World Journal of *Transplantation*

World J Transplant 2023 June 18; 13(4): 107-207





Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

JT

World Journal of Transplantation

Contents

Quarterly Volume 13 Number 4 June 18, 2023

REVIEW

107 Islet transplantation-immunological challenges and current perspectives

Kabakchieva P, Assyov Y, Gerasoudis S, Vasilev G, Peshevska-Sekulovska M, Sekulovski M, Lazova S, Miteva DG, Gulinac M, Tomov L, Velikova T

MINIREVIEWS

122 Intracranial pressure monitoring in the perioperative period of patients with acute liver failure undergoing orthotopic liver transplantation

Mendoza Vasquez LE, Payne S, Zamper R

129 Women's health issues in solid organ transplantation: Breast and gynecologic cancers in the posttransplant population

Jones-Pauley M, Kodali S, Basra T, Victor DW

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Retrospective Cohort Study

138 Pre-Lung transplant reflux testing demonstrates high prevalence of gastroesophageal reflux in cystic fibrosis and reduces chronic rejection risk

Lo WK, Flanagan R, Sharma N, Goldberg HJ, Chan WW

Retrospective Study

- 147 Mortality assessment for pancreas transplants in the United States over the decade 2008-2018 Jarmi T, Brennan E, Clendenon J, Spaulding AC
- 157 Is peri-transplant blood transfusion associated with worse transplant outcomes? A retrospective study Bukhari MA, Alhomayani FK, Al Eid HS, Al-Malki NK, Alotaibi ME, Hussein MA, Habibullah ZN
- 169 Delayed referral for liver transplant evaluation worsens outcomes in chronic liver disease patients requiring inpatient transplant evaluation

Cooper KM, Colletta A, Hathaway NJ, Liu D, Gonzalez D, Talat A, Barry C, Krishnarao A, Mehta S, Movahedi B, Martins PN, Devuni D

Observational Study

183 Haemodynamic management in brain death donors: Influence of aetiology of brain death

Lazzeri C, Bonizzoli M, Batacchi S, Guetti C, Vessella W, Valletta A, Ottaviano A, Peris A

190 Randomized intervention to assess the effectiveness of an educational video on organ donation intent among Hispanics in the New York metropolitan area

Pekmezaris R, Cigaran E, Patel V, Clement D, Sardo Molmenti CL, Molmenti E



Contents

World Journal of Transplantation

Quarterly Volume 13 Number 4 June 18, 2023

CASE REPORT

Efficacy and safety of local candida immunotherapy in recalcitrant warts in pediatric kidney 201 transplantation: A case report

Acharya R, Bush R, Johns F, Upadhyay K



Contents

Quarterly Volume 13 Number 4 June 18, 2023

ABOUT COVER

Peer Reviewer of World Journal of Transplantation, Mendy Hatibie Oley, MD, PhD, Plastic Reconstructive and Aesthetic Surgery Division, General Surgery Department, Faculty of Medicine, Sam Ratulangi University, Kandou General Hospital, North Sulawesi 95115, Indonesia. mendy.hatibie@unsrat.ac.id

AIMS AND SCOPE

The primary aim of World Journal of Transplantation (WJT, World J Transplant) is to provide scholars and readers from various fields of transplantation with a platform to publish high-quality basic and clinical research articles and communicate their research findings online.

WIT mainly publishes articles reporting research results obtained in the field of transplantation and covering a wide range of topics including bone transplantation, brain tissue transplantation, corneal transplantation, descemet stripping endothelial keratoplasty, fetal tissue transplantation, heart transplantation, kidney transplantation, liver transplantation, lung transplantation, pancreas transplantation, skin transplantation, etc.

INDEXING/ABSTRACTING

The WJT is now abstracted and indexed in PubMed, PubMed Central, Scopus, Reference Citation Analysis, China National Knowledge Infrastructure, China Science and Technology Journal Database, and Superstar Journals Database.

RESPONSIBLE EDITORS FOR THIS ISSUE

Production Editor: Yan-Liang Zhang; Production Department Director: Xu Guo; Editorial Office Director: Jia-Ping Yan.

NAME OF JOURNAL World Journal of Transplantation	INSTRUCTIONS TO AUTHORS				
ISSN ISSN 2220-3230 (opline)	GUIDELINES FOR ETHICS DOCUMENTS				
LAUNCH DATE	GUIDELINES FOR NON-NATIVE SPEAKERS OF ENGLISH				
FREQUENCY	PUBLICATION ETHICS				
EDITORS-IN-CHIEF	PUBLICATION MISCONDUCT				
Maurizio Salvadori, Sami Akbulut, Vassilios Papalois, Atul C Mehta EDITORIAL BOARD MEMBERS	ARTICLE PROCESSING CHARGE				
https://www.wjgnet.com/2220-3230/editorialboard.htm PUBLICATION DATE	https://www.wignet.com/bpg/gerinfo/242 STEPS FOR SUBMITTING MANUSCRIPTS				
June 18, 2023 COPYRIGHT	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/239 ONLINE SUBMISSION				
© 2023 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc	https://www.f6publishing.com				

© 2023 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved. 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com



World Journal of WJT Transplantation

Submit a Manuscript: https://www.f6publishing.com

World J Transplant 2023 June 18; 13(4): 107-121

DOI: 10.5500/wjt.v13.i4.107

ISSN 2220-3230 (online)

REVIEW

Islet transplantation-immunological challenges and current perspectives

Plamena Kabakchieva, Yavor Assyov, Stavros Gerasoudis, Georgi Vasilev, Monika Peshevska-Sekulovska, Metodija Sekulovski, Snezhina Lazova, Dimitrina Georgieva Miteva, Milena Gulinac, Latchezar Tomov, Tsvetelina Velikova

Plamena Kabakchieva, Clinic of Internal Diseases, Naval Hospital-Varna, Military Medical Specialty type: Transplantation Academy, Varna 9010, Bulgaria Provenance and peer review: Yavor Assyov, Clinic of Endocrinology, Department of Internal Diseases, University Hospital Invited article; Externally peer "Alexandrovska", Medical University-Sofia, Sofia 1434, Bulgaria reviewed. Stavros Gerasoudis, Faculty of Medicine, Trakia University, Stara Zagora 6000, Bulgaria Peer-review model: Single blind Georgi Vasilev, Department of Neurology, Faculty of Medicine, Medical University of Plovdiv, Peer-review report's scientific Plovdiv 4000, Bulgaria quality classification Grade A (Excellent): 0 Monika Peshevska-Sekulovska, Department of Gastroenterology, University Hospital Lozenetz, Grade B (Very good): 0 Sofia 1407, Bulgaria Grade C (Good): C Monika Peshevska-Sekulovska, Metodija Sekulovski, Tsvetelina Velikova, Medical Faculty, Sofia Grade D (Fair): D University St. Kliment Ohridski, Sofia 1407, Bulgaria Grade E (Poor): 0 Metodija Sekulovski, Department of Anesthesiology and Intensive Care, University hospital P-Reviewer: Nagaya M, Japan; Lozenetz, Sofia 1407, Bulgaria Scuteri A, Italy Snezhina Lazova, Department of Pediatric, University Hospital "N. I. Pirogov", Sofia 1606, Received: March 22, 2023 Bulgaria Peer-review started: March 22, 2023 First decision: April 11, 2023 Snezhina Lazova, Department of Healthcare, Faculty of Public Health "Prof. Tsekomir Revised: May 16, 2023 Vodenicharov, MD, DSc", Medical University of Sofia, Sofia 1527, Bulgaria Accepted: June 6, 2023 Article in press: June 6, 2023 Dimitrina Georgieva Miteva, Department of Genetics, Sofia University "St. Kliment Ohridski", Sofia 1164, Bulgaria Published online: June 18, 2023 Milena Gulinac, Department of General and Clinical Pathology, Medical University of Plovdiv, Plovdiv 4000, Bulgaria Latchezar Tomov, Department of Informatics, New Bulgarian University, Sofia 1618, Bulgaria Corresponding author: Plamena Kabakchieva, MD, PhD, Academic Research, Assistant Professor, Clinic of Internal Diseases, Naval Hospital-Varna, Military Medical Academy, No. 3 Hristo Smirnenski Blvd, Varna 9010, Bulgaria. plamenakabakchieva@yahoo.com

Abstract

Pancreatic islet transplantation is a minimally invasive procedure aiming to reverse the effects of insulin deficiency in patients with type 1 diabetes (T1D) by transplanting pancreatic beta cells. Overall, pancreatic islet transplantation has improved to a great extent, and cellular replacement will likely become the mainstay treatment. We review pancreatic islet transplantation as a treatment for T1D and the immunological challenges faced. Published data demonstrated that the time for islet cell transfusion varied between 2 and 10 h. Approximately 54% of the patients gained insulin independence at the end of the first year, while only 20% remained insulin-free at the end of the second year. Eventually, most transplanted patients return to using some form of exogenous insulin within a few years after the transplantation, which imposed the need to improve immunological factors before transplantation. We also discuss the immunosuppressive regimens, apoptotic donor lymphocytes, anti-TIM-1 antibodies, mixed chimerism-based tolerance induction, induction of antigen-specific tolerance utilizing ethylene carbodiimide-fixed splenocytes, pretransplant infusions of donor apoptotic cells, B cell depletion, preconditioning of isolated islets, inducing local immunotolerance, cell encapsulation and immunoisolation, using of biomaterials, immunomodulatory cells, etc.

Key Words: Islet transplantation; Type 1 diabetes; Diabetes mellitus; Immune tolerance; Graft rejection; T regulatory cells; B regulatory cells

©The Author(s) 2023. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Core Tip: Type 1 diabetes (T1D) is associated with loss of beta-cell mass and insulin secretion. Regardless of its nature, autoimmune or idiopathic, the loss of own insulin secretion is a hallmark dysfunction in T1D mellitus; thus, therapeutic options are aimed at either replacing the missing insulin or restoring physiological insulin secretion to achieve normoglycemia and postponing micro- and macrovascular complications. Nevertheless, the need to completely replace the depleted pancreatic secretion also leads to the emergence of new therapeutic horizons, including pancreas and islet cell transplantation. However, this approach also meets several immunological challenges-cellular and antibody-mediated rejection and loss of function. To improve the outcomes, several approaches are performed: Immunosuppression, apoptotic donor lymphocytes, anti-TIM-1 antibodies, mixed chimerism-based tolerance induction, induction of antigen-specific tolerance utilizing ethylene carbodiimide-fixed splenocytes, infusion of donor apoptotic cells before transplantation, combined with anti-CD40L antibodies and rapamycin, preconditioning of isolated islets, inducing local immunotolerance, cell encapsulation and immunoisolation, using of biomaterials, immunomodulatory cells, etc. mesenchymal stem cells, as an adjunct therapy to islet transplantation, can promote long-term graft survival, possibly by reducing inflammation and enhancing immune tolerance.

