

Dear Editors and Reviewers,

Thank you for your letter and for the reviewers' comments concerning our manuscript entitled "**Monteggia type-I equivalent fracture in a 14-month-old child: A Case Report with Literature Review**" (ID: 68340). Those comments are all valuable and very helpful for revising our paper, as well as the important guiding significance to our researches. We have studied comments carefully and we hope meet with approval.

Responds to the reviewer's comments:

Reviewer #1:

Comment: 1 Title. The title reflects the main subject of the manuscript. 2 Summary. The abstract reflects the work described in the manuscript. 3 Keywords reflect the focus of the manuscript. 4 The manuscript adequately describes the history, current status and importance of the clinical case. 5 The contributions of the study were related to the presence of the lesion in children under 4 years of age, warning that it can occur at different ages. 6 The manuscript interprets the findings adequately, concisely, clearly and logically. 7 The figures and tables are sufficient, of good quality and adequately illustrative of the article's content. 8 The manuscript properly cites the most recent, important and reliable references in the introduction and discussion sections.

Response: We deeply thank you for your positive comments. We wish to be considered for publication in "World Journal of Clinical Cases", we purchased the English language-editing service from American Journal Experts (AJE), and gave a full language check or revision. At the same time, we uploaded the editing certification to the manuscripts-submitting system. We completed CARE checklist and it was uploaded too. Previous relevance reports about this rare case of Monteggia type-I equivalent fracture in children were mentioned and also cited in our report, and summarized in Table 1. We conducted Scientific Misconduct Check.