



Baishideng Publishing Group Co., Limited

Flat C, 23/F., Lucky Plaza,
315-321 Lockhart Road,
Wan Chai, Hong Kong, China

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 4211

Title: invited review article

Reviewer code: 00505477

Science editor: Wen, Ling-Ling

Date sent for review: 2013-06-21 14:27

Date reviewed: 2013-06-23 15:58

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

important issue for reviewing



Baishideng Publishing Group Co., Limited

Flat C, 23/F., Lucky Plaza,
315-321 Lockhart Road,
Wan Chai, Hong Kong, China

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 4211

Title: invited review article

Reviewer code: 00504150

Science editor: Wen, Ling-Ling

Date sent for review: 2013-06-21 14:27

Date reviewed: 2013-06-26 01:55

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a reasonable review paper on the IgG4-related sclerosing cholangitis. However, there are numerous grammatical and language errors making the manuscript extremely difficult to follow. In addition (1) paragraphs are not really organized as a way to break up conceptually different topics, (2) many sentences are disjointed. Several examples including but not limited to: ? Page 8: “We classified the etiology of SSC based on 3 reviews”. What do the authors mean by saying “3 reviews”? ? Page 10: The authors describe the findings from Oseini’s study, saying “...some patients with CC, particularly PSC,...”. Why PSC suddenly appeared here? ? Page 11: What are “respective controls”? ? Page 11: What “all types of CC” mean? ? Page 16: What do the authors mean “the present series”? ? Page 18: I guess the authors wanted to say “1-mm thickness”, not “1-mm diameter”. ? Page 18: What is IgG4-associated cholangitis? Is this different from IgG4-SC? ? Page 19: What is “non-PSC-related CC”? ? Page 20: Nishio’s study. It is unclear that what made improvement. The readers have to guess it would be steroid therapy. ? Page 21: Functional change of what? Abstract is written in a peculiar style for a review article. No needs for structural abstract. One may be left with the impression that the authors did meta-analysis. Essentially abstract needs to be re-written. Also Introduction has to be re-written. The authors should write what the problem is and why the objective of writing the review is. Lastly one important ethical problem: Figure 1 is essentially the same as Figure 1 of the cited article #21. Figure 4 is very similar to Figure 2 of the cited article #21. The authors should obtain permission from the publisher, if applicable.



Baishideng Publishing Group Co., Limited

Flat C, 23/F., Lucky Plaza,
315-321 Lockhart Road,
Wan Chai, Hong Kong, China

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 4211

Title: invited review article

Reviewer code: 01569291

Science editor: Wen, Ling-Ling

Date sent for review: 2013-06-21 14:27

Date reviewed: 2013-07-03 15:04

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This manuscript indicated the clinical characteristics of IgG4 sclerosing cholangitis to avoid useless surgical procedures. This manuscript was well written and analyzed and this content is quite useful for readers in this field. The reviewer decides that this manuscript is accepted for publications by considering journal's quality.