

## ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 11987

**Title:** Centralized isolation of *Helicobacter pylori* in a large number of clinical samples from multiple centers and its influencing factors.

**Reviewer code:** 02923968

**Science editor:** Yuan Qi

**Date sent for review:** 2014-06-16 21:57

**Date reviewed:** 2014-06-18 18:59

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This study described the optimal carrying conditions of *H. pylori* infected gastric tissue samples. Authors researched two factors that effects *H. pylori* culture; time and temperature. Authors determined the prevalence of *H. pylori* by culture and the transportation of specimens within 24 h and under a low natural temperature is feasible. I think that this manuscript can be published in World J Gastroenterol, given the following recommendations are taken into account: 1- Literature summary about antibacterial resistance is large and not necessary in introduction and discussion section. The aim of the paper is different. Author may exclude these sentences. 2- Class 2A and 3A hospitals should be described. 3- In material method section: authors do not inform about usage of any antibiotic supplement (like Skirrow supplement) to avoid bacterial contamination. 4- Only two conditions were researched but the title of the paper do not reflect this fact. Authors may rewrite the title.

## ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 11987

**Title:** Centralized isolation of *Helicobacter pylori* in a large number of clinical samples from multiple centers and its influencing factors.

**Reviewer code:** 02954663

**Science editor:** Yuan Qi

**Date sent for review:** 2014-06-16 21:57

**Date reviewed:** 2014-06-21 15:31

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is the largest number of *Helicobacter* cultures which I have seen. The manuscript is well written, the methodology is correct. Identification of factors which influence the success/failure of cultures is especially important, because unfortunately in most countries, culture of the bacterium is a problem. I propose the priority publishing of the manuscript.