

## ESPS Peer-review Report

**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Clinical Pediatrics

**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 3849

**Title:** Imaging of hemoptysis in children

**Reviewer code:** 00741994

**Science editor:** Wang, Jin-Lei

**Date sent for review:** 2013-05-29 18:18

**Date reviewed:** 2013-05-29 22:25

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	language polishing	BPG Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

A very nice, well written, review paper. My only remark is that the authors may want to look at the possibilities with new MRI methods such as hyperpolarized Xe MRI: this offers new options for characterizing the lungs and gives information about cavities and diffusion. So the brief paragraph on MRI would benefit from an extension covering this topic.

## ESPS Peer-review Report

**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Clinical Pediatrics

**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 3849

**Title:** Imaging of hemoptysis in children

**Reviewer code:** 00742182

**Science editor:** Wang, Jin-Lei

**Date sent for review:** 2013-05-29 18:18

**Date reviewed:** 2013-06-01 11:31

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	BPG Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The topic is a good one and the topic is reasonably broadly covered by this review article. However this reviewer would suggest a rewrite to try to trim the text for readability. Some of word choices are unusual and may be due to translation e.g. “manifold”, “yield to thorough physical examination”. The images are good and help the reader. There should be a flow chart to help guide the reader into how to choose the correct imaging at the correct time.