Citation: Kabakchieva P, Assyov Y, Gerasoudis S, Vasilev G, Peshevska-Sekulovska M, Sekulovski M, Lazova S, Miteva DG, Gulinac M, Tomov L, Velikova T. Islet transplantation-immunological challenges and current perspectives. World J Transplant 2023; 13(4): 107-121

URL: https://www.wjgnet.com/2220-3230/full/v13/i4/107.htm DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.5500/wjt.v13.i4.107

INTRODUCTION

Pancreatic islet transplantation is a minimally invasive procedure aiming to reverse the effects of insulin deficiency by transplanting pancreatic beta cells[1]. Pancreatic islet transplantation can be done with autologous and allogeneic islets. While autologous islet transplantation has the advantage of being derived from the same patient, eliminating the risk of immune rejection, its widespread utilization is limited due to several drawbacks, including the need for pancreatectomy, which may have associated surgical risks, and the limited availability of functional islets from a single organ in patients with advanced disease. On the other hand, allogeneic islets are taken from different individuals of the same species, usually for treating type 1 diabetes (T1D), with followed immunological response complications [2].

Typical for T1D is the continuing pancreatic beta cell destruction, which could be autoimmune (Type 1A) or non-autoimmune (Type 1B), resulting in decreased or absent insulin production. As a result, it increases in incidence yearly and is associated with severe hypoglycemia, ketoacidosis, and vascular



complications[3]. Although exogenous insulin analogs are considered the primary treatment option for managing T1D in response to hyperglycemia, they cannot accurately resemble the timing and dosing of physiological insulin secretion. Moreover, exogenous insulin therapy is associated with an increased risk of severe side effects such as hypoglycemia, weight gain, lipodystrophy, *etc.*[4]. Therefore, there is an ongoing effort to improve the treatment options[5]. Among them, pancreatic islet transplantation is promising to become the mainstay in the treatment process[6].

As a minimally invasive procedure, islet transplantation is ideal for high-risk surgical patients burdened with cardiovascular disease[7]. It does not follow the significant complications of vascularized pancreas transplantation, and with minimal intra-operational complications, such as bleeding and portal vein thrombosis, the mortality is negligible. On the negative side, multiple donors for a single patient are needed, while the alternative whole pancreatic transplantation treatment needs 1 and rarely 2 pancreases. This makes it a rather wasteful procedure[8]. An adequate islet number must be transplanted for patients to become insulin-independent. A single transplantation is often insufficient; several sequential transplantations are needed for satisfactory glycaemic and insulin results[9]. Early attempts had been made as early as 1893. Still, the milestone that grabbed the scientific community's attention was the ground-breaking Edmonton protocol, with its non-corticosteroid immunosuppressive treatment[9] and the other studies regarding the benefits of islet transplantation on glucose metabolism improvement[10,11]. Studies have shown that 5-year insulin independence has increased manifold[12-14].

Overall, pancreatic islet transplantation has improved to a great extent, and cellular replacement will likely become the mainstay treatment. Our goal was to review pancreatic islet transplantation as a treatment for T1D and the immunological challenges faced. To prepare this narrative review, we search the main databases, Medline, PubMed, and Scopus, in conformity with the principles of writing a narrative review[15].

ISLET TRANSPLANTATION PROCEDURE

The main procedural steps are pre-transplant assessment, pancreas procurement, islet isolation, tissue culture, transplantation, and post-transplant evaluation[8].

In pre-transplant assessment, eligible patients are chosen. Strong indications include recurrent severe hypoglycemic shocks, impaired awareness of hypoglycemia, undetectable C-peptide, age between 18-65, and a diagnosis of more than five years[16]. Additionally, previous kidney transplantation has been shown to impact the outcomes of islet transplantation positively. Studies have reported that patients who have undergone a kidney transplant before islet transplantation have higher graft survival rates, improved glycemic control, and reduced insulin requirements compared to those without a prior kidney transplant. This may be attributed to the immunosuppressive regimen used for kidney transplantation, which may enhance the success of islet transplantation by preventing the rejection of the transplanted islets[17]. Exclusion criteria include poorly controlled hypertension, heart disease, macroalbuminuria, glomerular filtration rate < 80 mL/min/1.73 m² and potential contraindications for immunosuppression. Current indications do not include the pediatric population[18]. In the transplantation of allogeneic pancreatic beta cells, ABO and human leucocyte antigen histocompatibility have to be assessed. The number of islet donors is generally limited, but new xenografts with islets from other species, typically porcine islets, and stem cell technologies could tackle this critical problem[19].

In the stage of pancreas procurement, the pancreas is removed from donors and preserved in the University of Wisconsin solution for up to 24 h. Important in this stage is the capsule to be kept intact. The pancreas is delivered to the islet isolation center when procurement is ready[20]. The islet isolation process involves the preparation of the pancreas, which is carefully cleaned of surrounding tissues and dissected to expose the islets of Langerhans. The pancreas is then cannulated and perfused with a collagenase enzyme solution for 10 min, which distends the pancreas to facilitate the separation of the surrounding stroma. Next, the distended pancreas is cut and set into the Ricordi Chamber, an automated device designed to facilitate the islet isolation process. The chamber employs a series of automatic steps to separate the islets from the exocrine tissue, including filtration and density gradient centrifugation. Finally, the isolated islets are processed using a COBE 2991 cell processor, which further separates the islets from any residual exocrine tissue, and the purified islets are then cultured for transplantation[21,22].

In the hands of the proper expert, the tissue culture stage of islet isolation represents a critical step in preparing isolated islets for transplantation. This stage allows the islets to recover from the stress induced by the previous steps of the isolation procedure, during which they may have been subjected to mechanical and enzymatic stress. The tissue culture stage typically involves the placement of the purified islets into a nutrient-rich media in a controlled environment, where they are allowed to recover for several hours to several days. During this time, the islets are carefully monitored for signs of viability and function, including assessment of insulin secretion and glucose-stimulated insulin release. This stage also allows for flexibility in scheduling the subsequent transplant procedure, as the islets can be stored under optimal conditions until the transplant recipient is ready to receive them. The success of

the tissue culture stage is highly dependent on the expertise of the individual performing the procedure, as optimal conditions must be maintained to ensure the viability and function of the isolated islets^[23].

Before transplantation begins, the final transplantation islet site has to be decided. The liver is considered preferable for transplantation, although different places are being tested for better islet survival and function[24]. Upon islet infusion, an "instant blood-mediated inflammatory reaction" is described with platelet consumption, activation of coagulation, and the complement system[25].

The post-transplant period following islet transplantation is characterized by a prolonged period of recovery during which insulin independence may not be immediately achieved. The transplanted islets may take months to years to fully integrate into the recipient's body^[6] and establish a functional vascular supply. During this period, the transplanted islets are subject to immunological attacks from the recipient's immune system, which can compromise their function and survival. A combination of induction, maintenance, and antirejection immunosuppressive drugs are typically used to prevent rejection of the transplanted islets. However, a notable irony is that many of these immunosuppressive drugs have diabetogenic properties, which can exacerbate preexisting metabolic abnormalities in transplant recipients. As such, these drugs must be carefully balanced against the need to maintain optimal islet function and prevent rejection[26].

Recent advances in islet transplantation have focused on immunoisolation, which involves the encapsulation of transplanted islets in a protective membrane to prevent their recognition and subsequent destruction by the recipient's immune system. Encapsulation of islets for immunoisolation involves using biocompatible materials that allow for efficient nutrient and oxygen exchange while preventing immune cells from accessing the transplanted islets. Several biomaterials have been studied for this purpose, including alginate, agarose, and polyethylene glycol hydrogels[27,28]. The techniques for improving islet cell survival by encapsulation are presented in Figure 1A.

For example, alginate hydrogels are commonly used due to their biocompatibility, ease of fabrication, and ability to protect transplanted islets from the immune system. While early studies in small animal models have shown promising results, with sustained islet function and reduced immunosuppressive drug requirements, translation to larger animals and humans has been less successful, with limited long-term success and significant technical challenges in maintaining membrane integrity and permeability. Using traditional immunosuppressive regimens remains a crucial component of current islet transplantation protocols, albeit with the recognized risks of diabetogenicity and other adverse effects[11].

T1D AND THE NEED FOR ISLET TRANSPLANTATION

Type 1 diabetes mellitus (T1DM) is a metabolic disease distinct by hyperglycemia, insulin deficiency, and a lifelong need for exogenous insulin replacement treatment[3,29]. T1DM is an autoimmune disease that develops in genetically predisposed individuals under the influence of environmental factors, which triggers autoimmunity to pancreatic beta cells. Although it is defined as "diabetes of young age", T1DM can also affect adults[30]. In general, T1DM is divided into two subtypes, 1A and 1B[31]. While T1ADM is associated with autoantibodies against islet cells [glutamic acid decarboxylase (anti-GAD65), tyrosine phosphatases islet antigen 2 (IA-2), IA-2 β insulin, or zinc transporter 8[32], also observed in patients with T2D[33], T1BDM, in turn, is a relatively small subtype that is not mediated by the immune system and has an unclear genesis.

T1DM is related to other autoimmune conditions such as celiac disease[34,35], Hashimoto thyroiditis, Addison's disease, pernicious anemia, etc. [36]. Moreover, patients with diabetes may have a compromised immune system, leading to a more complicated course of infections, including coronavirus disease 2019[37]. Some of the immune defects described in patients with diabetes are decreased cellular response in vitro, low complement factor 4, diminished cytokine response after stimulation, reduced chemotaxis, phagocytosis, and killing of polymorphonuclear cells and macrophages[38].

