

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 12031

Title: Castleman's disease of the spleen

Reviewer code: 02822955

Science editor: Yuan Qi

Date sent for review: 2014-06-19 08:55

Date reviewed: 2014-06-30 01:35

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair		BPG Search:	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Lee HJ et al. report a very interesting case of unicentric Castelman's disease of the spleen, which was discovered incidentally during CT scan for another diagnostic hypothesis. Nonetheless, the manuscript should benefit some improvements, mainly in the discussion part: 1- There is more discussion about multicentric Castelman's disease than unicentric, while authors report a case of unicentric Castelman's disease. If possible, it could be of higher interest if authors discuss about the condition of unicentric Castelman's disease. 2- Authors report that 5 cases of Castelman's disease of the spleen exist in the literature. Is it possible to discuss around these cases and to compare clinicopathological findings between them? May be through a table that summarized the main interesting clinical and pathological characteristics of these 5 reported cases. 3- The paragraph of the discussion about diagnosis procedure is very short, especially concerning histopathological findings. Could authors develop this part? Does it exists a histopathological differential diagnosis? Because, this case is atypic regarding the age of the patient (75-yo) and the negative result for HHV-8 test, which suggest that is not a classic presentation. Authors should discuss about it. 4- English should be revised by a native english speaker.