

World Journal of *Hepatology*

World J Hepatol 2023 March 27; 15(3): 321-440



REVIEW

- 321** Main factors influencing long-term outcomes of liver transplantation in 2022
Fuochi E, Anastasio L, Lynch EN, Campani C, Dragoni G, Milani S, Galli A, Innocenti T

MINIREVIEWS

- 353** COVID-19 and liver dysfunction in children: Current views and new hypotheses
Yun YF, Feng ZY, Zhang JJ
- 364** May 2022 acute hepatitis outbreak, is there a role for COVID-19 and other viruses?
Elbeltagi R, Al-Beltagi M, Saeed NK, Bediwy AS, Toema O
- 377** Challenges and recommendations when selecting empirical antibiotics in patients with cirrhosis
Dirchwolf M, Gomez Perdiguero G, Grech IM, Marciano S
- 386** Emerging role of engineered exosomes in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease
Ding J, Xu C, Xu M, He XY, Li WN, He F

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Basic Study

- 393** mRNA transcriptome profiling of human hepatocellular carcinoma cells HepG2 treated with *Catharanthus roseus*-silver nanoparticles
Azhar NA, Abu Bakar SA, Citartan M, Ahmad NH

Retrospective Cohort Study

- 410** Adherence to guideline-directed hepatocellular carcinoma screening: A single-center US experience
King WW, Richhart R, Culpepper T, Mota M, Banerjee D, Ismael M, Chakraborty J, Ladna M, Khan W, Ruiz N, Wilson J, Altshuler E, Clark V, Cabrera R

Retrospective Study

- 419** To scan or not to scan: Use of transient elastography in an integrated health system
Stein L, Mittal R, Song H, Chung J, Sahota A
- 431** Coexistent alcohol-related cirrhosis and chronic pancreatitis have a comparable phenotype to either disease alone: A comparative retrospective analysis
Lu M, Sun Y, Feldman R, Saul M, Althouse A, Arteel G, Yadav D

ABOUT COVER

Editorial Board Member of *World Journal of Hepatology*, Raika Jamali, MD, Gastroenterologist and Hepatologist, Associate Professor, Vice President for Research, Digestive Disease Research Institute, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Shariati Hospital, Tehran, Iran. jamalira@tums.ac.ir

AIMS AND SCOPE

The primary aim of *World Journal of Hepatology* (*WJH*, *World J Hepatol*) is to provide scholars and readers from various fields of hepatology with a platform to publish high-quality basic and clinical research articles and communicate their research findings online.

WJH mainly publishes articles reporting research results and findings obtained in the field of hepatology and covering a wide range of topics including chronic cholestatic liver diseases, cirrhosis and its complications, clinical alcoholic liver disease, drug induced liver disease autoimmune, fatty liver disease, genetic and pediatric liver diseases, hepatocellular carcinoma, hepatic stellate cells and fibrosis, liver immunology, liver regeneration, hepatic surgery, liver transplantation, biliary tract pathophysiology, non-invasive markers of liver fibrosis, viral hepatitis.

INDEXING/ABSTRACTING

The *WJH* is now abstracted and indexed in PubMed, PubMed Central, Emerging Sources Citation Index (Web of Science), Scopus, Reference Citation Analysis, China National Knowledge Infrastructure, China Science and Technology Journal Database, and Superstar Journals Database. The 2022 edition of Journal Citation Reports® cites the 2021 Journal Citation Indicator (JCI) for *WJH* as 0.52. The *WJH*'s CiteScore for 2021 is 3.6 and Scopus CiteScore rank 2021: Hepatology is 42/70.

RESPONSIBLE EDITORS FOR THIS ISSUE

Production Editor: Yi-Xuan Cai; Production Department Director: Xiang Li; Editorial Office Director: Xiang Li.

NAME OF JOURNAL

World Journal of Hepatology

ISSN

ISSN 1948-5182 (online)

LAUNCH DATE

October 31, 2009

FREQUENCY

Monthly

EDITORS-IN-CHIEF

Nikolaos Pyrsopoulos, Ke-Qin Hu, Koo Jeong Kang

EDITORIAL BOARD MEMBERS

<https://www.wjnet.com/1948-5182/editorialboard.htm>

PUBLICATION DATE

March 27, 2023

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

INSTRUCTIONS TO AUTHORS

<https://www.wjnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/204>

GUIDELINES FOR ETHICS DOCUMENTS

<https://www.wjnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/287>

GUIDELINES FOR NON-NATIVE SPEAKERS OF ENGLISH

<https://www.wjnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/240>

PUBLICATION ETHICS

<https://www.wjnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/288>

PUBLICATION MISCONDUCT

<https://www.wjnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/208>

ARTICLE PROCESSING CHARGE

<https://www.wjnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/242>

STEPS FOR SUBMITTING MANUSCRIPTS

<https://www.wjnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/239>

ONLINE SUBMISSION

<https://www.f6publishing.com>



Challenges and recommendations when selecting empirical antibiotics in patients with cirrhosis

Melisa Dirchwolf, Gonzalo Gomez Perdiguero, Ingrid Mc Grech, Sebastian Marciano

Specialty type: Gastroenterology and hepatology

Provenance and peer review: Invited article; Externally peer reviewed.

Peer-review model: Single blind

Peer-review report's scientific quality classification

Grade A (Excellent): 0
Grade B (Very good): B
Grade C (Good): 0
Grade D (Fair): D, D, D
Grade E (Poor): 0

P-Reviewer: Ferrarese A, Italy;
Kumar R, India; Rabago LR, Spain

Received: November 12, 2022

Peer-review started: November 12, 2022

First decision: November 23, 2022

Revised: December 28, 2022

Accepted: March 10, 2023

Article in press: March 10, 2023

Published online: March 27, 2023



Melisa Dirchwolf, Ingrid Mc Grech, Liver Unit, Hospital Privado de Rosario, Rosario 2000, Santa Fe, Argentina

Gonzalo Gomez Perdiguero, Liver Unit, Hospital Italiano de Buenos Aires, Buenos Aires 1181, Argentina

Sebastian Marciano, Liver Unit and Department of Research, Hospital Italiano de Buenos Aires, Buenos Aires 1181, Argentina

Corresponding author: Sebastian Marciano, MD, MSc, Academic Research, Associate Research Scientist, Chief Doctor, Liver Unit and Department of Research, Hospital Italiano de Buenos Aires, Juan Domingo Perón 4190, Buenos Aires 1181, Argentina.
sebastian.marciano@hospitalitaliano.org.ar

Abstract

There is abundant evidence that bacterial infections are severe complications in patients with cirrhosis, being the most frequent trigger of acute-on-chronic liver failure and causing death in one of every four patients during hospitalization. For these reasons, early diagnosis and effective treatment of infections are mandatory to improve patient outcomes. However, treating physicians are challenged in daily practice since diagnosing bacterial infections is not always straightforward. This situation might lead to delayed antibiotic initiation or prescription of ineffective regimens, which are associated with poor outcomes. On the other hand, prescribing broad-spectrum antibiotics to all patients suspected of bacterial infections might favor bacterial resistance development. This is a significant concern given the alarming number of infections caused by multidrug-resistant microorganisms worldwide. Therefore, it is paramount to know the local epidemiology to propose tailored guidelines for empirical antibiotic selection in patients with cirrhosis in whom bacterial infections are suspected or confirmed. In this article, we will revise current knowledge in this area and highlight the importance of surveillance programs.

