WJD

World Journal of Diabetes

Submit a Manuscript: https://www.f6publishing.com

World J Diabetes 2024 April 15; 15(4): 591-597

DOI: 10.4239/wjd.v15.i4.591

ISSN 1948-9358 (online)

EDITORIAL

Application and management of continuous glucose monitoring in diabetic kidney disease

Xin-Miao Zhang, Quan-Quan Shen

Specialty type: Endocrinology and metabolism

Provenance and peer review: Invited article; Externally peer reviewed.

Peer-review model: Single blind

Peer-review report's scientific quality classification

Grade A (Excellent): 0 Grade B (Very good): 0 Grade C (Good): C, C Grade D (Fair): 0 Grade E (Poor): 0

P-Reviewer: Martinez-Castelaoa A, Spain

Received: December 10, 2023 Peer-review started: December 10, 2023

First decision: January 25, 2024 Revised: February 1, 2024 Accepted: March 1, 2024 Article in press: March 1, 2024 Published online: April 15, 2024



Xin-Miao Zhang, Geriatric Medicine Center, Department of Endocrinology, Zhejiang Provincial People's Hospital (Affiliated People's Hospital, Hangzhou Medical College), Hangzhou 310014, Zhejiang Province, China

Quan-Quan Shen, Urology and Nephrology Center, Department of Nephrology, Zhejiang Provincial People's Hospital (Affiliated People's Hospital, Hangzhou Medical College), Hangzhou 310014, Zhejiang Province, China

Corresponding author: Quan-Quan Shen, MD, Associate Professor, Doctor, Urology and Nephrology Center, Department of Nephrology, Zhejiang Provincial People's Hospital (Affiliated People's Hospital, Hangzhou Medical College), No. 158 Shangtang Road, Hangzhou 310014, Zhejiang Province, China. spring198457@sina.com

Abstract

Diabetic kidney disease (DKD) is a common complication of diabetes mellitus that contributes to the risk of end-stage kidney disease (ESKD). Wide glycemic variations, such as hypoglycemia and hyperglycemia, are broadly found in diabetic patients with DKD and especially ESKD, as a result of impaired renal metabolism. It is essential to monitor glycemia for effective management of DKD. Hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) has long been considered as the gold standard for monitoring glycemia for > 3 months. However, assessment of HbA1c has some bias as it is susceptible to factors such as anemia and liver or kidney dysfunction. Continuous glucose monitoring (CGM) has provided new insights on glycemic assessment and management. CGM directly measures glucose level in interstitial fluid, reports real-time or retrospective glucose concentration, and provides multiple glycemic metrics. It avoids the pitfalls of HbA1c in some contexts, and may serve as a precise alternative to estimation of mean glucose and glycemic variability. Emerging studies have demonstrated the merits of CGM for precise monitoring, which allows fine-tuning of glycemic management in diabetic patients. Therefore, CGM technology has the potential for better glycemic monitoring in DKD patients. More research is needed to explore its application and management in different stages of DKD, including hemodialysis, peritoneal dialysis and kidney transplantation.

Key Words: Diabetic kidney disease; Continuous glucose monitoring; Glycemic monitoring; Hemodialysis; Peritoneal dialysis; Kidney transplantation

Raisbideng® WJD | https://www.wjgnet.com

©The Author(s) 2024. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Core Tip: Continuous glucose monitoring (CGM) shows the strength of providing a glycemic profile in diabetic kidney disease (DKD). This article summarizes the use of CGM in early and advanced stages of DKD, including hemodialysis, peritoneal dialysis, and kidney transplantation. CGM may be considered an alternative or complement to measurement of hemoglobin A1c in some contexts.

Citation: Zhang XM, Shen QQ. Application and management of continuous glucose monitoring in diabetic kidney disease. World J Diabetes 2024; 15(4): 591-597

URL: https://www.wjgnet.com/1948-9358/full/v15/i4/591.htm DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.4239/wjd.v15.i4.591

INTRODUCTION

Diabetes mellitus (DM) is a chronic disorder characterized by sustained hyperglycemia and its prevalence has caused an increased healthcare burden worldwide[1]. Long-term hyperglycemia and metabolic alterations can lead to various diabetic complications, causing damage to tissues and organs^[2]. Diabetic kidney disease (DKD) is one of the major microvascular complications, accounting for 20%-50% among diabetic patients[3]. DKD has been considered as a primary category of chronic kidney disease (CKD) and a leading cause of end-stage kidney disease (ESKD), contributing to the large physical and financial burden globally[4]. DKD is classified in accordance with progressively increased albuminuria $(\geq 30 \text{ mg/g})$ and decline in estimated glomerular filtration rate (< 60 mL/min/1.73 m²)[5].