Regardless of the subtype, the loss of insulin secretion is a hallmark dysfunction in T1DM, and therapeutic options aim to replace the missing insulin or restore physiological insulin secretion to achieve normoglycemia and prevent micro- and macrovascular complications. Within the last few years, we have seen a rapid evolution in the therapy of T1DM[39]. First, tangible progress marked the discovery of insulin in 1921-22 by Banding and Macleod, saving from certain death children with diabetes. The subsequent development of new analog insulins with a better therapeutic and safety profile results in better control of hyperglycemia and a reduced risk of hypoglycemia, respectively. The introduction of insulin pumps with continuous subcutaneous insulin administration[40] and the implementation of modern technologies in diabetes control with continuous glucose monitoring systems combined with glucose prediction algorithms enabling the development of artificial pancreas delivery systems[41] marks extraordinary progress in managing T1DM.

Nevertheless, the need to completely replace the depleted pancreatic secretion also leads to the emergence of new therapeutic horizons, including pancreas and islet cell transplantation. They allow not only to achieve independence from exogenous insulin administration and the need to monitor blood sugar but also successfully to afford counterregulatory hormone secretion and pancreatic exocrine





DOI: 10.5500/wjt.v13.i4.107 **Copyright** ©The Author(s) 2023.

Figure 1 Techniques for improving graft survival. A: Islet cell encapsulation (after isolation of islets by density gradient centrifugation), islets are capsuled with different hydrogel types to obtain various sizes of capsules. Then the capsules are transplanted into the body; B: Mesenchymal stem cells modulate graft and immune responses and support the islet cell survival after transplantation. Parts of the figure were drawn using pictures from Servier Medical Art. Servier Medical Art by Servier is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported License (https://creativecommons.org/Licenses/by/3.0/).

function[42].

IMMUNOLOGICAL ALTERATIONS IN T1D

T1DM was thought to be a T cell-mediated autoimmune illness for many decades. This belief persists, but multiple recent discoveries hint at a role for beta cells beyond being a non-provoking victim of an autoimmune onslaught[38].

The interaction between genetic vulnerability and probable triggers is likely to begin at a young age, gradually leading to the loss of tolerance to self and, eventually, the development of clinical symptoms. The result is determined by genetic predisposition, decreased removal of the apoptotic cell remains, altered immune regulation, and environmental triggers (*i.e.*, viral infections). In addition, autoreactivity may exist under physiological settings, and illness may arise if the integrity of the complicated regulatory process is compromised[43].

The beta cells are destroyed by islet-infiltrating cells (*i.e.*, CD8+ cytotoxic lymphocytes and macrophages), resulting in insulitis. In addition, macrophages release cytokines that are harmful to beta cells. Secondary considerations are autoantibodies, which serve as the foundation for clinical diagnosis [43].

Initially, B lymphocytes are known to play a secondary role in T1DM that even occurs in severe congenital B-lymphocyte immunodeficiency[44]. Xiu *et al*[45] considerably delayed disease development in NOD mice by depleting B-lymphocytes using an anti-CD20 antibody. They concluded that this was not due to T effector cell reduction or T regulatory (Tregs) induction but rather to a decrease in the development of autoreactive T cells[45].

aishidena® WJT | https://www.wjgnet.com

However, autoreactive T cells are part of the typical T cell repertoire. In T1D, beta cells live in an inflammatory environment and participate in their destruction. Additionally, metabolic activity is what causes beta cell malfunction and destruction. Insulitis is characterized by inflammation, associated with substantial metabolic, epigenetic, and autoantigenic alterations that expose beta cells to the immune system[46]. In line with this, immunotherapy may be insufficient to treat T1D, although beta cell therapy may help reduce beta cell immunogenicity and islet autoimmunity [47].

It was demonstrated recently that innate immunity components might play a role in T1D pathogenesis, such as pattern recognition receptors and proinflammatory cytokines^[47]. Nevertheless, the accompanying inflammation of the islets leads to damaged beta cells and loss of insulin production.

Animal studies (i.e., non-obese diabetic mice) and human studies in T1D revealed defects in thymic selection, expansion of effector T cells, impaired homeostasis and FoxP3+ Tregs[48]. However, even if we accept the immune system's role in the development of T1D, science cannot assume that the disease is entirely a result of dysfunctional immunity, *i.e.*, autoreactive T cells. Recent research focuses on the participation of the peripheral immune system in the targeted tissue and the role of beta cells in the autoimmune process[49,50].

Indeed, when we accept this conception, it was demonstrated that T1D is usually characterized by less beta-cell mass, functional capacity and inability to control glycemia. Usually, beta cells undergo metabolic stress, inflammatory environment and other factors that increase the expression of specific adhesion molecules and other receptors, making them prone to immune attacks[46].

Islet transplantation has been considered a potential cure for T1D by replacing the damaged beta cells. However, its effectiveness is dependent on the underlying cause of the disease. For example, if T1D results from a pancreatic dysfunction leading to the loss of beta cells, then islet transplantation may be a viable option. However, if T1D is viewed as an autoimmune disorder, the presence of autoreactive T and B cells can lead to the disease's recurrence and limit the transplantation's efficacy[47]. In such cases, alternative approaches such as immunomodulatory therapies, co-transplantation with immune cells, or encapsulation of islets can be explored to improve the success rate of islet transplantation.

RESULTS ON DIABETES CONTROL AND AVOIDING DIABETES COMPLICATIONS AFTER ISLET TRANSPLANTATION

Patients with T1D or pancreatogenic (type 3c) diabetes (also known as insulin-deficient) may benefit from islet isolation from a deceased donor followed by transplantation of allogeneic islets in the liver. This can help alleviate hypoglycemia while stabilizing glycemic lability, and maintaining glycemic control, ultimately improving quality of life and frequently eliminating the need for insulin therapy. Replacement of islet function by transplantation addresses the underlying pathophysiology of longstanding T1D with sub-total annihilation of islet alpha-cells and the associated loss of the alpha-cell response to hypoglycemia[19]. This allows for the avoidance of hypoglycemia and stabilization of glycemic lability, which would otherwise contribute to impaired awareness of hypoglycemic states. Patients with T1D uncontrolled hyperglycemia, demonstrated by the recurring episodes of diabetesassociated ketoacidosis or quickly progressing severe complications related to the disease, might also benefit from islet transplantation[51,52].

Patients with T1D complicated by an allergy or resistance to insulin that is administered subcutaneously are a rare but essential indication for this treatment[53]. Finally, alloislets (from a viable allograft pancreatectomy) re-transplantation has been successfully executed in a patient with T1D who was initially given the pancreas transplant for hypoglycemia unawareness. Similarly, a T1D patient received simultaneous pancreas/kidney transplantation complicated by pancreas graft arterial anastomosis bleeding[54]. Notably, the degree of glycemic control achieved within the first five days after surgery determines the chances of accomplishing long-term insulin independence[55].

We analyzed the literature data published on islet transplantation focusing on the clinical outcomes [55-60]. Our results have been summarized in Table 1. The total number of included patients was 372. We established that the time for islet cell transfusion varied between 2 and 10 h. Approximately 54% of the patients gained insulin independence at the end of the first year, while only 20% remained insulinfree at the end of the second year. Most patients have received islet cells in the liver, and only 38 patients have IC harvested in the spleen. Another interesting fact we discovered was the high percentage of opioid-free patients after this intervention.

Unfortunately, the Collaborative Islet Transplant Registry reported 71% insulin independence in the first year and 24% in the third from the islet transplant centers^[61]. Eventually, most transplanted patients need exogenous insulin within a few years after the transplantation [62].

Some additional factors can also improve the outcomes after islet transplantation. For example, experiments in mice and rats with Vitamin D show promising results on glycemia and tumor necrosis factor- α (TNF- α) production in islet transplantation[63]. In addition, analogs of vitamin D3 are shown to prevent the autoimmune destruction of transplanted islets in non-obese mice[64]. This is a promising direction for research on humans due to the well-known anti-inflammatory effects of vitamin D3 in vivo [65,66].



Table 1 Islet transplantation protocols											
Ref.	Patients (<i>n</i>)	Time of infusion of islets (h)	HbA1c, 1-yr, media <i>n</i> (%)	HbA1c, 2-yr, media <i>n</i> (%)	Insulin independence 1-yr, median (%)	Insulin independence 2-yr, median (%)	IEQ harvested/g pancreas, median (range)	IEQ transplanted/g pancreas, median (range)	Opioid and pain- relieving	Organ placement	
Sutherland <i>et al</i> [56]	173	2-7	NR	NR	32	24	< 1000 IE/kg	(> 5000, 2500- 5000 and < 2500 IE/kg)	NR	173 liver	
Ahmad et al[<mark>57</mark>]	45	7-10	NR	NR	40	NR	NR	297889 ± 49480	72%	45 liver	
Rodriguez Rilo <i>et al</i> [58]	22	9	NR	NR	41	NR	245457 (range 20850 to 607466-175234)	350428 (range 31500 to 1164000-299321	82%	22 liver	
Webb <i>et al</i> [59]	46	NR	7	6.7	12	5	1876 (249- 12271)	I130029 (24332- 958078)	NR	42 liver; 2 spleen; 2 both	
Garcea et al[<mark>60</mark>]	50	NR	Approximately 6	Approximately 6	24	10	NR	NR	60%	85 liver	
Johnston <i>et</i> al[55]	36	8-9	NR	6.8	50	33	358959 (45000–672000)	4308 (769–9942)	30%	36 spleen	

HbA1c: Hemoglobin A1c; IEQ: Indoor environmental quality. NR: Not reported.

IMMUNOLOGICAL CHALLENGES OF ISLET TRANSPLANTATION-CELLULAR IMMUNE **RESPONSE, INDUCTION OF TOLERANCE, REJECTION**

At this point, the main complication after allogeneic islet transplantation is the chronic rejection conducted by activated T cells. This is also the main barrier to accomplishing long-term engraftment. One of the ways to maintain immune tolerance to the allograft is to administer immunosuppression[67].

However, this could be toxic for the islet grafts, leading to worsening long-term function of the islets, increased risk of infections, development of cardiovascular and renal diseases, de novo diabetes, neurotoxicity and malignancies[68].

The ultimate goal of islet transplantation is to achieve donor-specific immune tolerance. A recently proposed method for tolerance induction using apoptotic donor lymphocytes (ADLs) in animal models (*i.e.*, non-human primates)[69]. ADLs employ clonal depletion, anergy, expansion of Treg cells, regulatory B cells (Bregs), etc. Usually, these mechanisms act together to induce and maintain tolerance. However, this approach also meets several challenges.