Key Words: Bacterial infections; Cirrhosis; Multidrug resistance; Antibiotic prophylaxis; Antibiotic stewardship

©The Author(s) 2023. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Core Tip: Practitioners who participate in caring for patients with cirrhosis are challenged when using antibiotics rationally. On one side, bacterial infections are frequent, severe, and not always straightforward to diagnose; on the other, scant granular data is publicly available about the causal microorganisms and their susceptibility patterns. According to experts, empiric antibiotic treatments should cover 80% of the common pathogens in stable patients and 90% in critically ill patients with suspected infections. Therefore, it is necessary to know the microorganisms expected to be involved in the most frequent bacterial infections and their susceptibility patterns to develop evidence-based guidelines. This opens a window of opportunity for research because bacterial infections and multidrug resistance are global health issues expected to grow over the following decades.

Citation: Dirchwolf M, Gomez Perdiguer G, Grech IM, Marciano S. Challenges and recommendations when selecting empirical antibiotics in patients with cirrhosis. *World J Hepatol* 2023; 15(3): 377-385

URL: <https://www.wjgnet.com/1948-5182/full/v15/i3/377.htm>

DOI: <https://dx.doi.org/10.4254/wjh.v15.i3.377>

INTRODUCTION

Impact of bacterial infections in patients with cirrhosis

Bacterial infections are extremely frequent in patients with cirrhosis, present in about 25%-46% of those hospitalized for an acute decompensating event. In two third of cases, infections are diagnosed at admission, whereas the remaining patients develop nosocomial infections[1,2]. The commonest infections in patients with cirrhosis include spontaneous bacterial peritonitis (SBP), urinary tract infection, pneumonia, spontaneous bacteremia, and skin and soft tissue infections[3]. Although gram-negative enteric organisms were the primary pathogens involved, gram-positive infections are increasing in prevalence. This situation might be favored by antibiotic prophylaxis, medical procedures, and prior hospitalizations, among other risk factors[2,4].

Bacterial infections are currently recognized as a surrogate for the final stage of chronic liver disease [5,6]. Even though any type of decompensation in patients with cirrhosis is associated with worsening survival, not all decompensating events carry the same weight in patients' prognosis. The relevance of bacterial infections as a prognostic factor has been clearly stated in a meta-analysis that found that they increase mortality four-fold in this population, considering 30% of patients die within one month and another 30% die one year after these infections are diagnosed[7].

Factors associated with an increased risk of infection are poor liver function, variceal bleeding, low ascitic fluid protein levels, prior SBP, and hospitalization[8]. In addition, bacterial infections have also been defined in the large prospective cohort study CANONIC as the most frequent trigger of acute-on-chronic liver failure (ACLF), negatively impacting patients' prognosis irrespective of the resolution of the infection[5]. In fact, infections as precipitant or complications arise in 50% of patients with ACLF and 70% of patients with three or more organ failures[9].

Challenges in timely diagnosis and treatment of bacterial infections

Early diagnosis of bacterial infections is crucial for the rapid initiation of antibiotic treatment[8]. However, this poses a challenge since they are often oligo-symptomatic. For example, only one-half of patients with cirrhosis and bacterial infections develop fever, and most do not present leukocytosis or systemic inflammatory response criteria[10]. This is why high clinical suspicion is critical; in fact, the European Association for the Study of the Liver (EASL) position paper on bacterial infections recommends that all patients with cirrhosis admitted to the hospital should be considered infected until proven otherwise[8]. Furthermore, it should also be considered in patients with cirrhosis that deteriorate their clinical status while admitted to the hospital[10].

A rapid evaluation, including physical examination, ascitic and/or hydrothorax evaluation, and a chest X-ray, might rule in or out some of the most frequent infections in patients with cirrhosis, such as SBP, spontaneous bacterial empyema, pneumonia, and skin and soft tissue infections. However, urinary tract infection and spontaneous bacteremia, representing more than 40% of the infections[3], are not easy to approach because their diagnosis is mainly based on cultures, which are usually available 24 to 48 h after the initial evaluation. In practice, the difficulty of ruling out these two infections might lead to unnecessary empiric antibiotic prescriptions.

Several biomarkers have been assessed to aid in promptly diagnosing bacterial infections. C-reactive protein, ferritin, or leukocyte count lack specificity for bacterial infections[11]. Furthermore, they can be influenced by immune dysfunction and hypersplenism, presenting lower values than expected[10,11]. Procalcitonin has been proposed as a more specific marker for bacterial infection. Nearly all tissues produce this biomarker in response to endotoxin or mediators released during bacterial infections, such as interleukin (IL)-1 β , tumor necrosis factor-alpha, and IL-6. It has been proposed that it highly

correlates with the severity of bacterial infections and may help distinguish bacterial from viral infections or non-infectious inflammatory syndromes[8,11]. In a meta-analysis of more than 1000 patients with infections and cirrhosis, procalcitonin and C-reactive protein had acceptable accuracy for diagnosing bacterial infection among patients with cirrhosis compared with patients with normal liver function; however, their suggested applications differ. Procalcitonin was suggested as a rule-in tool [positive likelihood ratio = 7.38, 95% confidence interval (CI): 4.70-11.58], whereas C-reactive protein was suggested as a rule-out tool (negative likelihood ratio = 0.23, 95% CI: 0.13-0.41)[12]. Ultra-sensitive procalcitonin has been suggested more recently as a valuable tool for bacterial infection diagnosis, with a sensitivity of 97% and a negative predictive value of 98%, considering a cutoff value of 0.098 ng/mL [13]. Despite these promising data, these tools have yet to be integrated into everyday clinical practice.

Due to all these limitations, other auxiliary tools have been proposed and validated in this population to diagnose sepsis. One of these is the Sepsis-3 score, which defines sepsis as a Sequential/Sepsis-related Organ Failure Assessment (SOFA) score of at least two points at intensive care unit (ICU) admission or an increase in the SOFA score during ICU hospitalization and suspected infection[14,15]. This updated clinical score aims to achieve greater consistency for future trials and ease earlier diagnosis and management of patients with sepsis or at its risk[15]. Similarly, the qSOFA score considers a surrogate of poor prognosis the presence of at least two of the following: Respiratory rate of 22 breaths per minute or greater, altered mental status, or systolic blood pressure of 100 mmHg or lower[16]. This simplified score had a greater predictive validity for in-hospital mortality than SOFA and systemic inflammatory response syndrome when used outside of an ICU setting[17]. However, these scores must be broadly validated to be used as the standard of care.

