study for hepatocellular carcinoma.

2. It is important to keep it in mind that the iso or hyper intensities in hepatobiliary phase indicates that the tumor is consisting of the hepatocytes. It is precious information for differential diagnosis of a tumor in the liver. 3. The hypervascularity in arterial phase

is one of the key determinants for the diagnostic imaging of hepatocellular carcinoma and should not be determined only by CT/MRI study, because in CT/MRI study the images in arterial phase are taken at a certain time point through the time course of a

contrast study. That time point may not be always the best moment to evaluate hypervascularity of hepatocellular carcinoma. It is quite important to evaluate hypervascularity by observing flow dynamics throughout the entire time course of a

contrast study using ultrasound. 4. MRI including the subtraction technique is useful for determining contrast enhancement for the lesion with high precontrast T1 signal intensity. Minor concerns: 1. Although the authors stated that hepatocellular carcinoma

predominantly arises in a cirrhotic liver with estimated 5 years incidence of 25%, the

incidence is quite different among different background liver diseases. 2. Ancillary, a typo in TYPICAL MRI FEATURES OF HEPATOCELLULAR CARCINOMA section, 2nd paragraph, 4th line from the bottom. 3. In terms of organic anion-transporting polypeptide, there are several subtypes. Please specify the subtypes, which are involved in the uptake of gadoxetic acid in hepatocytes. 4. In the Figure 1, it is better to show a T1-weighted image before an injection of contrast medium to show the vascularity. 5. Hypervascular, a typo in the Figure 5D. 6. In the Figure 6, it is better to indicate portal vein thrombosis by arrows or something. 7. In the Figure 7, it is better to indicate the area that shows hypervascularity and washout. 8. In Scirrhou HCC section, the authors referred the report suggesting that T2-weighted central darkness and the presence of capsule are significant and independent MRI predictors for scirrhou HCC. If these characteristic features are shown in the Figure 11, it is better to indicate them. 9. I am wondering that the arrows may indicate incorrect points in the Figure 14A.

## INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

### *Google Search:*

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

### *BPG Search:*

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No