The risk of hypoglycemia and hyperglycemia is predominantly increased in patients with DKD and particularly at advanced stages. Various factors contribute to glycemic variation in DKD, including impaired renal gluconeogenesis, defective renal clearance of insulin, elevated insulin resistance, and diminished β -cell function[6]. With progressive decline of renal function, initiation of peritoneal dialysis or hemodialysis could markedly affect glycemic variability because the glucose content of dialysates can alter daily glucose profiles [7,8]. The conventional glycemic marker glycated hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) is limited for the prediction of daily glycemic variability and acute hyperglycemia/ hypoglycemia. Moreover, its accuracy and precision are weakened with advanced CKD, and particularly among patients with dialysis. Alternative glycemic indicators, such as glycated albumin or fructosamine, have not been fully validated and applied because the cost and difficulties of implementation in daily practice. Therefore, optimal glycemic control is faced with challenges in patients with DKD.

Continuous glucose monitoring (CGM) is one of the innovative technologies for glycemic monitoring in the past 100 years[9]. CGM devices provide multiple data, including proportion of time-in-target range (TIR), glucose variability and glucose management indicator (GMI), which enable patients to respond immediately prior to acute glycemic events and assist clinicians to adjust appropriate treatment for patients[10]. Two main types of CGM system technologies, real-time CGM (rtCGM) and intermittently scanned CGM (isCGM), are currently available for use. rtCGM systems automatically transmit the data to a receiver, while isCGM systems require the patient to swipe the receiver to access current and historical glycemic files^[11]. Emerging studies on the use of CGM suggest its potential for more precise glucose monitoring in patients with DKD compared with other glycemic markers[6,12,13]. The latest Kidney Disease Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) guideline advocates that use of CGM may help prevent hypoglycemia and improve glycemic control for patients in whom HbA1c is not compatible with directly measured glycemic levels or clinical symptoms^[14].

APPLICATION OF CGM IN NONDIALYSIS PATIENTS WITH DKD

Various studies have assessed correlation between CGM metrics and conventional glycemic markers including HbA1c, glycated albumin and fructosamine during different DKD stages. The beneficial effect of CGM on glycemic control in patients with early stages of CKD is comparable to that in the general population with diabetes. With decreased renal function, the accuracy of HbA1c tends to fall in advanced DKD, partly due to anemia and treatment with iron supplements or erythropoietin-stimulating agents[15,16].

A recent study by Lu et al[17] has assessed the association between HbA1c and CGM metrics among patients with different stages of DKD. The correlation between HbA1c and GMI was attenuated with impaired renal function as shown in patients with CKD KDIGO 1-2 stages (r = 0.576) and stage 3 (r = 0.266). HbA1c was not significantly correlated with GMI in CKD KDIGO 4-5 stages (r = 0.296, P = 0.079). Ling *et al*[18] also evaluated the relationship between HbA1c and CGM metrics in moderate-to-advanced DKD (CKD KDIGO 3b to 5), which found correlations between GMI and HbA1c attenuated with advancing DKD [CKD KDIGO 3b (r = 0.68), CKD KDIGO 4 (r = 0.52), CKD KDIGO 5 (r = 0.22)]. HbA1c did not correlate with duration of hypoglycemia in any DKD stage, although it may have been associated with TIR and time in hyperglycemia in DKD (CKD KDIGO 3b-4). Likewise, Lo et al [19] indicated that HbA1c correlated well with mean CGM glucose in CKD KDIGO 3 (r = 0.79) but gradually weakened in CKD KDIGO stage 4-5 (r = 0.34). Vos et al[20] also observed poor correlation between HbA1c and CGM in DKD (CKD KDIGO stage 4-5, r = 0.38), while they found glycated