Initially, the immune rejection after transplantation starts with innate immune cells infiltration into the islet grafts (i.e., macrophages), followed by donor-specific lymphocyte response, consisting of T cells (CD4+ and CD8+) and B cells. In line with this, the protocol comprised of T cell depletion and anti-TNF agents may enhance short-term graft survival [67]. However, this protocol has a significant drawback-it cannot modulate antibody-mediated rejection[70,71].

Targeting Bregs (i.e., low-affinity antibodies against TIM-1, essential for Breg development) results in considerably longer islet cell survival (about 30% of mice attained engraftment over 3 mo)[72]. Surprisingly, anti-TIM-1 treatment of B cell-depleted recipients significantly increased interferon-γ and prevented the typically seen rise in Th2 cytokines[72].

Furthermore, in a mouse islet transplant model, a combination of anti-CD45RB and anti-TIM-1 antibodies synergized in establishing tolerance in all recipients. Depending on the presence of interleukin (IL)-10-producing B cells in the recipient, the combined antibody therapy significantly increased the regulatory lymphocytes[73]. Furthermore, the study implied that B cells expressing CD19 and TIM-1 are part of tolerance development and maintenance. These results might clarify why B cell reduction decreased the effectiveness of dual antibody therapy.

Cross-reactive memory T and B cells could substantially impede immunological tolerance in animals and humans after transplantation. However, tolerance development in non-human models or humans would be more complex than in rat models, owing to cross-reactive memory immune cells. Yet, a few hopeful treatments exist, such as mixed chimerism through hematopoietic cell transplantation [74,75] or ADL exposure [76], which have led us to anticipate that immune tolerance can eventually be attained in people.

Oura et al [77] published the results of a non-human islet transplantation model where a nonmyeloablative condition regimen induced the mixed chimerism-based tolerance. The latter consisted of total



body irradiation, and administration of horse anti-thymocyte globulin, monoclonal antibodies (i.e., anti-CD154, anti-CD8, etc.), or cyclosporine (the so-called calcineurin inhibitor-free regimen)[77]. As a result, temporary chimerism did not prompt tolerance to increase the islet graft survival. Eventually, the islet stopped functioning shortly after chimerism disappeared[77]. Oura et al[77] also found that islet recipients had greater levels of inflammatory cytokines (*i.e.*, TNF- α and IL-17) in blood circulation than kidney recipients[77]. This study implies that excessive levels of inflammatory mediators following islet transplantation may impede islet graft tolerance induction. Since isolated islet grafts could induce a significant systemic inflammatory response, this should be the focus of future research to improve tolerance development and graft survival.

Induction of immune tolerance utilizing ethylene carbodiimide (ECDI)-fixed splenocytes in combination with particular antigens or peptides is a method used in transplantation models, including islet transplantation. Kheradmand et al^[78] demonstrated various mechanisms (*i.e.*, anergy, clonal depletion, employment of Tregs, etc.) via donor ECDI-fixed splenocytes administration. These splenocytes possess direct and indirect allospecificities that target allogeneic host responses. These mechanisms act synergistically to cause tolerance after transplantation[78]. In addition, Tregs and myeloid-derived cells that exert immunosuppression are activated and increased in number in the case of ECDI-fixed splenocytes infusion[79].

Allotransplantation in sensitized patients with pre-formed donor-specific memory lymphocytes and antibodies increases the risk of allograft rejection. Dangi et al[80] showed that administration of donor apoptotic cells, anti-CD40L antibodies, and rapamycin before transplantation resulted in a considerable extension of islet graft in allosensitized patients (median survival time, 35 d)[80]. Sato and Marubashi [69] confirmed that invading B lymphocytes play an essential part in the chronic rejection of the islet graft by stimulating local T cells. Therefore, ECDI-fixed splenocytes from the donor infused into sensitized recipients efficiently reduced alloreactive B cells. However, the latter could be switched by contemporary B cell invasion into the graft. As a result, in B cell-depleted patients, a method to regulate concurrent B cell invasion is required[69].

Moreover, islet grafts might be more resistant to immunological tolerance induction. Compared to kidney grafts, the considerably increased immunogenicity of islet grafts may impede tolerance induction in islet transplantation[77]. Islet grafts have relatively strong cytokine secretion activity because pancreatic islets are endocrine cells. Furthermore, cell stressors during the isolation process cause islet inflammation, increasing the immunogenicity of the islet graft before transplantation.

These conclusions imply that the stress during the separation method activates the proinflammatory gene program. Islet isolation entails many steps, including pancreatic distention, digesting with collagenase, and purification. Therefore, the islets should be injured throughout each phase by hypoxia and heated ischemia, production of activated proteolytic enzymes by acinar cells, and oxidative and mechanical stress[69].

According to estimates, around half of the transplanted islets are irreparably destroyed around the transplantation period (from hours to days). In addition, more than a quarter of islet grafts are known to be lost shortly after the portal vein infusion[81]. Therefore, the initial inflammatory response is crucial in instant transplanted islet loss due to immediate blood-mediated inflammatory reaction (IBMIR). During IBMIR, coagulation pathways are activated, proinflammatory cytokines are produced, and innate immune cells infiltrate the graft[82], all contributing to the islet's acute cell-mediated damage. Additionally, IBMIR is distinguished by coagulation and complement systems activation, fast activation and binding of platelets and leukocyte recruitment and infiltration[83].

Preconditioning isolated islets with sublethal genotoxic stress may be a potential technique for lowering islet immunogenicity and extending islet transplant life. It is reasonable to believe that preconditioning therapy for reducing graft immunogenicity will synergistically impact tolerance induction therapy, including the ADL regimen^[69].

Applying the cellular treatment is a novel approach to induce local immunotolerance and avoid islet rejection. In addition, the administration of stem cell-derived beta cells during islet transplantation improves graft performance while reducing the negative consequences of systemic immunosuppression. Recent advances in T1D cell replacement treatments (i.e., non-encapsulation and local immunomodulatory techniques) are addressed in this concise review [84]. They include alteration of islet/cell, use of biomaterials that provide immunomodulation, and immunomodulatory cell co-transplantation.

Co-transplantation of pancreatic islets with mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) is one such approach that has attracted attention. Studies have shown that using MSCs as an adjunct therapy to islet transplantation can promote long-term graft survival, possibly by reducing inflammation and enhancing immune tolerance[85]. For instance, co-transplantation of adipose tissue-derived MSCs and pancreatic islets improved glycemic control and regulation of the Th17/Treg function streptozotocininduced diabetic mice model[86]. Encapsulation, on the other hand, is another technique that has been extensively studied for its potential to protect transplanted islets from immune rejection while allowing for efficient nutrient and oxygen exchange. In addition, Vegas et al [87] demonstrated that beta cells derived from human stem cells, when implanted into mice with preserved immune competence, resulted in long-term glycemic control^[87]. Thus, further investigation into these novel strategies for T1D cell replacement therapies may provide new insights and solutions to the ongoing challenges in this field.

Therefore, methods for immunoisolation or beta cell encapsulation are one approach to improving graft performance. Still, it has its own set of obstacles, which causes a loss in cell viability over time (Figure 1B). Although altering human islets in clinical applications is implausible, creating universal cells from pluripotent stem cells that can elude immune identification offers enormous promise in diabetic cell treatments. However, despite these breakthroughs, critical problems like the persistence of genomic and epigenetic modifications and cell phenotypes stability remain unanswered. Additionally, although these cells are hypoimmunogenic, their safety should be carefully maintained because cells that elude the immune system are intrinsically dangerous.

Similarly, undifferentiated stem cells can potentially develop into teratomas *in vivo* because it is wellknown that both embryonic and induced pluripotent stem cells can differentiate into all three germ layers. Therefore, they can form teratomas if not fully differentiated[88]. Theoretically, the presence of a few remaining undifferentiated pluripotent stem cells can cause undesirable teratomas after transplantation. Although "suicide genes" could be incorporated into stem cells for increased safety[89], it is still uncertain how these cells would behave in people over time, necessitating additional research.

Biomaterials combined with immunomodulation give multiple instruments for locally modulating immune responses and are an intriguing way to assist cell transplantation. This technique has apparent advantages, including safety as "nonliving" materials. Furthermore, biomaterials are generally simple to mass-produce. In contrast, cell modification or immunomodulatory cell preparation is sometimes difficult, in addition to the necessity of good manufacturing processes that must fulfill clinical requirements. Yet, given the restricted ligands and the eventual exhaustion of coated reagents, the long-term durability of biomaterials and delivery techniques remains challenging. Hence, there is a need for new approaches for the retention or restocking of the supplied reagents in the future[84].

Interestingly, immunomodulatory cells operate as "living" medicine repositories and, if engrafted, may boost functional stability by producing cytokines continuously or expressing surface markers to affect the immune system. Improvements in these immunoregulatory cells' acquisition, retention, stability, potency and localization are required to increase their effectiveness and safety. As we create T1D therapies and cures, a functioning resolution will likely need a multi-modal methodology involving several immuno-modalities and tissue engineering methods. The strategy for the 3D-engineered biomaterial tissue construct coupled with both invisible to the immune response cells and accessory cells that exert could be employed to provide long-term effective and safe cell treatments for T1D. Examining the disease's heterogeneity and customizing therapy procedures is critical to reaching the best possible outcomes[84].

Additionally, because transplanted islets are isolated from deceased donors who are not human leukocyte antigen (HLA)-matched to recipients, the use of multiple donors and the potential need to discontinue immunosuppression in the case of a clinically failed islet-alone graft increases the risk of HLA sensitization in islet transplant recipients. Most transplant patients currently have an unexplained slow loss of islet graft function may be partly caused by allograft rejection. However, discovering anti-HLA antibodies during graft deterioration remains uncommon[90].

FUTURE PERSPECTIVES ON ISLET TRANSPLANTATION

Future pathways for improving the outcomes of islet transplantation include obtaining alternative sources of insulin-secreting cells, attempts to improve the immune protection and revascularization of the transplanted tissue, and methods for enhancing viability[91].