When a bacterial infection is suspected in patients with cirrhosis, the immediate initiation of antibiotics is crucial in improving the prognosis. Similarly, to the scores mentioned above, the recommendation derives from studies and guidelines considering the general population. In the Surviving Sepsis Campaign 2021, the initiation of antimicrobials is considered an emergency in patients with sepsis or septic shock. In this latter group, for each hour of delay upon administration of antimicrobials, there is a 4%-13% increase in the odds of in-hospital mortality[14]. Similar findings have been reported in patients with cirrhosis and septic shock, where each hour of delay in using appropriate antimicrobials was associated with higher mortality[18,19].

Challenges in the selection of antibiotic prophylaxis or empiric treatment in the multidrug-resistant era

It has been stated in a consensus conference regarding infections in patients with cirrhosis that randomized clinical trials on antibiotic prophylaxis are affected by several methodological pitfalls: The majority of them were under-powered, considered short follow-up periods, had methodological flaws, and were conducted more than two decades ago, in a completely different epidemiological context than the one faced today[20]. Current recommendations are based on the results of these studies, which were performed in an epidemiological setting where microorganisms responsible for infections were rarely multidrug-resistant and when gram-negative bacilli predominated over gram-positive cocci. This has changed radically in the last 20 years, with an increasing prevalence of multidrug-resistant microorganisms (MDRO), especially in patients with decompensated cirrhosis prone to hospitalizations, prolonged antibiotic prophylaxis, and invasive procedures[21]. In fact, in a recent worldwide prospective multicenter study performed by Piano *et al*[3], the global prevalence of MDRO reached 34%. These findings differed significantly by country, with a prevalence higher than 70% in India, between 20%-30% in Argentina, Canada, and several western European countries, and lower than 20% in the United States and Russia. The consequences are not trivial: Infections caused by MDRO were associated with a lower efficacy of empirical antibiotic treatment, a longer duration of antibiotic therapy, a lower rate of resolution of the infection, and a higher incidence of septic shock than those with non-MDRO infections. Most importantly, mortality was significantly higher in patients with MDRO infections[3].

Rectal colonization by MDRO may guide empirical antibiotic therapy. A recently published study showed that MDRO rectal colonization is prevalent in critically ill patients with cirrhosis (up to 47% at admission) and is associated with an increased risk of infections caused by the MDRO colonizing strains [22]. Furthermore, colonization by MDRO has also been associated with higher mortality in the liver transplant waiting list[23] and higher mortality in patients with cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma [24]. All in all, the frequency of rectal colonization surveillance and its interpretation when selecting empirical therapy is yet to be defined[25].

According to experts, empiric antibiotic treatment should effectively cover approximately 80% of expected bacteria in non-critically ill patients and 90% in critically ill patients[26]. However, in the scenario mentioned above in which infections by gram-positive bacteria and multidrug organisms are increasing, prior recommendations may need to be revised. Thus, the current challenge is whether we can still safely choose antibiotic prophylaxis and treatment based on the current practice guidelines or whether these general recommendations should be regularly updated and tailored according to local epidemiological information.

Antibiotic prophylaxis in patients with cirrhosis

Antibiotic prophylaxis should be prescribed in specific clinical situations where there is a high risk for bacterial infections and when the benefit of their use outweighs the risk for adverse events and the development of antibiotic resistance[10].

Antibiotic prophylaxis in patients with acute gastrointestinal bleeding: There is broad consensus regarding prescribing antibiotic prophylaxis in acute gastrointestinal bleeding in patients with cirrhosis. This is mainly based on their high rate of bacterial infections without antibiotic use (up to 50% during the first days of hospitalization) and on the efficacy of prophylaxis in preventing infections, re-bleeding, and death[27]. Furthermore, the proposed duration of treatment is of only seven days. Thus, the risk of inducing multidrug resistance is lower than in more extended prophylaxis strategies. Regarding the choice of antimicrobial agent, a meta-analysis reports several antibiotics regimens that have a beneficial effect, with cephalosporins, quinolones, and quinolones plus beta-lactams having a more substantial protective effect than other antibiotics. Notably, no significant difference between quinolones and cephalosporins was observed[28]. However, due to the emergence of quinolone-resistant organisms, most international guidelines recommend ceftriaxone as the antibiotic of choice[27,29-31]. In countries such as the United States, where norfloxacin has been discontinued, ceftriaxone is the only recommended option[32]. The EASL 2013 position paper suggests oral norfloxacin twice daily in patients with preserved liver function as the regimen of choice, endorsing ceftriaxone in patients with decompensated cirrhosis (those with at least two of the following findings: Ascites, severe malnutrition, encephalopathy, or jaundice). Additionally, oral nitrofurantoin or ertapenem is recommended in patients with infections caused by extended-spectrum *b*-lactamase-producing *Enterobacteriaceae* in the last three to six months[8]. However, in a more recent publication, this scientific society endorses the use of ceftriaxone 1 g/24 h for up to seven days not only in patients with advanced cirrhosis but also in those on quinolone prophylaxis and hospital settings with a high prevalence of quinolone-resistant bacterial infections, recommending oral quinolones only for the remaining patients. They stress these recommendations should be evaluated and cross-checked from the perspective of local resistance patterns[33].

When assessing the effectiveness of current antibiotic prophylaxis strategies, a recent large multicenter study of patients with cirrhosis and variceal bleeding found that almost 20% of patients developed a bacterial infection despite using the recommendations mentioned above[34]. On the other hand, the need for routine antibiotic prophylaxis has been questioned in less severely ill patients (Child-Pugh A) due to their lower risk of infections and death[35].

Despite an acceptable consensus regarding the use of ceftriaxone as the prophylaxis of choice, this should be adapted considering the growing worldwide prevalence of MDRO, the severity of the underlying liver disease, and/or the setting of the bleeding episode (community-onset *vs* nosocomial). For example, antibiotic prophylaxis should not be the same in a patient admitted for variceal bleeding as in a patient who bleeds while in the ICU receiving antibiotics for a prior bacterial infection.

Long-term primary and secondary prophylaxis of SBP: Primary prophylaxis is proposed for patients with ascites and severe impairment of liver function, without a prior episode of SBP. The criteria used differs slightly according to different guidelines. The EASL guidelines recommend primary prophylaxis should be started on patients with low protein concentration in ascites (< 1.5 g/L), liver failure (Child-Turcotte-Pugh score > 9 and bilirubin > 3 mg/dL), and either renal dysfunction or hyponatremia[33]. In contrast, the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases (AASLD) 2021 practice guidelines suggest primary prophylaxis could be considered in patients with the same threshold of ascitic protein accompanied by liver failure (Child-Turcotte-Pugh score > 9 and bilirubin > 3 mg/dL), renal dysfunction or hyponatremia[31]. In the latter guideline, primary prophylaxis is left to each physician's discretion since available studies are considered of variable quality and thus insufficient to support a consensus guidance recommendation. The impact of primary prophylaxis on overall survival, and not only on SBP occurrence, is a topic of ongoing research. Recently, the effect of long-term (six months) primary prophylaxis with norfloxacin has been evaluated in a randomized controlled trial that included 291 Child C patients. The risk of death at six months was significantly lower in patients with ascites fluid protein concentrations < 1.5 g/L, whereas it had no effect in patients with higher ascites protein count. Interestingly, norfloxacin significantly decreased any gram-negative bacterial infection without increasing infections caused by *Clostridium difficile* or MDROs[36]. Further data regarding the efficacy and safety of primary prophylaxis of SBP is expected from the ASEP-TIC trial, which aims to evaluate the impact of cotrimoxazole treatment *vs* placebo during 18 mo of therapy in overall survival SBP incidence, and antimicrobial resistance, among other objectives[37].