WJD https://www.wjgnet.com

albumin correlated significantly with CGM mean glucose in patients with DKD (CKD KDIGO stage 4-5, r = 0.54). Therefore, their study suggested that glycated albumin is more accurate for assessment of glycemia compared with fructosamine and HbA1c in advanced DKD. However, a recent prospective cohort study conducted by Zelnick et al[12] suggested that HbA1c is no more variable and less biased than glycated albumin and fructosamine in patients with DKD (CKD KDIGO stage 3-5). They observed similar correlations of these glycemic biomarkers with CGM mean blood glucose among patients with DKD (CKD KDIGO stage 3-5) (HbA1c, r = 0.78; glycated albumin, r = 0.78; fructosamine, r = 0.71), but none of them captured any incidence of acute glycemic variability as indicated by CGM devices. Oriot et al[21] investigated the discordance between HbA1c and CGM-derived metrics in DKD individuals, which showed higher HbA1c levels in this population and suggested that GMI data are more precise for monitoring glycemia. Similarly, Yoshii et al[22] reported that higher HbA1c levels did not always protect against hypoglycemic episodes as performed by CGM devices. CGM-measured hypoglycemia is frequent in patients with DKD or CKD without diabetes [23,24]. Ushiogi et al [24] observed that only two hypoglycemia symptoms were reported among 366 patients during CGM measurements, but hypoglycemia occurred in 41% of DKD participants and 48% of CKD patients without diabetes according to CGM detection. Similarly, a retrospective cohort study performed in 823 diabetic patients indicated that hypoglycemic events were negatively correlated with renal function, suggesting the role of TIR, especially nocturnal TIR, in the evaluation of DKD progression[25]. Apart from deficient kidney gluconeogenesis and counter regulatory hormone responses, hypoglycemic incidence is associated with impaired clearance of antidiabetic agents such as insulin and/or sulfonylureas [26]. Therefore, using CGM-derived metrics to complement HbA1c analysis is beneficial for patients with DKD and treated with insulin and/or sulfonylureas to avoid hypoglycemic episodes regardless of HbA1c levels. The Pearson correlation between CGM metrics and glycemic biomarkers on different stages of CKD in diabetic patients is summarized in Table 1.

APPLICATION OF CGM IN DIABETIC PATIENTS ON DAILYSIS

Diabetic patients with ESKD have a wide glycemic variability during dialysis. Patients treated by hemodialysis have an increased risk of hypoglycemia, while patients with peritoneal dialysis more frequently have hyperglycemia[27]. The KDIGO 2022 guideline highlights that the precision of HbA1c falls with advanced CKD, particularly among patients treated by dialysis[14]. However, currently there is no consensus on CGM use in diabetic patients treated by dialysis.

Emerging studies have investigated CGM in the context of DKD with hemodialysis. CGM may improve glucose control and optimize therapeutic adjustments without increased risk of hypoglycemia^[28]. The mean absolute relative difference (MARD) is commonly used to assess the accuracy of CGM sensors and MARD with good accuracy should be < 10% as recommended [29]. A recent study by Avari et al [30] compared the real-time and isCGM in 40 patients undergoing hemodialysis, and suggested that isCGM (MARD 11.3%) was more reliable than rtCGM (MARD 22.7%) for glucose monitoring. Hissa et al[31] showed that interstitial glucose detection by CGM devices was in good concordance with capillary measurements at the beginning of the dialysis session (MARD 16.5%-19%). The correlation, however, was weakened in later sessions (MARD 25.3%-28.8%), probably due to increased inflammatory response to sensor insertion, loss of dialysis fluid, weight changes between dialysis sessions, and anemia. In line with the study of Hissa *et al*[31], another study of 41 participants undergoing hemodialysis demonstrated that the accuracy of CGM sensor glucose levels deteriorated with duration of use from the first week (MARD 13.8%-21%) to the second week (MARD 24.5%-36.1%)[32]. They observed that MARD correlated negatively with dry weight, body mass index, hemoglobin and hematocrit after hemodialysis, which may have affected the differences between CGM and capillary glucose levels. In addition, Villard et al[33] compared the accuracy of CGM with capillary and venous blood glucose measurements in 20 diabetic patients on hemodialysis (MARD 13.8% and 14.4%, respectively), suggesting overall performance of CGM appears reasonably accurate and relevant for clinical use. However, MARD does not provide information about dynamic changes in glycemia or hypo-/hyperglycemia incidence; therefore, it should not be used as the sole parameter to evaluate CGM systems[34]. The study by Hayashi et al[7] found great glycemic variability during hemodialysis and > 20% of the participants experienced asymptomatic hypoglycemia, which is more frequent than currently recognized. Compared with HbA1c and glycated albumin, CGM values favors physicians for awareness of hemodialysis-related hypoglycemia. Bomholt et al[35] also investigated glycemic variations on and off dialysis in patients with T2DM using CGM. They observed that patients developed intradialytic hypoglycemia despite the use of glucose-containing dialysate, indicating that hypoglycemia is a risk during hemodialysis. The hemodialysis-related hypoglycemia may be associated with increased erythrocyte uptake of glucose and improved insulin sensitivity due to alleviation of uremia and correction of acidosis[36,37]. The use of CGM contributes to avoiding hypoglycemic events in hemodialysis, which are frequently asymptomatic and potentially severe. However, the accuracy of CGM should be improved with the progress of hemodialysis and further studies are needed to avoid influencing factors as mentioned above.

