

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 86493

Title: Successful Resolution of Gastric Perforation Caused by a Severe Complication of

Pancreatic Walled-Off Necrosis: A Case Report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05382551 Position: Editorial Board Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Associate Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Spain

Author's Country/Territory: South Korea

Manuscript submission date: 2023-06-21

Reviewer chosen by: Geng-Long Liu

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-07-03 06:49

Reviewer performed review: 2023-07-03 07:12

Review time: 1 Hour

	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C:
Scientific quality	Good
	[] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [Y] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair
this manuscript	[] Grade D: No creativity or innovation



Baishideng Publishing

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [Y] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [Y] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y] Yes [] No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The article is within the scope of the journal, and deals with an interesting topic. It is well written and organized. The reading is fluent. An original and novel case review is presented. The results are described and a discussion is carried out. To be accepted, some changes would have to be made: a) A section on the state of the art should be included where the work presented is compared with other similar ones, delimiting the advances and limitations. b) A state of the art section should be included. c) The conclusions must summarize the scientific contribution of the article and what would be the main lines of future work.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 86493

Title: Successful Resolution of Gastric Perforation Caused by a Severe Complication of

Pancreatic Walled-Off Necrosis: A Case Report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05531699 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Italy

Author's Country/Territory: South Korea

Manuscript submission date: 2023-06-21

Reviewer chosen by: Geng-Long Liu

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-07-02 06:24

Reviewer performed review: 2023-07-08 15:39

Review time: 6 Days and 9 Hours

	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C:
Scientific quality	Good
	[] Grade D: Fair [<mark>Y</mark>] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [Y] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [Y] Grade D: No creativity or innovation
	[-]



https://www.wjgnet.com

Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [Y] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [Y] Rejection
Re-review	[Y] Yes [] No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The Authors document a case of successfully resolved gastric perforation complicated by pancreatic WON. While the case presents no particular novelty, it may have a good educational value. I have however some major concerns: - Authors contributions: which study? this is a case report! please revised this - Discussion: 'We performed a retrospective review at a single center experience. A prospective, randomized, multicenter investigations related to this issue are necessary.'. Again, this is a case report. How do the Authors imagine to conduct a prospective, randomized, multicenter investigation on gastric perforation due to WON? I am afraid that the Authors have copied the above statements from other sources without thinking about them. All references date back to more than 10 years ago. I therefore encourage the Authors to carefully reconsider what they have written.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 86493

Title: Successful Resolution of Gastric Perforation Caused by a Severe Complication of

Pancreatic Walled-Off Necrosis: A Case Report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 02544134 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Attending Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: United States

Author's Country/Territory: South Korea

Manuscript submission date: 2023-06-21

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-07-04 17:33

Reviewer performed review: 2023-07-10 17:59

Review time: 6 Days

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No creativity or innovation



Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [Y] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [Y] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [] Anonymous [Y] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is an interesting case report, because of the gastric perforation induced bei WON in necrotizing pancreatitis. The authors have selected nice CT images to demonstrate the case. Language should be revised and the literature should be updated. Especially: The key words should contain: case report peritoneal irrigation should be changed in peritoneal irritation biochemistry test: list in a table instead of text with the normal values at their side necresectomy, should be changed to necrosectomy The mortality rates are much higher then 20-30% in severe pancreatitis. This should be corrected. Also the authors should find newer literature (than 2007) Take out: We performed a retrospective review at a single center experience. A prospective, randomized, multicenter investigations related to this issue are necessary. No case report in the literature (number 4) Literature is very old (number 9) It is wrong that endoscopic drainage leads to longer hospital stay. This should be corrected. Comment on life style changes for the patient.



RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 86493

Title: Successful resolution of gastric perforation caused by a severe complication of

pancreatic walled-off necrosis: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05382551 Position: Editorial Board Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Associate Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Spain

Author's Country/Territory: South Korea

Manuscript submission date: 2023-06-21

Reviewer chosen by: Yu-Lu Chen

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-08-15 00:24

Reviewer performed review: 2023-08-15 00:26

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [Y] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous



statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The paper can be accepted in current form



RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 86493

Title: Successful resolution of gastric perforation caused by a severe complication of

pancreatic walled-off necrosis: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05531699 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Italy

Author's Country/Territory: South Korea

Manuscript submission date: 2023-06-21

Reviewer chosen by: Yu-Lu Chen

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-08-15 16:34

Reviewer performed review: 2023-08-15 16:38

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous



statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I am not sure that my comments have been addressed - they are not reported in the rebuttal letter. However, I do believe that this manuscript deserves publication.