

Dear Editor,

Thank you for your letter and for the reviewers' comments concerning our manuscript entitled "**Fecal microbiota transplantation for irritable bowel syndrome: Current evidence and perspectives**". Those comments are all valuable and very helpful for revising and improving our paper, as well as the important guiding significance to our researches. We have studied comments carefully and have made correction which we hope meet with approval. Revised portion are marked in yellow in the paper. The main corrections in the paper and the responds to the editorial comments and reviewer's comments are as following:

Replies to Reviewers' Comments.

Question 1: As an editorial manuscript, I believe that the content should be more theoretical and conceptual, which could inspire more thinking of researchers in this field. Now, people focus more on individual research.

Answer: Thank you very much for the suggestion. We have revised the relevant content according to Reviewers' suggestion.

Question 2: Now, the manuscript looks more like a review article. The part of the "Challenge" should be expanded. Readers will be interested in this part as it will help with future research.

Answer: Thank you very much for the suggestion. We have expanded the part of the "Challenge" according to Reviewers' suggestion.

Question 3: Some language should be revised to improve the quality.

Answer: Thank you very much for the suggestion. We have revised the whole manuscript very carefully. And we also asked a native English speaker to check the manuscript.

We tried our best to improve the manuscript and made some changes in the manuscript. These changes will not influence the content and framework of the paper. And here we did not list the changes but marked in yellow in the revised paper. We appreciate for Editors and

Reviewers' warm work earnestly, and hope that the correction will meet with approval.

Once again, thank you very much for your comments and suggestions.