Glucose-based dialysate containing 100-300 g glucose is widely used in peritoneal dialysis. Therefore, patients undergoing peritoneal dialysis are prone to hyperglycemia due to glucose absorption from dialysate *via* the peritoneal cavity[38]. Additionally, with increasing use of glucose substitute dialysate and insulin treatment, peritoneal dialysis patients are also at risk of developing hypoglycemia. CGM may contribute to detection of asymptomatic glucose variations by hypertonic exchanges in this population. A recent study by Ng *et al*[8] showed rtCGM detection rates for hyperglycemic and hypoglycemic events were 96.5% and 60%, respectively. They suggested the accuracy and reliability of rtCGM across a wide range of glucose levels in peritoneal dialysis patients (MARD 10.4%). Notably, its accuracy was not affected by acidosis, urea levels and volume overload. Likewise, Ling *et al*[39] demonstrated that rtCGM detection was accurate in peritoneal dialysis with an overall MARD of 10.4%, and almost not influenced by overloaded volume,

Raisbideng® WJD | https://www.wjgnet.com

Table 1 The Pearson correlation between continuous glucose monitoring metrics and glycemic biomarkers on chronic kidney disease (number of participants

CKD stages	1-2	3a	3b	4	5	Ref.
HbA1c	0.576 (64)	0.266 (56)	0.266 (56)	0.296 (36)	0.296 (36)	Lu <i>et al</i> [17]
			0.68 (33)	0.52 (43)	0.22 (14)	Ling et al[18]
		0.79 (14)	0.79 (14)	0.34 (29)	0.34 (29)	Lo <i>et al</i> [<mark>19</mark>]
				0.38 (25)	0.38 (25)	Vos <i>et al</i> [20]
		0.78 (80)	0.78 (80)	0.78 (80)	0.78 (80)	Zelnick <i>et al</i> [12]
Glycated albumin				0.54 (25)	0.54 (25)	Vos <i>et al</i> [20]
Fructosamine		0.78 (80)	0.78 (80)	0.78 (80)	0.78 (80)	Zelnick <i>et al</i> [12]
		0.71 (80)	0.71 (80)	0.71 (80)	0.71 (80)	Zelnick <i>et al</i> [12]

CKD: Chronic kidney disease; HbA1c: Hemoglobin A1c.

body composition and anemia. Similar to those in hemodialysis, HbA1c is incapable of indicating acute glycemic variations that frequently occur in this population. Qayyum et al[40] observed that a high incidence of asymptomatic hypoglycemia was detected by CGM, but not reflected by HbA1c. They found three of 15 patients with HbA1c > 9% still had significant hypoglycemia. Additionally, the study of Bomholt et al[41] showed that mean glucose level was underestimated by HbA1c when compared with CGM, indicating the strength of CGM in glycemic control in peritoneal dialysis patients. A number of factors such as glucose concentration of dialysate, dwell time, and peritoneal membrane transport status may affect glycemic pattern during peritoneal dialysis^[42]. Research on CGM in the peritoneal dialysis population may provide comprehensive glycemic profiles and facilitate individualized therapeutic adjustment.

APPLICATION OF CGM IN KIDNEY ALLOGRAFT RECIPENTS

Perioperative and post-transplant hyperglycemia is common and severe in kidney allograft recipients because > 20% of them have ESKD caused by long-term diabetes. Strict glycemic control is crucial for patients to prevent de novo posttransplant diabetes or complications of previous diabetes in the transplanted kidney and direct glucose monitoring is more beneficial for providing information on glycemic variability and warning of acute incidents[43]. Jo et al[44] investigated CGM applied by participants 2 wk before and 2 wk after kidney transplantations. The CGM system provided an overall hyperglycemic profile, which showed a hyperglycemic tendency, higher mean glucose levels and increased GMI from before to after intervention. A randomized study of 40 patients assessed the use of CGM devices during the first 5 d after kidney transplantation, suggesting that CGM significantly reduced the incidence of hyperglycemic episodes and median glucose levels without increasing hypoglycemic events [45]. Similarly, Jin et al [46] investigated glucose profiles and the degree of hyperglycemia after kidney transplantation for 1 month. They observed hyperglycemia over fasting or postprandial glucose standard occurred in 42.1% during the early period after operation, except for patients with preexisting diabetes. However, more studies involving CGM performance at regular intervals based on different perioperative and post-transplant times are needed.

CONCLUSION

The present study reviewed the application of CGM in diabetic patients with different stages of CKD, including patients treated with hemodialysis, peritoneal dialysis or kidney transplantation. CGM provided a more precise and comprehensive estimation of mean glucose and glycemic variability, and had benefits in indicating acute episodes of hyper- or hypoglycemia. Therefore, the use of CGM is suggested as an alternative or complement to conventional glycemic indicators. Nevertheless, there is currently insufficient evidence to support the use of CGM in patients on dialysis or with kidney transplantation. Further clinical trials are required to improve and standardize its application for effective glycemic management and therapeutic regimen adjustment.

FOOTNOTES

Author contributions: Zhang XM drafted the manuscript; Shen QQ designed the research and revised the manuscript; all authors have read and approved the final manuscript.