Islets obtained from human embryonic stem cells (hESC) are in early-phase clinical trials[92]. hESC islets should theoretically not require immunosuppression or HLA silencing, which would allow the treatment of children. However, alternative strategies, such as xenogeneic sources of islets and human-induced pluripotent stem cells[93], are also being researched.

Several therapeutical approaches to improve islet survivability are currently in the preclinical phase of research. These include cellular therapies such as MSCs[94], regulatory T-cells[95], as well as modulators of the liver niche with anti-inflammatory agents[96] and growth factors[97]. MSCs appear promising as their anti-inflammatory and immunomodulatory properties have been used in humans for other conditions and could, in theory, enable them to reduce the immunosuppression dose[98]. In addition, improving vascularity through gene therapy[99] of the transplant has also been a sought-after strategy for future development.

Last but not least, various scaffolding methods, as well as alternative implant sites, are undergoing research to enhance the viability of the grafts. For example, dexamethasone-loaded microplate-enriched collagen-coated polydimethylsiloxane scaffolds have improved transplant outcomes and survival[100]. While the liver currently remains the localization of choice for islet transplantation, several other sites are being investigated, such as intramuscular[101], gastric submucosa[102], thymus, testes and the eyes [103].

Zaisbideng® WJT | https://www.wjgnet.com

CONCLUSION

T1DM is an immune-associated metabolic disease characterized by hyperglycemia, absolute insulin deficiency, and a lifelong need for exogenous insulin replacement treatment. The implementation of modern technologies in diabetes control with continuous glucose monitoring systems combined with glucose prediction algorithms enables the development of artificial pancreas delivery systems. Nevertheless, the need to completely replace the depleted pancreatic secretion also leads to the emergence of new therapeutic horizons, including pancreas and islet cell transplantation. They allow not only to achieve independence from exogenous insulin administration and the need to monitor blood sugar but also successfully to afford counterregulatory hormone secretion and pancreatic exocrine function. At this point, the main complication after allogeneic islet transplantation is the chronic rejection conducted by activated T cells and autobodies-mediated rejection, the main barrier to accomplishing long-term engraftment. To improve the outcomes, several approaches are performed: Immunosuppression, ADLs, anti-TIM-1 antibodies, mixed chimerism-based tolerance induction, induction of antigen-specific tolerance utilizing ECDI-fixed splenocytes, infusion of donor apoptotic cells before transplantation, therapy with anti-CD40L antibodies and rapamycin, preconditioning of isolated islets, inducing local immunotolerance, cell encapsulation and immunoisolation, using of biomaterials, immunomodulatory cells, etc.

FOOTNOTES

Author contributions: Kabakchieva P and Velikova T performed the conceptualization; Kabakchieva P, Gerasoudis S, Assyov Y, Vasilev G, Peshevska-Sekulovska M, Sekulovski M and Velikova T contributed to the resources; Lazova S, Miteva D, Gulinac M, Tomov L, Visualization, Peshevska-Sekulovska M, Sekulovski M and Velikova T contributed to the data curation; Kabakchieva P, Gerasoudis S, Assyov Y, Vasilev G, Peshevska-Sekulovska M, Sekulovski M and Velikova T wrote the original draft; Kabakchieva P, and Velikova T wrote the review and edited; Velikova T performed the supervision; All authors revised and approved the final version of the manuscript, they were approved the final version of the paper prior to submission.

Supported by European Union-NextGenerationEU, through The National Recovery and Resilience Plan of the Republic of Bulgaria, No. BG-RRP-2.004-0008-C01.

Conflict-of-interest statement: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is noncommercial. See: https://creativecommons.org/Licenses/by-nc/4.0/

Country/Territory of origin: Bulgaria

ORCID number: Plamena Kabakchieva 0000-0003-3577-0577; Yavor Assyov 0000-0002-6195-7346; Stavros Gerasoudis 0000-0001-7178-9895; Georgi Vasilev 0000-0002-3280-5060; Monika Peshevska-Sekulovska 0000-0002-8468-0132; Metodija Sekulovski 0000-0001-8374-7756; Snezhina Lazova 0000-0002-5884-7760; Milena Gulinac 0000-0001-7970-9378; Latchezar Tomov 0000-0003-1902-6473.

S-Editor: Fan JR L-Editor: A P-Editor: Fan JR

REFERENCES

- Shapiro AM, Pokrywczynska M, Ricordi C. Clinical pancreatic islet transplantation. Nat Rev Endocrinol 2017; 13: 268-1 277 [PMID: 27834384 DOI: 10.1038/nrendo.2016.178]
- Khan K, Desai CS. Islet Transplantation in Children. Curr Gastroenterol Rep 2019; 21: 26 [PMID: 31025126 DOI: 2 10.1007/s11894-019-0693-6
- 3 Atkinson MA, Eisenbarth GS, Michels AW. Type 1 diabetes. Lancet 2014; 383: 69-82 [PMID: 23890997 DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(13)60591-7
- Ku HT, Zhang N, Kubo A, O'Connor R, Mao M, Keller G, Bromberg JS. Committing embryonic stem cells to early 4 endocrine pancreas in vitro. Stem Cells 2004; 22: 1205-1217 [PMID: 15579640 DOI: 10.1634/stemcells.2004-0027]
- Akil AA, Yassin E, Al-Maraghi A, Aliyev E, Al-Malki K, Fakhro KA. Diagnosis and treatment of type 1 diabetes at the 5 dawn of the personalized medicine era. J Transl Med 2021; 19: 137 [PMID: 33794915 DOI: 10.1186/s12967-021-02778-6]



- Miller KM, Foster NC, Beck RW, Bergenstal RM, DuBose SN, DiMeglio LA, Maahs DM, Tamborlane WV; T1D 6 Exchange Clinic Network. Current state of type 1 diabetes treatment in the U.S.: updated data from the T1D Exchange clinic registry. Diabetes Care 2015; 38: 971-978 [PMID: 25998289 DOI: 10.2337/dc15-0078]
- 7 Maffi P, Secchi A. Islet Transplantation Alone Versus Solitary Pancreas Transplantation: an Outcome-Driven Choice? Curr Diab Rep 2019; 19: 26 [PMID: 31025188 DOI: 10.1007/s11892-019-1145-2]
- Johnson PR, Jones KE. Pancreatic islet transplantation. Semin Pediatr Surg 2012; 21: 272-280 [PMID: 22800980 DOI: 8 10.1053/j.sempedsurg.2012.05.012
- Ryan EA, Lakey JR, Rajotte RV, Korbutt GS, Kin T, Imes S, Rabinovitch A, Elliott JF, Bigam D, Kneteman NM, 9 Warnock GL, Larsen I, Shapiro AM. Clinical outcomes and insulin secretion after islet transplantation with the Edmonton protocol. Diabetes 2001; 50: 710-719 [PMID: 11289033 DOI: 10.2337/diabetes.50.4.710]
- 10 Digon BJ 3rd. History of islet transplantation. Curr Diab Rep 2009; 9: 312-316 [PMID: 19640345 DOI: 10.1007/s11892-009-0048-z]
- Farney AC, Sutherland DE, Opara EC. Evolution of Islet Transplantation for the Last 30 Years. Pancreas 2016; 45: 8-20 11 [PMID: 26658037 DOI: 10.1097/MPA.00000000000391]
- Ryan EA, Paty BW, Senior PA, Bigam D, Alfadhli E, Kneteman NM, Lakey JR, Shapiro AM. Five-year follow-up after 12 clinical islet transplantation. Diabetes 2005; 54: 2060-2069 [PMID: 15983207 DOI: 10.2337/diabetes.54.7.2060]
- Qi M, Kinzer K, Danielson KK, Martellotto J, Barbaro B, Wang Y, Bui JT, Gaba RC, Knuttinen G, Garcia-Roca R, 13 Tzvetanov I, Heitman A, Davis M, McGarrigle JJ, Benedetti E, Oberholzer J. Five-year follow-up of patients with type 1 diabetes transplanted with allogeneic islets: the UIC experience. Acta Diabetol 2014; 51: 833-843 [PMID: 25034311 DOI: 10.1007/s00592-014-0627-6]
- Lablanche S, Borot S, Wojtusciszyn A, Bayle F, Tétaz R, Badet L, Thivolet C, Morelon E, Frimat L, Penfornis A, Kessler 14 L, Brault C, Colin C, Tauveron I, Bosco D, Berney T, Benhamou PY; GRAGIL Network. Five-Year Metabolic, Functional, and Safety Results of Patients With Type 1 Diabetes Transplanted With Allogenic Islets Within the Swiss-French GRAGIL Network. Diabetes Care 2015; 38: 1714-1722 [PMID: 26068866 DOI: 10.2337/dc15-0094]
- Gasparyan AY, Ayvazyan L, Blackmore H, Kitas GD. Writing a narrative biomedical review: considerations for authors, 15 peer reviewers, and editors. Rheumatol Int 2011; 31: 1409-1417 [PMID: 21800117 DOI: 10.1007/s00296-011-1999-3]
- Othonos N, Choudhary P. Who Should Be Considered for Islet Transplantation Alone? Curr Diab Rep 2017; 17: 23 16 [PMID: 28293906 DOI: 10.1007/s11892-017-0847-6]
- Chetboun M, Jannin A, Kerr-Conte J, Pattou F, Vantyghem MC. 1921-2021: From insulin discovery to islet 17 transplantation in type 1 diabetes. Ann Endocrinol (Paris) 2021; 82: 74-77 [PMID: 33839122 DOI: 10.1016/j.ando.2021.03.006
- 18 McCall M, Shapiro AM. Islet cell transplantation. Semin Pediatr Surg 2014; 23: 83-90 [PMID: 24931353 DOI: 10.1053/i.sempedsurg.2014.03.006
- 19 Rickels MR, Robertson RP. Pancreatic Islet Transplantation in Humans: Recent Progress and Future Directions. Endocr *Rev* 2019; **40**: 631-668 [PMID: 30541144 DOI: 10.1210/er.2018-00154]
- Tsujimura T, Kuroda Y, Kin T, Avila JG, Rajotte RV, Korbutt GS, Ryan EA, Shapiro AM, Lakey JR. Human islet 20 transplantation from pancreases with prolonged cold ischemia using additional preservation by the two-layer (UW solution/perfluorochemical) cold-storage method. Transplantation 2002; 74: 1687-1691 [PMID: 12499881 DOI: 10.1097/00007890-200212270-00007
- Ricordi C, Lacy PE, Finke EH, Olack BJ, Scharp DW. Automated method for isolation of human pancreatic islets. 21 Diabetes 1988; 37: 413-420 [PMID: 3288530 DOI: 10.2337/diab.37.4.413]
- Gamble A, Pepper AR, Bruni A, Shapiro AMJ. The journey of islet cell transplantation and future development. Islets 22 2018; 10: 80-94 [PMID: 29394145 DOI: 10.1080/19382014.2018.1428511]
- 23 Vantyghem MC, de Koning EJP, Pattou F, Rickels MR. Advances in β-cell replacement therapy for the treatment of type 1 diabetes. Lancet 2019; 394: 1274-1285 [PMID: 31533905 DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(19)31334-0]
- 24 Cayabyab F, Nih LR, Yoshihara E. Advances in Pancreatic Islet Transplantation Sites for the Treatment of Diabetes. Front Endocrinol (Lausanne) 2021; 12: 732431 [PMID: 34589059 DOI: 10.3389/fendo.2021.732431]
- Bennet W, Groth CG, Larsson R, Nilsson B, Korsgren O. Isolated human islets trigger an instant blood mediated 25 inflammatory reaction: implications for intraportal islet transplantation as a treatment for patients with type 1 diabetes. Ups J Med Sci 2000; 105: 125-133 [PMID: 11095109 DOI: 10.1517/03009734000000059]
- Stratta RJ, Farney AC, Rogers J, Orlando G. Immunosuppression for pancreas transplantation with an emphasis on 26 antibody induction strategies: review and perspective. Expert Rev Clin Immunol 2014; 10: 117-132 [PMID: 24236648 DOI: 10.1586/1744666X.2014.853616]
- Ghasemi A, Akbari E, Imani R. An Overview of Engineered Hydrogel-Based Biomaterials for Improved β -Cell Survival 27 and Insulin Secretion. Front Bioeng Biotechnol 2021; 9: 662084 [PMID: 34513805 DOI: 10.3389/fbioe.2021.662084]
- Zhang Q, Gonelle-Gispert C, Li Y, Geng Z, Gerber-Lemaire S, Wang Y, Buhler L. Islet Encapsulation: New 28 Developments for the Treatment of Type 1 Diabetes. Front Immunol 2022; 13: 869984 [PMID: 35493496 DOI: 10.3389/fimmu.2022.869984]
- Todd JA. Etiology of type 1 diabetes. Immunity 2010; 32: 457-467 [PMID: 20412756 DOI: 10.1016/j.immuni.2010.04.001]
- Leslie RD, Kolb H, Schloot NC, Buzzetti R, Mauricio D, De Leiva A, Yderstraede K, Sarti C, Thivolet C, Hadden D, 30 Hunter S, Schernthaner G, Scherbaum W, Williams R, Pozzilli P. Diabetes classification: grey zones, sound and smoke: Action LADA 1. Diabetes Metab Res Rev 2008; 24: 511-519 [PMID: 18615859 DOI: 10.1002/dmrr.877]
- American Diabetes Association. Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes-2022 Abridged for Primary Care Providers. Clin 31 Diabetes 2022; 40: 10-38 [PMID: 35221470 DOI: 10.2337/cd22-as01]
- Ziegler AG, Nepom GT. Prediction and pathogenesis in type 1 diabetes. Immunity 2010; 32: 468-478 [PMID: 20412757 32 DOI: 10.1016/j.immuni.2010.03.018]
- Zaharieva ET, Velikova TV, Tsakova AD, Kamenov ZA. Prevalence of Positive Diabetes-Associated Autoantibodies 33 among Type 2 Diabetes and Related Metabolic and Inflammatory Differences in a Sample of the Bulgarian Population. J