Secondary prophylaxis (*i.e.*, in patients with at least one prior episode of SBP) rationale is based on the high risk of SBP recurrence, and the significant impact antibiotic prophylaxis has on reducing its incidence. In a trial performed more than 30 years ago, secondary prophylaxis with norfloxacin significantly reduced the probability of SBP recurrence compared to placebo (20% *vs* 68%, respectively) [38]. However, the current benefit of secondary prophylaxis with norfloxacin has recently been challenged due to the growing prevalence of quinolone-resistant bacteria and heterogeneous results in observational studies[39,40]. Several alternative strategies have been proposed to norfloxacin, using

other antimicrobials such as ciprofloxacin, rifaximin, ceftriaxone, or cotrimoxazole with different frequencies of administration (daily, five days a week, weekly). Interestingly, in a recently published meta-analysis, only daily rifaximin significantly reduced SBP recurrence compared to other antibiotics or placebo[41]. However, due to methodological concerns affecting available trials, rifaximin is not considered the standard of care for prophylaxis of SBP[42]. This poses a challenge for the treating physician when facing a patients who are under rifaximin treatment for hepatic encephalopathy that need to start prophylaxis for SBP: The aforementioned EASL guidelines state that no recommendation can be provided to guide the choice of antimicrobial among patients already on rifaximin[33]: Choosing either antibiotic or both becomes a personalized choice.

Rational selection of empiric antibiotics: Easier said than done

In daily practice, various forces drive the decision to start empiric antibiotic treatment. Given the high incidence and severe impact of bacterial infections in patients with cirrhosis, it is likely that antibiotics are overused in this population. In fact, a recent sub-analysis of the ATTIRE clinical trial suggested that half of the antibiotics prescribed to hospitalized patients with decompensated cirrhosis might not be necessary[43].

That said, the next step after confirmation or suspicion of sepsis is to start an empiric antibiotic treatment, which will be selected taking into account the site of the infection (SBP, urinary tract infection, *etc.*), the type of infection (community-acquired, health-care-associated, or nosocomial), and the pattern of resistance according to the local epidemiology. However, it is also important to consider the degree of liver failure, renal function, and potential allergies, among other variables. Another critical factor that has to be taken into account is the severity of the infection, which might be explored by evaluating the presence and number of organ failures or by calculating scores like CLIF-C AD, CLIF-C ACLF, and quick SOFA, among others[33], as was previously discussed.

Several models to predict the risk of infection by multidrug-resistance organisms were published to refine the selection of the empirical antibiotic treatment. Unfortunately, none were developed or validated in patients with cirrhosis, and their performance was moderate[44,45]. The most desirable tool to guide the selection of antibiotics would be real-time techniques that inform on the involved microorganisms and their antibiotic susceptibility pattern. Gram stain preparation is the only widely available and straightforward approach, but it provides limited information. However, in the future, other rapid molecular tests still under development or validation could give this information in minutes or hours and might help select empirical treatments in patients with cirrhosis[46].

Guidelines for antibiotic selection and protocols for rapid evaluation of patients with suspicion of sepsis are very helpful[47]. However, the need for knowledge about the expected local microorganisms and their susceptibility patterns are some of the barriers to developing these guidelines. Therefore, the World Health Assembly proposed a plan for antimicrobial resistance in 2015, which enhances surveillance of antimicrobial susceptibility patterns to generate evidence-based empiric antibiotic recommendations. Surveillance can be performed at different levels, from single institutions to states or countries. But ideally, each institution should count on sufficient granular data to generate its recommendations which would guide the treating physician to select the shortest treatment duration with the lowest-spectrum antibiotic, which will cover 80%-90% of the anticipated microorganisms using an adequate dose and route of administration[3,48].

It is known that keeping an active surveillance program that performs periodic reports and recommendations requires a multidisciplinary expert team, is time-consuming, and is costly[49]. Therefore, scientific societies or governmental organizations should implement and lead these programs and report their results at different levels. For example, Argentina and Uruguay launched a surveillance program for bacterial infections in patients with cirrhosis in October 2018, which hepatologists, infectious diseases, and epidemiologists lead and aims to serve as a platform to perform evidence-based recommendations regarding empirical antibiotic selection in this population[50].

The most recently published recommendations for empiric antibiotic treatment in patients with cirrhosis can be found in the AASLD and EASL guidelines for managing patients with decompensated cirrhosis (Table 1)[31,33]. These recommendations should be adopted with caution after revisiting the epidemiological particularities that a given center or region might have and discussing them with infectious disease specialists and microbiologists.

For example, for the case of empirical treatment of SBP, guidelines suggest using a third-generation cephalosporin or piperacillin-tazobactam. However, it should be noted that there are essential differences among third-generation cephalosporins. Ceftazidime, ceftriaxone, and cefepime are mainly used to treat community-acquired SBP, but their spectrum varies. Generally speaking, cefepime and ceftriaxone cover most gram-negative and gram-positive bacteria, which are expected to cause community-acquired SBP. However, ceftazidime does not cover gram-positive bacteria, like *Streptococcus spp.*, which are known to be highly prevalent in some regions in patients with community-acquired infections, like SBP and spontaneous bacteremia[39,51]. Similarly, these guidelines recommend using fluoroquinolones (ciprofloxacin or levofloxacin) in patients with community-acquired urinary tract infection, which might offer inadequate coverage in regions where the prevalence of resistance of community uropathogens to fluoroquinolones is known or expected to be high.

Table 1 Empiric antibiotic recommendations in patients with cirrhosis, according to source, severity and type of infection