Supported by Natural Science Foundation of Zhejiang Province, No. LY23H050005; and Zhejiang Medical Technology Project, No.



WJD https://www.wjgnet.com

2022RC009.

Conflict-of-interest statement: We have no financial relationships to disclose.

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: https://creativecommons.org/Licenses/by-nc/4.0/

Country/Territory of origin: China

ORCID number: Xin-Miao Zhang 0009-0006-2493-1178; Quan-Quan Shen 0000-0001-6704-6247.

S-Editor: Qu XL L-Editor: A P-Editor: Zhao S

REFERENCES

- 1 Sun H, Saeedi P, Karuranga S, Pinkepank M, Ogurtsova K, Duncan BB, Stein C, Basit A, Chan JCN, Mbanya JC, Pavkov ME, Ramachandaran A, Wild SH, James S, Herman WH, Zhang P, Bommer C, Kuo S, Boyko EJ, Magliano DJ. IDF Diabetes Atlas: Global, regional and country-level diabetes prevalence estimates for 2021 and projections for 2045. Diabetes Res Clin Pract 2022; 183: 109119 [PMID: 34879977 DOI: 10.1016/j.diabres.2021.109119]
- Harding JL, Pavkov ME, Magliano DJ, Shaw JE, Gregg EW. Global trends in diabetes complications: a review of current evidence. 2 Diabetologia 2019; 62: 3-16 [PMID: 30171279 DOI: 10.1007/s00125-018-4711-2]
- 3 Selby NM, Taal MW. An updated overview of diabetic nephropathy: Diagnosis, prognosis, treatment goals and latest guidelines. Diabetes Obes Metab 2020; 22 Suppl 1: 3-15 [PMID: 32267079 DOI: 10.1111/dom.14007]
- Zheng Y, Ley SH, Hu FB. Global aetiology and epidemiology of type 2 diabetes mellitus and its complications. Nat Rev Endocrinol 2018; 14: 4 88-98 [PMID: 29219149 DOI: 10.1038/nrendo.2017.151]
- Thomas MC, Brownlee M, Susztak K, Sharma K, Jandeleit-Dahm KA, Zoungas S, Rossing P, Groop PH, Cooper ME. Diabetic kidney 5 disease. Nat Rev Dis Primers 2015; 1: 15018 [PMID: 27188921 DOI: 10.1038/nrdp.2015.18]
- Galindo RJ, Beck RW, Scioscia MF, Umpierrez GE, Tuttle KR. Glycemic Monitoring and Management in Advanced Chronic Kidney 6 Disease. Endocr Rev 2020; 41: 756-774 [PMID: 32455432 DOI: 10.1210/endrev/bnaa017]
- 7 Hayashi A, Shimizu N, Suzuki A, Matoba K, Momozono A, Masaki T, Ogawa A, Moriguchi I, Takano K, Kobayashi N, Shichiri M. Hemodialysis-Related Glycemic Disarray Proven by Continuous Glucose Monitoring; Glycemic Markers and Hypoglycemia. Diabetes Care 2021; 44: 1647-1656 [PMID: 34045240 DOI: 10.2337/dc21-0269]
- Ng JKC, Ling J, Luk AOY, Lau ESH, Ma RCW, Li PKT, Szeto CC, Chan JCN, Chow E. Evaluation of a Fourth-Generation Subcutaneous 8 Real-Time Continuous Glucose Monitor (CGM) in Individuals With Diabetes on Peritoneal Dialysis. Diabetes Care 2023; 46: 1191-1195 [PMID: 37043824 DOI: 10.2337/dc22-2348]
- Galindo RJ, Aleppo G. Continuous glucose monitoring: The achievement of 100 years of innovation in diabetes technology. Diabetes Res Clin 9 Pract 2020; 170: 108502 [PMID: 33065179 DOI: 10.1016/j.diabres.2020.108502]
- 10 Mian Z, Hermayer KL, Jenkins A. Continuous Glucose Monitoring: Review of an Innovation in Diabetes Management. Am J Med Sci 2019; 358: 332-339 [PMID: 31402042 DOI: 10.1016/j.amjms.2019.07.003]
- Edelman SV, Argento NB, Pettus J, Hirsch IB. Clinical Implications of Real-time and Intermittently Scanned Continuous Glucose Monitoring. 11 Diabetes Care 2018; 41: 2265-2274 [PMID: 30348844 DOI: 10.2337/dc18-1150]
- Zelnick LR, Batacchi ZO, Ahmad I, Dighe A, Little RR, Trence DL, Hirsch IB, de Boer IH. Continuous Glucose Monitoring and Use of 12 Alternative Markers To Assess Glycemia in Chronic Kidney Disease. Diabetes Care 2020; 43: 2379-2387 [PMID: 32788282 DOI: 10.2337/dc20-0915
- Bomholt T, Adrian T, Nørgaard K, Ranjan AG, Almdal T, Larsson A, Vadstrup M, Rix M, Feldt-Rasmussen B, Hornum M. The Use of 13 HbA1c, Glycated Albumin and Continuous Glucose Monitoring to Assess Glucose Control in the Chronic Kidney Disease Population Including Dialysis. Nephron 2021; 145: 14-19 [PMID: 33264783 DOI: 10.1159/000511614]
- 14 Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) Diabetes Work Group. KDIGO 2022 Clinical Practice Guideline for Diabetes Management in Chronic Kidney Disease. Kidney Int 2022; 102: S1-S127 [PMID: 36272764 DOI: 10.1016/j.kint.2022.06.008]
- English E, Idris I, Smith G, Dhatariya K, Kilpatrick ES, John WG. The effect of anaemia and abnormalities of erythrocyte indices on HbA1c 15 analysis: a systematic review. Diabetologia 2015; 58: 1409-1421 [PMID: 25994072 DOI: 10.1007/s00125-015-3599-3]
- Rasche FM, Ebert T, Beckmann J, Busch V, Barinka F, Rasche WG, Lindner TH, Schneider JG, Schiekofer S. Influence of Erythropoiesis-16 Stimulating Agents on HbA1c and Fructosamine in Patients with Haemodialysis. Exp Clin Endocrinol Diabetes 2017; 125: 384-391 [PMID: 28407666 DOI: 10.1055/s-0042-124577]
- Lu Y, Zhang Q, Wang X, Jiang Y, Xue Y. Usefulness of glucose management indicator derived from continuous glucose monitoring to assess 17 glycemic condition in hospitalized patients with diabetic kidney disease treated with insulin pumps. J Diabetes Complications 2023; 37: 108613 [PMID: 37769507 DOI: 10.1016/j.jdiacomp.2023.108613]
- Ling J, Ng JKCC, Lau ESH, Ma RCW, Kong APS, Luk AOY, Kwok JSS, Szeto CC, Chan JCN, Chow E. Continuous Glucose Monitoring 18 Metrics in the Assessment of Glycemia in Moderate-to-Advanced CKD in Diabetes. Kidney Int Rep 2022; 7: 1354-1363 [PMID: 35685309 DOI: 10.1016/j.ekir.2022.03.029]
- 19 Lo C, Lui M, Ranasinha S, Teede HJ, Kerr PG, Polkinghorne KR, Nathan DM, Zheng H, Zoungas S. Defining the relationship between



