

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 3203

Title: Characteristics and Predictors of Complications and Survival in Patients Undergoing Colonic Stenting for Malignant Colorectal Obstruction

Reviewer code: 00503453

Science editor: Zhai, Huan-Huan

Date sent for review: 2013-04-15 16:39

Date reviewed: 2013-04-15 17:18

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

good paper accept it please cite WSES guidelines on colonic obstruction

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 3203

Title: Characteristics and Predictors of Complications and Survival in Patients Undergoing Colonic Stenting for Malignant Colorectal Obstruction

Reviewer code: 00058210

Science editor: Zhai, Huan-Huan

Date sent for review: 2013-04-15 16:39

Date reviewed: 2013-04-18 17:10

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Comments about the manuscript Characteristics and Predictors of Complications and Survival in Patients Undergoing Colonic Stenting for Malignant Colorectal Obstruction The authors aimed to predict complications after stent placement for colonic obstruction either in a palliative or a curative attempt. The purpose of the study is interesting and could help to select patients who could be good candidate for stent. The authors should nevertheless indicate in the manuscript that there is currently a debate on the oncological safety of the stent (Sabbagh et al, ann surg, 2013). Major comments: 1/ In the material and methods chapter, the authors should define what an unresectable cancer is. Idem for palliative vs curative intent. 2/ The authors should revise the statistics in the results chapter. There is a mistake in this part "On univariable analysis, none of the following variables predicted the development of complications from SEMS insertion: age of the patients OR 1.02 (95%CI; 0.95 to 1.10), patients sex OR 2.37 (95%CI; 0.69 to 8.14), the time between the onset of symptoms to SEMS insertion OR 1.01 (95%CI; 0.99 to 1.03), the time between SEMS insertion and surgery OR 1.02 (95%CI; 0.85 to 1.22), the length of the stenosis OR 1.12 (95%CI; 0.70 to 1.80), location of the stenosis OR 1.03 (95%CI; 0.97 to 1.08), albumin level OR 0.98 (95%CI; 0.90 to 1.06), receiving neoadjuvant chemotherapy OR 1.38 (95%CI; 0.39 to 4.88)". Odd ratio are multivariate analysis and not univariate analysis if the authors mean multivariate they should give the outcomes of the univariate first and then included only significant variables in the multivariate analysis. 3/ Most importantly what is the primary criteria of the study. 4/ Do you really think that patients with extracolonic tumors must be selected for the purpose of this study? For me they corresponded to another issue. 5/ your univariate analysis for complication is very unclear : - what are the corresponding complications (perforation, failure,