

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 21569

Title: Predictors of re-bleeding after endoscopic hemostasis for delayed post-endoscopic sphincterotomy bleeding

Reviewer's code: 00004485

Reviewer's country: United States

Science editor: Jin-Xin Kong

Date sent for review: 2015-07-30 21:22

Date reviewed: 2015-08-10 21:38

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1. The reviewer does not understand description of the ES "wound" in patients who did not rebleed (Table 3). By definition, these patients had to have active bleeding to undergo treatment. What does it mean to have a non-bleeding pigmented spot or a non-bleeding adherent clot or even a non-bleeding visible vessel (something decidedly unusual in the reviewer's experience)? 2. You make the claim that monopolar grasping forceps by Olympus are as effective as the other modalities used to treat post-ES bleeding, yet you offer no data to support this claim. Either supply such data, remove the claim, or state that this is based on the authors' unpublished experience. 3. Minor grammar suggestions: a. Introduction, line 10 = The majority of immediate post-ES bleeding is self limited... b. Page 9, paragraph 4, line 4 = required multiple sessions more often than those... c. Page 10, paragraph 2, line 9 = were not statistically significant after multivariate analysis. d. Page 11, paragraph 1, line 2 = yet not statistically significant by multivariate analysis. e. Page 11, paragraph 2, line 4 = have been reported... f. Page 12, line 2 = immediate post-ES bleeding.