WJD | https://www.wjgnet.com

average glucose and HbA1c in patients with type 2 diabetes and chronic kidney disease. Diabetes Res Clin Pract 2014; 104: 84-91 [PMID: 24573088 DOI: 10.1016/j.diabres.2014.01.020]

- 20 Vos FE, Schollum JB, Coulter CV, Manning PJ, Duffull SB, Walker RJ. Assessment of markers of glycaemic control in diabetic patients with chronic kidney disease using continuous glucose monitoring. Nephrology (Carlton) 2012; 17: 182-188 [PMID: 21883672 DOI: 10.1111/j.1440-1797.2011.01517.x]
- Oriot P, Viry C, Vandelaer A, Grigioni S, Roy M, Philips JC, Prévost G. Discordance Between Glycated Hemoglobin A1c and the Glucose 21 Management Indicator in People With Diabetes and Chronic Kidney Disease. J Diabetes Sci Technol 2023; 17: 1553-1562 [PMID: 35466719 DOI: 10.1177/19322968221092050]
- Yoshii H, Mita T, Katakami N, Okada Y, Osonoi T, Aso K, Kurozumi A, Wakasugi S, Sato F, Ishii R, Gosho M, Shimomura I, Watada H. The 22 Importance of Continuous Glucose Monitoring-derived Metrics Beyond HbA1c for Optimal Individualized Glycemic Control. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2022; 107: e3990-e4003 [PMID: 35908248 DOI: 10.1210/clinem/dgac459]
- 23 Ahmad I, Zelnick LR, Batacchi Z, Robinson N, Dighe A, Manski-Nankervis JE, Furler J, O'Neal DN, Little R, Trence D, Hirsch IB, Bansal N, de Boer IH. Hypoglycemia in People with Type 2 Diabetes and CKD. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol 2019; 14: 844-853 [PMID: 30996047 DOI: 10.2215/CJN.11650918
- Ushiogi Y, Kanehara H, Kato T. Frequency of Hypoglycemia Assessed by Continuous Glucose Monitoring in Advanced CKD. Clin J Am Soc 24 Nephrol 2023; 18: 475-484 [PMID: 36723294 DOI: 10.2215/CJN.000000000000102]
- Jin X, Yang X, Xu Y, Liang J, Liu C, Guo Q, Wang W, Feng Z, Yuan Y, Zhou H, Zhang Z, Jiang W, Liang Y, Lu B, Shao J, Zhong Y, Gu P. 25 Differential correlation between time in range and eGFR or albuminuria in type 2 diabetes. Diabetol Metab Syndr 2023; 15: 92 [PMID: 37386515 DOI: 10.1186/s13098-023-01071-4]
- Rhee CM, Kovesdy CP, Kalantar-Zadeh K. Glucose Homeostasis, Hypoglycemia, and the Burnt-Out Diabetes Phenomenon in Kidney 26 Disease. Semin Nephrol 2021; 41: 96-103 [PMID: 34140100 DOI: 10.1016/j.semnephrol.2021.03.004]
- Chen XX, Duan Y, Zhou Y. Effects of Hemodialysis and Peritoneal Dialysis on Glycometabolism in Patients with End-Stage Diabetic 27 Nephropathy. Blood Purif 2021; 50: 506-512 [PMID: 33302273 DOI: 10.1159/000511722]
- Joubert M, Fourmy C, Henri P, Ficheux M, Lobbedez T, Reznik Y. Effectiveness of continuous glucose monitoring in dialysis patients with 28 diabetes: the DIALYDIAB pilot study. Diabetes Res Clin Pract 2015; 107: 348-354 [PMID: 25638452 DOI: 10.1016/j.diabres.2015.01.026]
- 29 Battelino T, Danne T, Bergenstal RM, Amiel SA, Beck R, Biester T, Bosi E, Buckingham BA, Cefalu WT, Close KL, Cobelli C, Dassau E, DeVries JH, Donaghue KC, Dovc K, Doyle FJ 3rd, Garg S, Grunberger G, Heller S, Heinemann L, Hirsch IB, Hovorka R, Jia W, Kordonouri O, Kovatchev B, Kowalski A, Laffel L, Levine B, Mayorov A, Mathieu C, Murphy HR, Nimri R, Nørgaard K, Parkin CG, Renard E, Rodbard D, Saboo B, Schatz D, Stoner K, Urakami T, Weinzimer SA, Phillip M. Clinical Targets for Continuous Glucose Monitoring Data Interpretation: Recommendations From the International Consensus on Time in Range. Diabetes Care 2019; 42: 1593-1603 [PMID: 31177185 DOI: 10.2337/dci19-0028]
- Avari P, Tang W, Jugnee N, Hersi I, Al-Balah A, Tan T, Frankel AH, Oliver N, Reddy M. The Accuracy of Continuous Glucose Sensors in 30 People with Diabetes Undergoing Hemodialysis (ALPHA Study). Diabetes Technol Ther 2023; 25: 447-456 [PMID: 36961385 DOI: 10.1089/dia.2023.0013