Diabetes Res 2017; 2017: 9016148 [PMID: 28573146 DOI: 10.1155/2017/9016148]

- Bao F, Yu L, Babu S, Wang T, Hoffenberg EJ, Rewers M, Eisenbarth GS. One third of HLA DQ2 homozygous patients 34 with type 1 diabetes express celiac disease-associated transglutaminase autoantibodies. J Autoimmun 1999; 13: 143-148 [PMID: 10441179 DOI: 10.1006/jaut.1999.0303]
- 35 Hoffenberg EJ, Bao F, Eisenbarth GS, Uhlhorn C, Haas JE, Sokol RJ, Rewers M. Transglutaminase antibodies in children with a genetic risk for celiac disease. J Pediatr 2000; 137: 356-360 [PMID: 10969260 DOI: 10.1067/mpd.2000.107582]
- Triolo TM, Armstrong TK, McFann K, Yu L, Rewers MJ, Klingensmith GJ, Eisenbarth GS, Barker JM. Additional 36 autoimmune disease found in 33% of patients at type 1 diabetes onset. Diabetes Care 2011; 34: 1211-1213 [PMID: 21430083 DOI: 10.2337/dc10-1756]
- Vasilev G, Kabakchieva P, Miteva D, Batselova H, Velikova T. Effectiveness and safety of COVID-19 vaccines in 37 patients with diabetes as a factor for vaccine hesitancy. World J Diabetes 2022; 13: 738-751 [PMID: 36188150 DOI: 10.4239/wjd.v13.i9.738]
- Geerlings SE, Hoepelman AI. Immune dysfunction in patients with diabetes mellitus (DM). FEMS Immunol Med 38 *Microbiol* 1999; **26**: 259-265 [PMID: 10575137 DOI: 10.1111/j.1574-695X.1999.tb01397.x]
- Hirsch IB, Juneja R, Beals JM, Antalis CJ, Wright EE. The Evolution of Insulin and How it Informs Therapy and 39 Treatment Choices. Endocr Rev 2020; 41: 733-755 [PMID: 32396624 DOI: 10.1210/endrev/bnaa015]
- 40 Bergenstal RM, Tamborlane WV, Ahmann A, Buse JB, Dailey G, Davis SN, Joyce C, Peoples T, Perkins BA, Welsh JB, Willi SM, Wood MA; STAR 3 Study Group. Effectiveness of sensor-augmented insulin-pump therapy in type 1 diabetes. N Engl J Med 2010; 363: 311-320 [PMID: 20587585 DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa1002853]
- 41 Breton M, Farret A, Bruttomesso D, Anderson S, Magni L, Patek S, Dalla Man C, Place J, Demartini S, Del Favero S, Toffanin C, Hughes-Karvetski C, Dassau E, Zisser H, Doyle FJ 3rd, De Nicolao G, Avogaro A, Cobelli C, Renard E, Kovatchev B; International Artificial Pancreas Study Group. Fully integrated artificial pancreas in type 1 diabetes: modular closed-loop glucose control maintains near normoglycemia. Diabetes 2012; 61: 2230-2237 [PMID: 22688340 DOI: 10.2337/db11-1445]
- Fridell JA, Stratta RJ, Gruessner AC. Pancreas Transplantation: Current Challenges, Considerations, and Controversies. J 42 Clin Endocrinol Metab 2023; 108: 614-623 [PMID: 36377963 DOI: 10.1210/clinem/dgac644]
- 43 Zóka A, Műzes G, Somogyi A, Varga T, Szémán B, Al-Aissa Z, Hadarits O, Firneisz G. Altered immune regulation in type 1 diabetes. Clin Dev Immunol 2013; 2013: 254874 [PMID: 24285974 DOI: 10.1155/2013/254874]
- Martin S, Wolf-Eichbaum D, Duinkerken G, Scherbaum WA, Kolb H, Noordzij JG, Roep BO. Development of type 1 44 diabetes despite severe hereditary B-cell deficiency. N Engl J Med 2001; 345: 1036-1040 [PMID: 11586956 DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa010465
- Xiu Y, Wong CP, Bouaziz JD, Hamaguchi Y, Wang Y, Pop SM, Tisch RM, Tedder TF. B lymphocyte depletion by CD20 45 monoclonal antibody prevents diabetes in nonobese diabetic mice despite isotype-specific differences in Fc gamma R effector functions. J Immunol 2008; 180: 2863-2875 [PMID: 18292508 DOI: 10.4049/jimmunol.180.5.2863]
- Roep BO, Thomaidou S, van Tienhoven R, Zaldumbide A. Type 1 diabetes mellitus as a disease of the β -cell (do not 46 blame the immune system?). Nat Rev Endocrinol 2021; 17: 150-161 [PMID: 33293704 DOI: 10.1038/s41574-020-00443-4]
- Pino SC, Kruger AJ, Bortell R. The role of innate immune pathways in type 1 diabetes pathogenesis. Curr Opin 47 Endocrinol Diabetes Obes 2010; 17: 126-130 [PMID: 20125005 DOI: 10.1097/MED.0b013e3283372819]
- 48 Clark M, Kroger CJ, Tisch RM. Type 1 Diabetes: A Chronic Anti-Self-Inflammatory Response. Front Immunol 2017; 8: 1898 [PMID: 29312356 DOI: 10.3389/fimmu.2017.01898]
- Culina S, Lalanne AI, Afonso G, Cerosaletti K, Pinto S, Sebastiani G, Kuranda K, Nigi L, Eugster A, Østerbye T, 49 Maugein A, McLaren JE, Ladell K, Larger E, Beressi JP, Lissina A, Appay V, Davidson HW, Buus S, Price DA, Kuhn M, Bonifacio E, Battaglia M, Caillat-Zucman S, Dotta F, Scharfmann R, Kyewski B, Mallone R; ImMaDiab Study Group. Islet-reactive CD8(+) T cell frequencies in the pancreas, but not in blood, distinguish type 1 diabetic patients from healthy donors. Sci Immunol 2018; 3 [PMID: 29429978 DOI: 10.1126/sciimmunol.aao4013]
- Bottazzo GF. Lawrence lecture. Death of a beta cell: homicide or suicide? Diabet Med 1986; 3: 119-130 [PMID: 2951152 50 DOI: 10.1111/j.1464-5491.1986.tb00722.x]
- Rickels MR, Stock PG, de Koning EJP, Piemonti L, Pratschke J, Alejandro R, Bellin MD, Berney T, Choudhary P, 51 Johnson PR, Kandaswamy R, Kay TWH, Keymeulen B, Kudva YC, Latres E, Langer RM, Lehmann R, Ludwig B, Markmann JF, Marinac M, Odorico JS, Pattou F, Senior PA, Shaw JAM, Vantyghem MC, White S. Defining outcomes for β-cell replacement therapy in the treatment of diabetes: a consensus report on the Igls criteria from the IPITA/EPITA opinion leaders workshop. Transpl Int 2018; 31: 343-352 [PMID: 29453879 DOI: 10.1111/tri.13138]
- 52 Rickels MR, Stock PG, de Koning EJP, Piemonti L, Pratschke J, Alejandro R, Bellin MD, Berney T, Choudhary P, Johnson PR, Kandaswamy R, Kay TWH, Keymeulen B, Kudva YC, Latres E, Langer RM, Lehmann R, Ludwig B, Markmann JF, Marinac M, Odorico JS, Pattou F, Senior PA, Shaw JAM, Vantyghem MC, White S. Defining Outcomes for β -cell Replacement Therapy in the Treatment of Diabetes: A Consensus Report on the Igls Criteria From the IPITA/ EPITA Opinion Leaders Workshop. Transplantation 2018; 102: 1479-1486 [PMID: 29528967 DOI: 10.1097/TP.000000000002158]
- 53 Gillard P, Ling Z, Lannoo M, Maes B, Maleux G, Pipeleers D, Keymeulen B, Mathieu C. Beta-cell transplantation restores metabolic control and quality of life in a patient with subcutaneous insulin resistance. Diabetes Care 2004; 27: 2243-2244 [PMID: 15333492 DOI: 10.2337/diacare.27.9.2243]
- Nijhoff MF, Dubbeld J, van Erkel AR, van der Boog PJM, Rabelink TJ, Engelse MA, de Koning EJP. Islet 54 alloautotransplantation: Allogeneic pancreas transplantation followed by transplant pancreatectomy and islet transplantation. Am J Transplant 2018; 18: 1016-1019 [PMID: 29160954 DOI: 10.1111/ajt.14593]
- Johnston PC, Lin YK, Walsh RM, Bottino R, Stevens TK, Trucco M, Bena J, Faiman C, Hatipoglu BA. Factors 55 associated with islet yield and insulin independence after total pancreatectomy and islet cell autotransplantation in patients with chronic pancreatitis utilizing off-site islet isolation: Cleveland Clinic experience. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2015; 100: 1765-1770 [PMID: 25781357 DOI: 10.1210/jc.2014-4298]



