

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Clinical Pediatrics

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 16745

**Title:** Limited Brain MRI for Evaluation of Non-Traumatic Pediatric Head Emergencies

**Reviewer's code:** 00723144

**Reviewer's country:** Afghanistan

**Science editor:** Fang-Fang Ji

**Date sent for review:** 2015-01-31 10:48

**Date reviewed:** 2015-02-25 03:40

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	PubMed Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear Editor Thanks for your invitation, I read this interesting concise review. I wish to draw your attention to a few points: 1- MRI is an expensive and time consuming 2- this modality could not be perform in emergency department and it need completely stable conditions that it is not possible in pediatrics with emergency conditions 3- this protocol prepared for which countries? is it applicable for all countries? please add the economical situation to your protocol. 4- Nevertheless, MRI is not a suitable device for assessing not acute hemorrhagic brain injuries nor bone lesions. 5- authors have not reviewed sufficient evidences therefore, in the main text, you can not find scientific and research evidence cited in references! regards

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Clinical Pediatrics

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 16745

**Title:** Limited Brain MRI for Evaluation of Non-Traumatic Pediatric Head Emergencies

**Reviewer's code:** 00138749

**Reviewer's country:** Spain

**Science editor:** Fang-Fang Ji

**Date sent for review:** 2015-01-31 10:48

**Date reviewed:** 2015-01-31 18:26

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	PubMed Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Great editorial. Same difficulties whenever a MRI is requested in out of work hours. Pros outweigh cons, and all of us should work favouring non-irradiating techniques, not only for brain CT but also chest CT, previously reported.