- Hissa MRN, Hissa PNG, Guimarães SB, Hissa MN. Use of continuous glucose monitoring system in patients with type 2 mellitus diabetic 31 during hemodialysis treatment. Diabetol Metab Syndr 2021; 13: 104 [PMID: 34625090 DOI: 10.1186/s13098-021-00722-8]
- Toyoda M, Murata T, Saito N, Kimura M, Takahashi H, Ishida N, Kitamura M, Hida M, Hayashi A, Moriguchi I, Kobayashi N, Tsuriya D, 32 Sakao Y, Matsushita T, Ito Y, Suzuki S, Kasama S, Kasahara M, Yamakawa T, Mori K, Kuroda A, Miura J, Hirota Y, Abe M, Fukagawa M, Sakane N, Hosoda K. Assessment of the accuracy of an intermittent-scanning continuous glucose monitoring device in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus undergoing hemodialysis (AIDT2H) study. Ther Apher Dial 2021; 25: 586-594 [PMID: 33403763 DOI: 10.1111/1744-9987.13618
- Villard O, Breton MD, Rao S, Voelmle MK, Fuller MR, Myers HE, McFadden RK, Luke ZS, Wakeman CA, Clancy-Oliveri M, Basu A, 33 Stumpf MM. Accuracy of a Factory-Calibrated Continuous Glucose Monitor in Individuals With Diabetes on Hemodialysis. Diabetes Care 2022; 45: 1666-1669 [PMID: 35485908 DOI: 10.2337/dc22-0073]
- 34 Heinemann L, Schoemaker M, Schmelzeisen-Redecker G, Hinzmann R, Kassab A, Freckmann G, Reiterer F, Del Re L. Benefits and Limitations of MARD as a Performance Parameter for Continuous Glucose Monitoring in the Interstitial Space. J Diabetes Sci Technol 2020; 14: 135-150 [PMID: 31216870 DOI: 10.1177/1932296819855670]
- Bomholt T, Rix M, Almdal T, Knop FK, Rosthøj S, Jørgensen MB, Feldt-Rasmussen B, Hornum M. Glucose variability in maintenance 35 hemodialysis patients with type 2 diabetes: Comparison of dialysis and nondialysis days. Hemodial Int 2023; 27: 126-133 [PMID: 36760179 DOI: 10.1111/hdi.13073]
- Takahashi A, Kubota T, Shibahara N, Terasaki J, Kagitani M, Ueda H, Inoue T, Katsuoka Y. The mechanism of hypoglycemia caused by 36 hemodialysis. Clin Nephrol 2004; 62: 362-368 [PMID: 15571181 DOI: 10.5414/cnp62362]
- 37 Abe M, Kaizu K, Matsumoto K. Evaluation of the hemodialysis-induced changes in plasma glucose and insulin concentrations in diabetic patients: comparison between the hemodialysis and non-hemodialysis days. Ther Apher Dial 2007; 11: 288-295 [PMID: 17661835 DOI: 10.1111/j.1744-9987.2007.00492.x]
- Williams J, Gilchrist M, Strain WD, Fraser D, Shore A. 24-h Glycaemic profiles in peritoneal dialysis patients and non-dialysis controls with 38 advanced kidney disease. Perit Dial Int 2022; 42: 497-504 [PMID: 34579595 DOI: 10.1177/08968608211047787]
- Ling J, Ng JKC, Lau ESH, Luk AOY, Ma RCW, Vigersky RA, Li PKT, Chan JCN, Szeto CC, Chow E. Impact of Body Composition and 39 Anemia on Accuracy of a Real-Time Continuous Glucose Monitor in Diabetes Patients on Continuous Ambulatory Peritoneal Dialysis. Diabetes Technol Ther 2024; 26: 70-75 [PMID: 37955697 DOI: 10.1089/dia.2023.0349]
- 40 Qayyum A, Chowdhury TA, Oei EL, Fan SL. Use of Continuous Glucose Monitoring in Patients with Diabetes Mellitus on Peritoneal Dialysis: Correlation with Glycated Hemoglobin and Detection of High Incidence of Unaware Hypoglycemia. Blood Purif 2016; 41: 18-24 [PMID: 26960210 DOI: 10.1159/000439242]
- Bomholt T, Feldt-Rasmussen B, Butt R, Borg R, Sarwary MH, Elung-Jensen T, Almdal T, Knop FK, Nørgaard K, Ranjan AG, Larsson A, Rix 41 M, Hornum M. Hemoglobin A1c and Fructosamine Evaluated in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes Receiving Peritoneal Dialysis Using Long-Term Continuous Glucose Monitoring. Nephron 2022; 146: 146-152 [PMID: 34731864 DOI: 10.1159/000519493]
- 42 Heimbürger O, Waniewski J, Werynski A, Lindholm B. A quantitative description of solute and fluid transport during peritoneal dialysis. Kidney Int 1992; 41: 1320-1332 [PMID: 1614047 DOI: 10.1038/ki.1992.196]
- Ben-David E, Hull R, Banerjee D. Diabetes mellitus in dialysis and renal transplantation. Ther Adv Endocrinol Metab 2021; 12: 43