Infection	AASLD	EASL
Spontaneous infections (peritonitis, bacteremia¹, empyema)	Community acquired: Third-generation cephalosporins Nosocomial: Piperacillin/tazobactam and daptomycin (if known VRE in past or evidence of GI colonization) or meropenem if known to harbor MDR gram-negative organisms	Community acquired: Third-generation cephalosporins or piperacillin/tazobactam Healthcare-associated: Area dependent: Like nosocomial infections if high prevalence of MDRO or sepsis Nosocomial: Carbapenems alone or carbapenems and daptomycin, vancomycin or linezolid if high prevalence of MDR gram-positive bacteria or sepsis
Pyelonephritis/urinary tract infection	Uncomplicated pyelonephritis: Fluoroquinolones (ciprofloxacin or levofloxacin). Severe pyelonephritis: Third-generation cephalosporins (<i>e.g.</i> , ceftriaxone). If recent antibiotic exposure: Piperacillin/tazobactam or carbapenem	Community acquired: Uncomplicated: Ciprofloxacin or cotrimoxazole. If sepsis: Third-generation cephalosporins or piperacillin/tazobactam. Healthcare-associated: Area dependent: Like nosocomial infections if high prevalence of MDROs or if sepsis. Nosocomial: Uncomplicated: Fosfomycin or nitrofurantoin. If sepsis: Meropenem and teicoplanin or vancomycin
Pneumonia	Community acquired: (1) Non-severe: B-lactam and macrolide or respiratory fluoroquinolones; and (2) Severe: B-lactam and macrolide or B-lactam and fluoroquinolones. Vancomycin can be added if patient has prior respiratory isolation of MRSA. Hospital acquired (not ventilator associated): (1) Non-severe (not septic, not intubated): One of the following: Piperacillin/tazobactam or cefepime or levofloxacin. Vancomycin can be added if MRSA was isolated in the last 90 d or if antibiotics were used in the last 90 d; and (2) Severe (presence of sepsis or requiring intubation). One of the following: Piperacillin/tazobactam or cefepime or meropenem and levofloxacin. Vancomycin can be added if MRSA was isolated in the last 90 d or if antibiotics were used in the last 90 d. Pseudomonas coverage: If there is prior respiratory isolation of pseudomonas or recent use of parenteral antibiotics or hospitalization	Community acquired: Piperacillin/tazobactam or ceftriaxone and macrolide or levofloxacin or moxifloxacin. Healthcare-associated: Area dependent: Like nosocomial infections if high prevalence of MDROs or if sepsis. Nosocomial: Ceftazidime or meropenem and levofloxacin ± glycopeptides or linezolid
Cellulitis	Moderate (with systemic signs of infection): Penicillin or ceftriaxone or cefazolin or clindamycin. Severe (failed antibiotics, presence of sepsis): Vancomycin and piperacillin/tazobactam	Community acquired: Piperacillin/tazobactam or third-generation cephalosporins and oxacillin. Healthcare-associated: Area dependent: Like nosocomial infections if high prevalence of MDROs or if sepsis. Nosocomial: Third-generation cephalosporin or meropenem and oxacillin or glycopeptides or daptomycin or linezolid

¹European Association for the Study of the Liver refers only to spontaneous bacterial peritonitis and spontaneous bacterial empyema.AASLD: American Association for the Study of the Liver; EASL: European Association for the Study of the Liver; GI: Gastrointestinal; MDR: Multidrug-resistant; MDROs: Multidrug-resistant microorganisms; MRSA: Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*; VRE: Vancomycin-resistant enterococcus.

Final thoughts

There is an evident conflict between ensuring adequate antibiotic prophylaxis or empiric treatment and rationalizing broad-spectrum antibiotics in patients with cirrhosis. After reviewing the literature in search of information that may be useful to guide the rational use of antibiotics in this population, several shortcomings emerge. There is insufficient granular data on the susceptibility patterns of the microorganisms involved in bacterial infections. This should stimulate research and publications of descriptive studies that serve as a platform for developing evidence-based guidelines. Many centers worldwide likely have valuable information that needs to be published. Part of the complexity of this type of research is that the microorganisms involved and their susceptibility patterns change over time. Therefore, it is necessary to have sustained surveillance programs and not just short-term studies.

CONCLUSION

Since the World Health Organization anticipates that drug resistance will have a catastrophic impact on health systems and the global economy by 2050, all healthcare professionals that participate at different levels in the care of patients with cirrhosis should advocate for the rational use of antibiotics.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