- Sutherland DER, Radosevich DM, Bellin MD, Hering BJ, Beilman GJ, Dunn TB, Chinnakotla S, Vickers SM, Bland B, 56 Balamurugan AN, Freeman ML, Pruett TL. Total pancreatectomy and islet autotransplantation for chronic pancreatitis. J Am Coll Surg 2012; 214: 409-424
- 57 Ahmad SA, Lowy AM, Wray CJ, D'Alessio D, Choe KA, James LE, Gelrud A, Matthews JB, Rilo HL. Factors associated with insulin and narcotic independence after islet autotransplantation in patients with severe chronic pancreatitis. JAm Coll Surg 2005; 201: 680-687 [PMID: 16256909 DOI: 10.1016/j.jamcollsurg.2005.06.268]
- Rodriguez Rilo HL, Ahmad SA, D'Alessio D, Iwanaga Y, Kim J, Choe KA, Moulton JS, Martin J, Pennington LJ, 58 Soldano DA, Biliter J, Martin SP, Ulrich CD, Somogyi L, Welge J, Matthews JB, Lowy AM. Total pancreatectomy and autologous islet cell transplantation as a means to treat severe chronic pancreatitis. J Gastrointest Surg 2003; 7: 978-989 [PMID: 14675707 DOI: 10.1016/j.gassur.2003.09.008]
- Webb MA, Illouz SC, Pollard CA, Gregory R, Mayberry JF, Tordoff SG, Bone M, Cordle CJ, Berry DP, Nicholson ML, 59 Musto PP, Dennison AR. Islet auto transplantation following total pancreatectomy: a long-term assessment of graft function. Pancreas 2008; 37: 282-287 [PMID: 18815550 DOI: 10.1097/mpa.0b013e31816fd7b6]
- Garcea G, Weaver J, Phillips J, Pollard CA, Ilouz SC, Webb MA, Berry DP, Dennison AR. Total pancreatectomy with 60 and without islet cell transplantation for chronic pancreatitis: a series of 85 consecutive patients. Pancreas 2009; 38: 1-7 [PMID: 18665009 DOI: 10.1097/MPA.0b013e3181825c00]
- 61 Alejandro R, Barton FB, Hering BJ, Wease S; Collaborative Islet Transplant Registry Investigators. 2008 Update from the Collaborative Islet Transplant Registry. Transplantation 2008; 86: 1783-1788 [PMID: 19104422 DOI: 10.1097/TP.0b013e3181913f6a]
- Shapiro AM, Ricordi C, Hering BJ, Auchincloss H, Lindblad R, Robertson RP, Secchi A, Brendel MD, Berney T, 62 Brennan DC, Cagliero E, Alejandro R, Ryan EA, DiMercurio B, Morel P, Polonsky KS, Reems JA, Bretzel RG, Bertuzzi F, Froud T, Kandaswamy R, Sutherland DE, Eisenbarth G, Segal M, Preiksaitis J, Korbutt GS, Barton FB, Viviano L, Seyfert-Margolis V, Bluestone J, Lakey JR. International trial of the Edmonton protocol for islet transplantation. N Engl J Med 2006; 355: 1318-1330 [PMID: 17005949 DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa061267]
- Gurol AO, Okten-Kursun A, Kasapoglu P, Suzergoz F, Kucuksezer UC, Cevik A, Tutuncu Y, Yentur SP, Gurol SD, 63 Kucuk M, Yilmaz MT. The synergistic effect of $\omega 3$ and Vit D3 on glycemia and TNF- α in islet transplantation. Cell Mol Biol (Noisy-le-grand) 2016; 62: 90-98 [PMID: 26828994]
- Casteels K, Waer M, Laureys J, Valckx D, Depovere J, Bouillon R, Mathieu C. Prevention of autoimmune destruction of 64 syngeneic islet grafts in spontaneously diabetic nonobese diabetic mice by a combination of a vitamin D3 analog and cyclosporine. Transplantation 1998; 65: 1225-1232 [PMID: 9603172 DOI: 10.1097/00007890-199805150-00014]
- Infante M, Ricordi C, Sanchez J, Clare-Salzler MJ, Padilla N, Fuenmayor V, Chavez C, Alvarez A, Baidal D, Alejandro 65 R, Caprio M, Fabbri A. Influence of Vitamin D on Islet Autoimmunity and Beta-Cell Function in Type 1 Diabetes. Nutrients 2019; 11 [PMID: 31514368 DOI: 10.3390/nu11092185]
- Szymczak-Pajor I, Śliwińska A. Analysis of Association between Vitamin D Deficiency and Insulin Resistance. Nutrients 66 2019; 11 [PMID: 30959886 DOI: 10.3390/nu11040794]
- 67 Bellin MD, Barton FB, Heitman A, Harmon JV, Kandaswamy R, Balamurugan AN, Sutherland DE, Alejandro R, Hering BJ. Potent induction immunotherapy promotes long-term insulin independence after islet transplantation in type 1 diabetes. Am J Transplant 2012; 12: 1576-1583 [PMID: 22494609 DOI: 10.1111/j.1600-6143.2011.03977.x]
- Vallabhajosyula P, Hirakata A, Shimizu A, Okumi M, Tchipashvili V, Hong H, Yamada K, Sachs DH. Assessing the 68 effect of immunosuppression on engraftment of pancreatic islets. Transplantation 2013; 96: 372-378 [PMID: 23883972 DOI: 10.1097/TP.0b013e31829f7515]
- Sato N, Marubashi S. Induction of Immune Tolerance in Islet Transplantation Using Apoptotic Donor Leukocytes. J Clin Med 2021; 10 [PMID: 34830586 DOI: 10.3390/jcm10225306]
- Johnson PRV. Islet Transplantation in the UK. CellR4 Repair Replace Regen Reprogram 2019; 7 [PMID: 34527761 DOI: 70 10.32113/cellr4 201911 2788
- UK Guidelines on Pancreas and Islet Transplantation. British Transplantation Society. Available from: https:// 71 bts.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2019/09/FINAL-Pancreas-guidelines-FINAL-version-following-consultation.-Sept-2019.pdf
- Ding Q, Yeung M, Camirand G, Zeng Q, Akiba H, Yagita H, Chalasani G, Sayegh MH, Najafian N, Rothstein DM. 72 Regulatory B cells are identified by expression of TIM-1 and can be induced through TIM-1 ligation to promote tolerance in mice. J Clin Invest 2011; 121: 3645-3656 [PMID: 21821911 DOI: 10.1172/JCI46274]
- Lee KM, Kim JI, Stott R, Soohoo J, O'Connor MR, Yeh H, Zhao G, Eliades P, Fox C, Cheng N, Deng S, Markmann JF. 73 Anti-CD45RB/anti-TIM-1-induced tolerance requires regulatory B cells. Am J Transplant 2012; 12: 2072-2078 [PMID: 22494812 DOI: 10.1111/j.1600-6143.2012.04055.x]
- Kawai T, Sogawa H, Boskovic S, Abrahamian G, Smith RN, Wee SL, Andrews D, Nadazdin O, Koyama I, Sykes M, 74 Winn HJ, Colvin RB, Sachs DH, Cosimi AB. CD154 blockade for induction of mixed chimerism and prolonged renal allograft survival in nonhuman primates. Am J Transplant 2004; 4: 1391-1398 [PMID: 15307826 DOI: 10.1111/j.1600-6143.2004.00523.x]
- Kawai T, Cosimi AB, Spitzer TR, Tolkoff-Rubin N, Suthanthiran M, Saidman SL, Shaffer J, Preffer FI, Ding R, Sharma 75 V, Fishman JA, Dey B, Ko DS, Hertl M, Goes NB, Wong W, Williams WW Jr, Colvin RB, Sykes M, Sachs DH. HLAmismatched renal transplantation without maintenance immunosuppression. N Engl J Med 2008; 358: 353-361 [PMID: 18216355 DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa071074]
- Singh A, Ramachandran S, Graham ML, Daneshmandi S, Heller D, Suarez-Pinzon WL, Balamurugan AN, Ansite JD, Wilhelm JJ, Yang A, Zhang Y, Palani NP, Abrahante JE, Burlak C, Miller SD, Luo X, Hering BJ. Long-term tolerance of islet allografts in nonhuman primates induced by apoptotic donor leukocytes. Nat Commun 2019; 10: 3495 [PMID: 31375697 DOI: 10.1038/s41467-019-11338-y]
- Oura T, Ko DS, Boskovic S, O'Neil JJ, Chipashvili V, Koulmanda M, Hotta K, Kawai K, Nadazdin O, Smith RN, Cosimi 77 AB, Kawai T. Kidney Versus Islet Allograft Survival After Induction of Mixed Chimerism With Combined Donor Bone Marrow Transplantation. Cell Transplant 2016; 25: 1331-1341 [PMID: 26337731 DOI: 10.3727/096368915X688966]