WJD | https://www.wjgnet.com

20420188211048663 [PMID: 34631007 DOI: 10.1177/20420188211048663]

- Jo EA, Min S, Han A, Ha J, Woo H Y, Cho A, Cho Y-M, Lee H, Kim Y C, Choe H J. 215.15: Perioperative Changes in Glycemic Indices 44 Using Continuous Glucose Monitoring in Kidney Transplantation Recipients. Transplantation 2022; 106: S47 [DOI: 10.1097/01.tp.0000885484.75009.75]
- Jandovitz N, George SJ, Abate M, Kressel AM, Bolognese AC, Lau L, Nair V, Grodstein E. A randomized trial of continuous glucose 45 monitoring to improve post-transplant glycemic control. Clin Transplant 2023; 37: e15139 [PMID: 37725341 DOI: 10.1111/ctr.15139]
- Jin HY, Lee KA, Kim YJ, Park TS, Lee S, Park SK, Hwang HP, Yang JD, Ahn SW, Yu HC. The Degree of Hyperglycemia Excursion in 46 Patients of Kidney Transplantation (KT) or Liver Transplantation (LT) Assessed by Continuous Glucose Monitoring (CGM): Pilot Study. J Diabetes Res 2019; 2019: 1757182 [PMID: 31886275 DOI: 10.1155/2019/1757182]



Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA Telephone: +1-925-3991568 E-mail: office@baishideng.com Help Desk: https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk https://www.wjgnet.com