To Astrid Smud and Laura Barcan from the Infectious Disease Unit of the Hospital Italiano de Buenos Aires for their continuous support.

FOOTNOTES

Author contributions: All authors performed the literature research, wrote and revised the manuscript.

Conflict-of-interest statement: All the authors report no relevant conflicts of interest for this article.

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>

Country/Territory of origin: Argentina

ORCID number: Gonzalo Gomez Perdiguero 0000-0002-6026-9656; Sebastian Marciano 0000-0002-7983-1450.

S-Editor: Wang JJ

L-Editor: A

P-Editor: Wang JJ

REFERENCES

- 1 **Van der Merwe S**, Chokshi S, Bernsmeier C, Albillos A. The multifactorial mechanisms of bacterial infection in decompensated cirrhosis. *J Hepatol* 2021; **75** Suppl 1: S82-S100 [PMID: 34039494 DOI: 10.1016/j.jhep.2020.11.029]
- 2 **Piano S**, Tonon M, Angeli P. Changes in the epidemiology and management of bacterial infections in cirrhosis. *Clin Mol Hepatol* 2021; **27**: 437-445 [PMID: 33504138 DOI: 10.3350/cmh.2020.0329]
- 3 **Piano S**, Singh V, Caraceni P, Maiwall R, Alessandria C, Fernandez J, Soares EC, Kim DJ, Kim SE, Marino M, Vorobioff J, Barea RCR, Merli M, Elkrief L, Vargas V, Krag A, Singh SP, Lesmana LA, Toledo C, Marciano S, Verhelst X, Wong F, Intagliata N, Rabinowich L, Colombato L, Kim SG, Gerbes A, Durand F, Roblero JP, Bhamidimarri KR, Boyer TD, Maevskaya M, Fassio E, Kim HS, Hwang JS, Gines P, Gadano A, Sarin SK, Angeli P; International Club of Ascites Global Study Group. Epidemiology and Effects of Bacterial Infections in Patients With Cirrhosis Worldwide. *Gastroenterology* 2019; **156**: 1368-1380.e10 [PMID: 30552895 DOI: 10.1053/j.gastro.2018.12.005]
- 4 **Cannon MD**, Martin P, Carrion AF. Bacterial Infection in Patients with Cirrhosis: Don't Get Bugged to Death. *Dig Dis Sci* 2020; **65**: 31-37 [PMID: 31768880 DOI: 10.1007/s10620-019-05943-6]
- 5 **D'Amico G**, Bernardi M, Angeli P. Towards a new definition of decompensated cirrhosis. *J Hepatol* 2022; **76**: 202-207 [PMID: 34157322 DOI: 10.1016/j.jhep.2021.06.018]
- 6 **de Franchis R**. Portal Hypertension IV: Proceedings of the 4th Baveno International Consensus Workshop. United States: John Wiley & Sons; 2006
- 7 **Arvaniti V**, D'Amico G, Fede G, Manousou P, Tsochatzis E, Pleguezuelo M, Burroughs AK. Infections in patients with cirrhosis increase mortality four-fold and should be used in determining prognosis. *Gastroenterology* 2010; **139**: 1246-1256.e1 [PMID: 20558165 DOI: 10.1053/j.gastro.2010.06.019]
- 8 **Jalan R**, Fernandez J, Wiest R, Schnabl B, Moreau R, Angeli P, Stadlbauer V, Gustot T, Bernardi M, Canton R, Albillos A, Lammert F, Wilmer A, Mookerjee R, Vila J, Garcia-Martinez R, Wendon J, Such J, Cordoba J, Sanyal A, Garcia-Tsao G, Arroyo V, Burroughs A, Ginès P. Bacterial infections in cirrhosis: a position statement based on the EASL Special Conference 2013. *J Hepatol* 2014; **60**: 1310-1324 [PMID: 24530646 DOI: 10.1016/j.jhep.2014.01.024]
- 9 **Arroyo V**, Moreau R, Jalan R. Acute-on-Chronic Liver Failure. *N Engl J Med* 2020; **382**: 2137-2145 [PMID: 32459924 DOI: 10.1056/NEJMr1914900]
- 10 **Fernández J**, Gustot T. Management of bacterial infections in cirrhosis. *J Hepatol* 2012; **56** Suppl 1: S1-12 [PMID: 22300459 DOI: 10.1016/S0168-8278(12)60002-6]
- 11 **Bunchorntavakul C**, Chamroonkul N, Chavalitdharmrong D. Bacterial infections in cirrhosis: A critical review and practical guidance. *World J Hepatol* 2016; **8**: 307-321 [PMID: 26962397 DOI: 10.4254/wjh.v8.i6.307]
- 12 **Lin KH**, Wang FL, Wu MS, Jiang BY, Kao WL, Chao HY, Wu JY, Lee CC. Serum procalcitonin and C-reactive protein levels as markers of bacterial infection in patients with liver cirrhosis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis* 2014; **80**: 72-78 [PMID: 24974271 DOI: 10.1016/j.diagmicrobio.2014.03.029]
- 13 **Marciano S**, Haddad L, Martínez AP, Posadas ML, Piñero F, Mora GJ, Guerrero LN, Ridruejo E, Mandó OG, Giunta DH, Gadano AC. Ultra-sensitive procalcitonin may help rule out bacterial infections in patients with cirrhosis. *Ann Hepatol* 2014; **13**: 541-547 [PMID: 25152987]
- 14 **Evans L**, Rhodes A, Alhazzani W, Antonelli M, Coopersmith CM, French C, Machado FR, McIntyre L, Ostermann M, Prescott HC, Schorr C, Simpson S, Wiersinga WJ, Alshamsi F, Angus DC, Arabi Y, Azevedo L, Beale R, Beilman G,

