

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 17505

Title: Colorectal stenting; palliation and bridge to surgery. Experience of 5 years

Reviewer's code: 00503404

Reviewer's country: Hungary

Science editor: Ya-Juan Ma

Date sent for review: 2015-03-11 09:58

Date reviewed: 2015-03-13 04:49

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	language polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Authors report the success and survival rates of colonic stenting in a relatively large number of CRC patients. There are some questions that need to be addressed before the paper can be further assessed Comments; 1. The results should be clearly better structured, please include subheading for the bridge and palliation groups, adverse events/complications should be summarized in a table 2. Please add data on time from stenting to surgery 3. the analysis of survival is misleading, it is clearly not surgery BUT stage that is associated with the OS, since advanced patients were of course prevented from surgery. Thus a different interpretation is needed here and a stage stratified Kaplan-Meier analysis and Figure should be added 4. In addition, survival is not directly related to the stenting. If authors want to report on this they should collect another CRC patients cohort with similar UICC stages and analysis the stage specific survival in patients who needed stenting or who didn't. This would clarify whether the need for stenting per se is affecting survival. Otherwise this part is useless.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 17505

Title: Colorectal stenting; palliation and bridge to surgery. Experience of 5 years

Reviewer's code: 00058361

Reviewer's country: Australia

Science editor: Ya-Juan Ma

Date sent for review: 2015-03-11 09:58

Date reviewed: 2015-03-14 08:21

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The ms describes the evaluation of colonic stents. The ms is well presented and tackles an important aspect in clinical colon cancer biology. A significant group of nearly 50 patients have been studied. Statistical analysis is well carried out. A minor point before acceptance can be warranted is the use and correction of some English grammar and editing issues.