- Kheradmand T, Wang S, Bryant J, Tasch JJ, Lerret N, Pothoven KL, Houlihan JL, Miller SD, Zhang ZJ, Luo X. Ethylenecarbodiimide-fixed donor splenocyte infusions differentially target direct and indirect pathways of allorecognition for induction of transplant tolerance. J Immunol 2012; 189: 804-812 [PMID: 22696445 DOI: 10.4049/jimmunol.1103705]
- 79 Bryant J, Lerret NM, Wang JJ, Kang HK, Tasch J, Zhang Z, Luo X. Preemptive donor apoptotic cell infusions induce IFN-γ-producing myeloid-derived suppressor cells for cardiac allograft protection. J Immunol 2014; 192: 6092-6101 [PMID: 24808363 DOI: 10.4049/jimmunol.1302771]
- Dangi A, Yu S, Lee FT, Burnette M, Knechtle S, Kwun J, Luo X. Donor apoptotic cell-based therapy for effective 80 inhibition of donor-specific memory T and B cells to promote long-term allograft survival in allosensitized recipients. Am J Transplant 2020; 20: 2728-2739 [PMID: 32275799 DOI: 10.1111/ajt.15878]
- Eriksson O, Eich T, Sundin A, Tibell A, Tufveson G, Andersson H, Felldin M, Foss A, Kyllönen L, Langstrom B, Nilsson 81 B, Korsgren O, Lundgren T. Positron emission tomography in clinical islet transplantation. Am J Transplant 2009; 9: 2816-2824 [PMID: 19845588 DOI: 10.1111/j.1600-6143.2009.02844.x]
- 82 Nilsson B, Ekdahl KN, Korsgren O. Control of instant blood-mediated inflammatory reaction to improve islets of Langerhans engraftment. Curr Opin Organ Transplant 2011; 16: 620-626 [PMID: 21971510 DOI: 10.1097/MOT.0b013e32834c2393]
- Hu M, Hawthorne WJ, Yi S, O'Connell PJ. Cellular Immune Responses in Islet Xenograft Rejection. Front Immunol 83 2022; 13: 893985 [PMID: 35874735 DOI: 10.3389/fimmu.2022.893985]
- 84 Wang X, Brown NK, Wang B, Shariati K, Wang K, Fuchs S, Melero-Martin JM, Ma M. Local Immunomodulatory Strategies to Prevent Allo-Rejection in Transplantation of Insulin-Producing Cells. Adv Sci (Weinh) 2021; 8: e2003708 [PMID: 34258870 DOI: 10.1002/advs.202003708]
- Scuteri A, Donzelli E, Rodriguez-Menendez V, Ravasi M, Monfrini M, Bonandrini B, Figliuzzi M, Remuzzi A, Tredici G. 85 A double mechanism for the mesenchymal stem cells' positive effect on pancreatic islets. PLoS One 2014; 9: e84309 [PMID: 24416216 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0084309]
- Rahavi H, Hashemi SM, Soleimani M, Mohammadi J, Tajik N. Adipose tissue-derived mesenchymal stem cells exert in vitro immunomodulatory and beta cell protective functions in streptozotocin-induced diabetic mice model. J Diabetes Res 2015; 2015: 878535 [PMID: 25893202 DOI: 10.1155/2015/878535]
- Vegas AJ, Veiseh O, Gürtler M, Millman JR, Pagliuca FW, Bader AR, Doloff JC, Li J, Chen M, Olejnik K, Tam HH, 87 Jhunjhunwala S, Langan E, Aresta-Dasilva S, Gandham S, McGarrigle JJ, Bochenek MA, Hollister-Lock J, Oberholzer J, Greiner DL, Weir GC, Melton DA, Langer R, Anderson DG. Long-term glycemic control using polymer-encapsulated human stem cell-derived beta cells in immune-competent mice. Nat Med 2016; 22: 306-311 [PMID: 26808346 DOI: 10.1038/nm.4030
- Prokhorova TA, Harkness LM, Frandsen U, Ditzel N, Schrøder HD, Burns JS, Kassem M. Teratoma formation by human 88 embryonic stem cells is site dependent and enhanced by the presence of Matrigel. Stem Cells Dev 2009; 18: 47-54 [PMID: 18393673 DOI: 10.1089/scd.2007.0266]
- Harding J, Vintersten-Nagy K, Shutova M, Yang H, Tang J, Massumi M, Izadifar M, Izadifar Z, Zhang P, Li C, Nagy A. 89 Induction of long-term allogeneic cell acceptance and formation of immune privileged tissue in immunocompetent hosts. 2019 Preprint. Available from: BioRxiv:716571 [DOI: 10.1101/716571]
- Rickels MR, Kearns J, Markmann E, Palanjian M, Markmann JF, Naji A, Kamoun M. HLA sensitization in islet 90 transplantation. Clin Transpl 2006; 413-420 [PMID: 18365398]
- Paget MB, Murray HE, Bailey CJ, Downing R. From insulin injections to islet transplantation: An overview of the 91 journey. Diabetes Obes Metab 2022; 24 Suppl 1: 5-16 [PMID: 34431589 DOI: 10.1111/dom.14526]
- 92 Siehler J, Blöchinger AK, Meier M, Lickert H. Engineering islets from stem cells for advanced therapies of diabetes. Nat Rev Drug Discov 2021; 20: 920-940 [PMID: 34376833 DOI: 10.1038/s41573-021-00262-w]
- Coe TM, Markmann JF, Rickert CG. Current status of porcine islet xenotransplantation. Curr Opin Organ Transplant 93 2020; 25: 449-456 [PMID: 32773503 DOI: 10.1097/MOT.000000000000794]
- Hubber EL, Rackham CL, Jones PM. Protecting islet functional viability using mesenchymal stromal cells. Stem Cells 94 Transl Med 2021; 10: 674-680 [PMID: 33544449 DOI: 10.1002/sctm.20-0466]
- Harden PN, Game DS, Sawitzki B, Van der Net JB, Hester J, Bushell A, Issa F, Brook MO, Alzhrani A, Schlickeiser S, Scotta C, Petchey W, Streitz M, Blancho G, Tang Q, Markmann J, Lechler RI, Roberts ISD, Friend PJ, Hilton R, Geissler EK, Wood KJ, Lombardi G. Feasibility, long-term safety, and immune monitoring of regulatory T cell therapy in living donor kidney transplant recipients. Am J Transplant 2021; 21: 1603-1611 [PMID: 33171020 DOI: 10.1111/ajt.16395]
- Kuppan P, Kelly S, Polishevska K, Hojanepesov O, Seeberger K, Korbutt GS, Pepper AR. Co-localized immune 96 protection using dexamethasone-eluting micelles in a murine islet allograft model. Am J Transplant 2020; 20: 714-725 [PMID: 31650674 DOI: 10.1111/ajt.15662]
- 97 Alwahsh SM, Qutachi O, Starkey Lewis PJ, Bond A, Noble J, Burgoyne P, Morton N, Carter R, Mann J, Ferreira-Gonzalez S, Alvarez-Paino M, Forbes SJ, Shakesheff KM, Forbes S. Fibroblast growth factor 7 releasing particles enhance islet engraftment and improve metabolic control following islet transplantation in mice with diabetes. Am J Transplant 2021; 21: 2950-2963 [PMID: 33428803 DOI: 10.1111/ajt.16488]
- Vandermeulen M, Erpicum P, Weekers L, Briquet A, Lechanteur C, Detry O, Beguin Y, Jouret F. Mesenchymal Stromal 98 Cells in Solid Organ Transplantation. Transplantation 2020; 104: 923-936 [PMID: 31929427 DOI: 10.1097/TP.000000000003077
- Staels W, Verdonck Y, Heremans Y, Leuckx G, De Groef S, Heirman C, de Koning E, Gysemans C, Thielemans K, 99 Baeyens L, Heimberg H, De Leu N. Vegf-A mRNA transfection as a novel approach to improve mouse and human islet graft revascularisation. Diabetologia 2018; 61: 1804-1810 [PMID: 29789879 DOI: 10.1007/s00125-018-4646-7]
- 100 Primavera R, Razavi M, Kevadiya BD, Wang J, Vykunta A, Di Mascolo D, Decuzzi P, Thakor AS. Enhancing islet transplantation using a biocompatible collagen-PDMS bioscaffold enriched with dexamethasone-microplates. *Biofabrication* 2021; **13** [PMID: 33455953 DOI: 10.1088/1758-5090/abdcac]
- Bertuzzi F, Colussi G, Lauterio A, De Carlis L. Intramuscular islet allotransplantation in type 1 diabetes mellitus. Eur Rev 101 Med Pharmacol Sci 2018; 22: 1731-1736 [PMID: 29630119 DOI: 10.26355/eurrev_201803_14588]



- 102 Yin Z, Li J, Zheng Y, Wang S, Wang X. Gastric submucosal alleviated pro-inflammation cytokines mediated initial dysfunction of islets allografts. Transpl Immunol 2021; 65: 101292 [PMID: 32302641 DOI: 10.1016/j.trim.2020.101292]
- 103 Addison P, Fatakhova K, Rodriguez Rilo HL. Considerations for an Alternative Site of Islet Cell Transplantation. J Diabetes Sci Technol 2020; 14: 338-344 [PMID: 31394934 DOI: 10.1177/1932296819868495]





Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA Telephone: +1-925-3991568 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com Help Desk: https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk https://www.wjgnet.com