- Belley-Cote E, Burry L, Cecconi M, Centofanti J, Coz Yataco A, De Waele J, Dellinger RP, Doi K, Du B, Estenssoro E, Ferrer R, Gomersall C, Hodgson C, Hylander Möller M, Iwashyna T, Jacob S, Kleinpell R, Klompas M, Koh Y, Kumar A, Kwizera A, Lobo S, Masur H, McGloughlin S, Mehta S, Mehta Y, Mer M, Nunnally M, Oczkowski S, Osborn T, Papathanassoglou E, Perner A, Puskarich M, Roberts J, Schweickert W, Seckel M, Sevransky J, Sprung CL, Welte T, Zimmerman J, Levy M. Surviving Sepsis Campaign: International Guidelines for Management of Sepsis and Septic Shock 2021. *Crit Care Med* 2021; **49**: e1063-e1143 [PMID: [34605781](#) DOI: [10.1097/CCM.0000000000005337](#)]
- 15 **Singer M**, Deutschman CS, Seymour CW, Shankar-Hari M, Annane D, Bauer M, Bellomo R, Bernard GR, Chiche JD, Coopersmith CM, Hotchkiss RS, Levy MM, Marshall JC, Martin GS, Opal SM, Rubenfeld GD, van der Poll T, Vincent JL, Angus DC. The Third International Consensus Definitions for Sepsis and Septic Shock (Sepsis-3). *JAMA* 2016; **315**: 801-810 [PMID: [26903338](#) DOI: [10.1001/jama.2016.0287](#)]
- 16 **Martin Mateos R**, Albillos A. Sepsis in Patients With Cirrhosis Awaiting Liver Transplantation: New Trends and Management. *Liver Transpl* 2019; **25**: 1700-1709 [PMID: [31408581](#) DOI: [10.1002/lt.25621](#)]
- 17 **Seymour CW**, Liu VX, Iwashyna TJ, Brunkhorst FM, Rea TD, Scherag A, Rubenfeld G, Kahn JM, Shankar-Hari M, Singer M, Deutschman CS, Escobar GJ, Angus DC. Assessment of Clinical Criteria for Sepsis: For the Third International Consensus Definitions for Sepsis and Septic Shock (Sepsis-3). *JAMA* 2016; **315**: 762-774 [PMID: [26903335](#) DOI: [10.1001/jama.2016.0288](#)]
- 18 **Arabi YM**, Dara SI, Memish Z, Al Abdulkareem A, Tamim HM, Al-Shirawi N, Parrillo JE, Dodek P, Lapinsky S, Feinstein D, Wood G, Dial S, Zanotti S, Kumar A; Cooperative Antimicrobial Therapy of Septic Shock (CATSS) Database Research Group. Antimicrobial therapeutic determinants of outcomes from septic shock among patients with cirrhosis. *Hepatology* 2012; **56**: 2305-2315 [PMID: [22753144](#) DOI: [10.1002/hep.25931](#)]
- 19 **Karvellas CJ**, Abalades JG, Arabi YM, Kumar A; Cooperative Antimicrobial Therapy of Septic Shock (CATSS) Database Research Group. Appropriate and timely antimicrobial therapy in cirrhotic patients with spontaneous bacterial peritonitis-associated septic shock: a retrospective cohort study. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2015; **41**: 747-757 [PMID: [25703246](#) DOI: [10.1111/apt.13135](#)]
- 20 **Fagioli S**, Colli A, Bruno R, Burra P, Craxi A, Gaeta GB, Grossi P, Mondelli MU, Puoti M, Sagnelli E, Stefani S, Toniutto P. Management of infections in cirrhotic patients: report of a consensus conference. *Dig Liver Dis* 2014; **46**: 204-212 [PMID: [24021271](#) DOI: [10.1016/j.dld.2013.07.015](#)]
- 21 **Fernández J**, Piano S, Bartoletti M, Wey EQ. Management of bacterial and fungal infections in cirrhosis: The MDRO challenge. *J Hepatol* 2021; **75** Suppl 1: S101-S117 [PMID: [34039482](#) DOI: [10.1016/j.jhep.2020.11.010](#)]
- 22 **Prado V**, Hernández-Tejero M, Mücke MM, Marco F, Gu W, Amoros A, Toapanta D, Reverter E, Peña-Ramirez C, Altenpeter L, Bassegoda O, Mezzano G, Aziz F, Juanola A, Rodríguez-Tajes S, Chamorro V, López D, Reyes M, Hogardt M, Kempf VAJ, Ferstl PG, Zeuzem S, Martínez JA, Vila J, Arroyo V, Trebicka J, Fernandez J. Rectal colonization by resistant bacteria increases the risk of infection by the colonizing strain in critically ill patients with cirrhosis. *J Hepatol* 2022; **76**: 1079-1089 [PMID: [35074475](#) DOI: [10.1016/j.jhep.2021.12.042](#)]
- 23 **Ferstl PG**, Filmann N, Heilgenthal EM, Schnitzbauer AA, Bechstein WO, Kempf VAJ, Villinger D, Schultze TG, Hogardt M, Stephan C, Mutlak H, Weiler N, Mücke MM, Trebicka J, Zeuzem S, Waidmann O, Welker MW. Colonization with multidrug-resistant organisms is associated with increased mortality in liver transplant candidates. *PLoS One* 2021; **16**: e0245091 [PMID: [33481811](#) DOI: [10.1371/journal.pone.0245091](#)]
- 24 **Himmelsbach V**, Knabe M, Ferstl PG, Peiffer KH, Stratmann JA, Wichelhaus TA, Hogardt M, Kempf VAJ, Zeuzem S, Waidmann O, Finkelmeier F, Ballo O. Colonization with multidrug-resistant organisms impairs survival in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma. *J Cancer Res Clin Oncol* 2022; **148**: 1465-1472 [PMID: [34283288](#) DOI: [10.1007/s00432-021-03741-0](#)]
- 25 **Mücke VT**, Peiffer KH, Kessel J, Schwarzkopf KM, Bojunga J, Zeuzem S, Finkelmeier F, Mücke MM. Impact of colonization with multidrug-resistant organisms on antibiotic prophylaxis in patients with cirrhosis and variceal bleeding. *PLoS One* 2022; **17**: e0268638 [PMID: [35609050](#) DOI: [10.1371/journal.pone.0268638](#)]
- 26 **Cressman AM**, MacFadden DR, Verma AA, Razak F, Daneman N. Empiric Antibiotic Treatment Thresholds for Serious Bacterial Infections: A Scenario-based Survey Study. *Clin Infect Dis* 2019; **69**: 930-937 [PMID: [30535310](#) DOI: [10.1093/cid/ciy1031](#)]
- 27 **Dong Y**, Sun D, Wang Y, Du Q, Zhang Y, Han R, Teng M, Zhang T, Shi L, Zheng G, Dong Y, Wang T. Evaluation of the current guidelines for antibacterial therapy strategies in patients with cirrhosis or liver failure. *BMC Infect Dis* 2022; **22**: 23 [PMID: [34983426](#) DOI: [10.1186/s12879-021-07018-2](#)]
- 28 **Chavez-Tapia NC**, Barrientos-Gutierrez T, Tellez-Avila F, Soares-Weiser K, Mendez-Sanchez N, Gluud C, Uribe M. Meta-analysis: antibiotic prophylaxis for cirrhotic patients with upper gastrointestinal bleeding - an updated Cochrane review. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2011; **34**: 509-518 [PMID: [21707680](#) DOI: [10.1111/j.1365-2036.2011.04746.x](#)]
- 29 **Henry Z**, Patel K, Patton H, Saad W. AGA Clinical Practice Update on Management of Bleeding Gastric Varices: Expert Review. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2021; **19**: 1098-1107.e1 [PMID: [33493693](#) DOI: [10.1016/j.cgh.2021.01.027](#)]
- 30 **Garcia-Tsao G**, Abalades JG, Berzigotti A, Bosch J. Portal hypertensive bleeding in cirrhosis: Risk stratification, diagnosis, and management: 2016 practice guidance by the American Association for the study of liver diseases. *Hepatology* 2017; **65**: 310-335 [PMID: [27786365](#) DOI: [10.1002/hep.28906](#)]
- 31 **Biggins SW**, Angeli P, Garcia-Tsao G, Ginès P, Ling SC, Nadim MK, Wong F, Kim WR. Diagnosis, Evaluation, and Management of Ascites, Spontaneous Bacterial Peritonitis and Hepatorenal Syndrome: 2021 Practice Guidance by the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases. *Hepatology* 2021; **74**: 1014-1048 [PMID: [33942342](#) DOI: [10.1002/hep.31884](#)]
- 32 **Diaz-Soto MP**, Garcia-Tsao G. Management of varices and variceal hemorrhage in liver cirrhosis: a recent update. *Therap Adv Gastroenterol* 2022; **15**: 17562848221101712 [PMID: [35757384](#) DOI: [10.1177/17562848221101712](#)]
- 33 **European Association for the Study of the Liver**. EASL Clinical Practice Guidelines for the management of patients with decompensated cirrhosis. *J Hepatol* 2018; **69**: 406-460 [PMID: [29653741](#) DOI: [10.1016/j.jhep.2018.03.024](#)]
- 34 **Martínez J**, Hernández-Gea V, Rodríguez-de-Santiago E, Téllez L, Procopet B, Giráldez Á, Amitrano L, Villanueva C, Thabut D, Ibañez-Samaniego L, Silva-Junior G, Genescà J, Bureau C, Trebicka J, Bañares R, Krag A, Llop E, Laleman W,

