

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 53005

Title: Nomogram for Predicting Pathological Complete Response to Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy in Patients with Advanced Gastric Cancer

Reviewer's code: 03270443

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: FACS, MD, PhD

Professional title: Associate Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2020-01-21

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-01-21 12:12

Reviewer performed review: 2020-01-24 10:41

Review time: 2 Days and 22 Hours

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	RE-REVIEW	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority)	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority)	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision		<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision		Peer-reviewer's expertise on the topic of the manuscript:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Advanced
				<input type="checkbox"/> General
				<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
				Conflicts-of-Interest:

☐ Yes

☐ No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Comments The authors aim to establish a nomogram for predicting pathological complete response (pCR) to neoadjuvant chemotherapy (NAC) for patients with advanced gastric cancer (AGC). There are some points to be clarified before publication. The authors described that they established a nomogram with satisfactory predictive power of pCR. However, it is not so convincing to say that the predictive power of the model is satisfactory by applying the model to the group that made the predictive model itself. The authors should split the patients into a training set and a validation set to validate the predictive model. Preoperative chemotherapy has been proved to improve survival outcomes only when it was given as part of perioperative chemotherapy. What about postoperative adjuvant chemotherapy in this patient group? Data regarding peritoneal washing cytology test was not described. The authors should describe the data regarding adverse events during NAC and postoperative complications including mortality.

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

☐ The same title

☐ Duplicate publication



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

☐ Plagiarism

☐ No

BPG Search:

☐ The same title

☐ Duplicate publication

☐ Plagiarism

☐ No

RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 53005

Title: Nomogram for Predicting Pathological Complete Response to Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy in Patients with Advanced Gastric Cancer

Reviewer's code: 03270443

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: FACS, MD, PhD

Professional title: Associate Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2020-01-21

Reviewer chosen by: Jin-Zhou Tang

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-04-15 03:47

Reviewer performed review: 2020-04-15 04:51

Review time: 1 Hour

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors responded well to my comments. The paper is acceptable after clarifying the following points. The number of patients who received postoperative adjuvant chemotherapy does not match the number obtained from the total number of patients and the number of patients who did not receive adjuvant chemotherapy. In the revised Table 2 and 3, percent value should be added. “Table 4” should be corrected to “Table 3” in Page 9, Line 20.

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ [Y] No

BPG Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ [Y] No