

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Ophthalmology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 13288

**Title:** Review of Macular Ganglion Cell Complex Analysis Using SD-OCT for Glaucoma Assessment

**Reviewer's code:** 00505106

**Reviewer's country:** Iran

**Science editor:** Fang-Fang Ji

**Date sent for review:** 2014-08-17 19:55

**Date reviewed:** 2014-09-26 00:12

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	PubMed Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The review is a well written paper and I suggest adding: 1- A table comparing the machines and the layers of macula they measure. 2- Adding some information about the macular OCT in patients with end-stage glaucoma.

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Ophthalmology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 13288

**Title:** Review of Macular Ganglion Cell Complex Analysis Using SD-OCT for Glaucoma Assessment

**Reviewer's code:** 00505087

**Reviewer's country:** Greece

**Science editor:** Fang-Fang Ji

**Date sent for review:** 2014-08-17 19:55

**Date reviewed:** 2014-10-05 01:30

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	PubMed Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript is a fair attempt to summarize the most important literature results on RGC/GCC measurement by means of SD-OCT and its value as a diagnostic and prognostic factor in the evaluation of glaucoma progression. Although it is not an original article but rather a review, it is well written and comprehensive. I would just advise the authors to shorten their introduction and avoid plagiarism. Finally, I strongly believe they should create a table to sum up the findings of the most important studies. Such a thing would make it easier for the reader to take the core message of the manuscript and look for the right reference in the literature.

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Ophthalmology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 13288

**Title:** Review of Macular Ganglion Cell Complex Analysis Using SD-OCT for Glaucoma Assessment

**Reviewer's code:** 00505222

**Reviewer's country:** South Korea

**Science editor:** Fang-Fang Ji

**Date sent for review:** 2014-08-17 19:55

**Date reviewed:** 2014-10-10 10:58

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	PubMed Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear authors, This manuscript is very good and well summarized about macular ganglion cell complex analysis by various kinds of SD- OCT. I would just advise the authors to add a table summarizing the results of the studies you reviewed in this manuscript. Finally please label each figure so that readers can easily differentiate them.