- Palazon JM, Castellote J, Rodrigues S, Gluud LL, Noronha-Ferreira C, Cañete N, Rodríguez M, Ferlitsch A, Schwarzer R, Mundi JL, Gronbaek H, Hernández-Guerra M, Sassatelli R, Dell'Era A, Senzolo M, Abalde JG, Romero-Gomez M, Zipprich A, Casas M, Masnou H, Primignani M, Nevens F, Calleja JL, Jansen C, Robic MA, Conejo I, Catalina MV, Rudler M, Alvarado E, Perez-Campuzano V, Guardascione MA, Fischer P, Bosch J, García-Pagán JC, Albillos A; International Variceal Bleeding Observational Study Group and Baveno Cooperation. Bacterial infections in patients with acute variceal bleeding in the era of antibiotic prophylaxis. *J Hepatol* 2021; **75**: 342-350 [PMID: [33845059](#) DOI: [10.1016/j.jhep.2021.03.026](#)]
- 35 **Tandon P**, Abalde JG, Keough A, Bastiampillai R, Jayakumar S, Carbonneau M, Wong E, Kao D, Bain VG, Ma M. Risk of Bacterial Infection in Patients With Cirrhosis and Acute Variceal Hemorrhage, Based on Child-Pugh Class, and Effects of Antibiotics. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2015; **13**: 1189-96.e2 [PMID: [25460564](#) DOI: [10.1016/j.cgh.2014.11.019](#)]
- 36 **Moreau R**, Elkrief L, Bureau C, Perarnau JM, Thévenot T, Saliba F, Louvet A, Nahon P, Lannes A, Anty R, Hillaire S, Pasquet B, Ozenne V, Rudler M, Ollivier-Hourmand I, Robic MA, d'Alteroche L, Di Martino V, Ripault MP, Pauwels A, Grangé JD, Carbonell N, Bronowicki JP, Payancé A, Rautou PE, Valla D, Gault N, Lebrech D; NORFLOCIR Trial Investigators. Effects of Long-term Norfloxacin Therapy in Patients With Advanced Cirrhosis. *Gastroenterology* 2018; **155**: 1816-1827.e9 [PMID: [30144431](#) DOI: [10.1053/j.gastro.2018.08.026](#)]
- 37 **Crocconbe D**, Ahmed N, Balakrishnan I, Bordea E, Chau M, China L, Corless L, Danquah V, Dehbi HM, Dillon JF, Forrest EH, Freemantle N, Gear DP, Hollywood C, Hunter R, Jeyapalan T, Kallis Y, McPherson S, Munteanu I, Portal J, Richardson P, Ryder SD, Virk A, Wright G, O'Brien A. ASEPTIC: primary antibiotic prophylaxis using co-trimoxazole to prevent Spontaneous bacterial Peritonitis in Cirrhosis-study protocol for an interventional randomised controlled trial. *Trials* 2022; **23**: 812 [PMID: [36167573](#) DOI: [10.1186/s13063-022-06727-6](#)]
- 38 **Ginés P**, Rimola A, Planas R, Vargas V, Marco F, Almela M, Forné M, Miranda ML, Llach J, Salmerón JM. Norfloxacin prevents spontaneous bacterial peritonitis recurrence in cirrhosis: results of a double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Hepatology* 1990; **12**: 716-724 [PMID: [2210673](#) DOI: [10.1002/hep.1840120416](#)]
- 39 **Marciano S**, Dirchwolf M, Diaz JM, Bermudez C, Gutierrez-Acevedo MN, Barcán LA, Smud A, Giunta D, Gadano AC. Spontaneous bacterial peritonitis recurrence in patients with cirrhosis receiving secondary prophylaxis with norfloxacin. *Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2019; **31**: 540-546 [PMID: [30557229](#) DOI: [10.1097/MEG.0000000000001331](#)]
- 40 **Bajaj JS**, Tandon P, O'Leary JG, Wong F, Biggins SW, Garcia-Tsao G, Kamath PS, Maliakkal B, Fallon MB, Lai JC, Thuluvath PJ, Vargas HE, Subramanian RM, Thacker LR, Reddy KR. Outcomes in Patients With Cirrhosis on Primary Compared to Secondary Prophylaxis for Spontaneous Bacterial Peritonitis. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2019; **114**: 599-606 [PMID: [30694868](#) DOI: [10.14309/ajg.0000000000000044](#)]
- 41 **Soni H**, Kumar-M P, Sharma V, Bellam BL, Mishra S, Mahendru D, Mandavdhare HS, Medhi B, Dutta U, Singh V. Antibiotics for prophylaxis of spontaneous bacterial peritonitis: systematic review & Bayesian network meta-analysis. *Hepatol Int* 2020; **14**: 399-413 [PMID: [32266675](#) DOI: [10.1007/s12072-020-10025-1](#)]
- 42 **Caraceni P**, Vargas V, Solà E, Alessandria C, de Wit K, Trebicka J, Angeli P, Mookerjee RP, Durand F, Pose E, Krag A, Bajaj JS, Beuers U, Ginès P; Liverhope Consortium. The Use of Rifaximin in Patients With Cirrhosis. *Hepatology* 2021; **74**: 1660-1673 [PMID: [33421158](#) DOI: [10.1002/hep.31708](#)]
- 43 **Kutmutia R**, Tittanegro T, China L, Forrest E, Kallis Y, Ryder SD, Wright G, Freemantle N, O'Brien A. Evaluating the Role of Antibiotics in Patients Admitted to Hospital With Decompensated Cirrhosis: Lessons From the ATTIRE Trial. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2023; **118**: 105-113 [PMID: [35970815](#) DOI: [10.14309/ajg.0000000000001937](#)]
- 44 **MacFadden DR**, Coburn B, Shah N, Robicsek A, Savage R, Elligsen M, Daneman N. Decision-support models for empiric antibiotic selection in Gram-negative bloodstream infections. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2019; **25**: 108.e1-108.e7 [PMID: [29705558](#) DOI: [10.1016/j.cmi.2018.03.029](#)]
- 45 **MacFadden DR**, Daneman N, Coburn B. Optimizing Empiric Antibiotic Selection in Sepsis: Turning Probabilities Into Practice. *Clin Infect Dis* 2018; **66**: 479 [PMID: [29020208](#) DOI: [10.1093/cid/cix775](#)]
- 46 **Shanmugakani RK**, Srinivasan B, Glesby MJ, Westblade LF, Cárdenas WB, Raj T, Erickson D, Mehta S. Current state of the art in rapid diagnostics for antimicrobial resistance. *Lab Chip* 2020; **20**: 2607-2625 [PMID: [32644060](#) DOI: [10.1039/d0lc00034e](#)]
- 47 **Özgenç O**. Methodology in improving antibiotic implementation policies. *World J Methodol* 2016; **6**: 143-153 [PMID: [27376019](#) DOI: [10.5662/wjm.v6.i2.143](#)]
- 48 **Pulcini C**, Gyssens IC. How to educate prescribers in antimicrobial stewardship practices. *Virulence* 2013; **4**: 192-202 [PMID: [23361336](#) DOI: [10.4161/viru.23706](#)]
- 49 **Marciano S**, Valverde M, Dirchwolf M, Gutierrez-Acevedo MN, Gadano A. The Importance of Knowing the Local Epidemiology When a Patient With Cirrhosis Acquires a Bacterial Infection. *Clin Liver Dis (Hoboken)* 2020; **16**: 87-90 [PMID: [33005387](#) DOI: [10.1002/cld.911](#)]
- 50 **Gutierrez Acevedo MN**, Barbero S, del Carmen Notari L, Agozino M, Fernandez JL, Tevez S, Anders MM, Grigera N, Antinucci F, Ganem OO, Murga MD, Perez D, Palazzo A, Rejtman LM, Duarte IG, Vorobioff J, Trevizan V, Bulaty S, Bessone F, Bosia JD, Borzi SM, Stieben TE, Masola A, Ferretti SE, Ramos A, Arufe D, Demirdjian E, Raffa MP, Vazquez CE, Ruiz P, Martínez JE, Fainboim H, Peralta M, Heffner LA, Odzak A, Bruno A, Dirchwolf M, Tomatis J, Smud A, Mendizabal M, Pages J, Bellizzi C, Martinez A, Giunta D, Valverde M, Elizondo M, Mauro E, Gadano A, Marciano S. P-68 Frequency and factors associated with antibiotic de-escalation in patients with cirrhosis and bacterial infections. *Ann Hepatol* 2021; **24**: 100431 [DOI: [10.1016/j.aohp.2021.100431](#)]
- 51 **Bartoletti M**, Giannella M, Lewis R, Caraceni P, Tedeschi S, Paul M, Schramm C, Bruns T, Merli M, Cobos-Trigueros N, Seminari E, Retamar P, Muñoz P, Tumbarello M, Burra P, Torrani Cerenzia M, Barsic B, Calbo E, Maraolo AE, Petrosillo N, Galan-Ladero MA, D'Offizi G, Bar Sinai N, Rodríguez-Baño J, Verucchi G, Bernardi M, Viale P; ESGBI/ BICHROME Study Group. A prospective multicentre study of the epidemiology and outcomes of bloodstream infection in cirrhotic patients. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2018; **24**: 546.e1-546.e8 [PMID: [28818628](#) DOI: [10.1016/j.cmi.2017.08.001](#)]



Published by **Baishideng Publishing Group Inc**
7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

Telephone: +1-925-3991568

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

Help Desk: <https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk>

<https://www.wjgnet.com>

