

## 5-ASA 5-ASA ESPS Peer-review Report

**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 8983

**Title:** Cronkhite-Canada syndrome: report of 6 cases and review

**Reviewer code:** 02548034

**Science editor:** Ma, Ya-Juan

**Date sent for review:** 2014-01-16 16:17

**Date reviewed:** 2014-01-24 20:20

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

**Summary** The authors reported 6 cases of Cronkhite-Canada Syndrome, a rare but potentially fatal disease of unknown etiology and treatment. There have been more than 450 reported cases worldwide (including approximately 50 cases in China). Reports of this rare disease may be of interest and of value in providing treatment experiences; however, the information presented in this study does not provide substantial contribution to the current body of knowledge. Overall, the manuscript is poorly-written. Substantial revision and professional copyediting are needed before consideration for further review. **Specific Comments** 1. Information provided in Table 1 is difficult to read and requires revision. 2. The meaning of the lines in Figure 4 is ambiguous. Do they represent frequencies, episodes, severity, or what else? 3. Discussion should be more concise, referenced, shortened, and relevant to the reported cases since more extensive reviews can be found elsewhere.

## ESPS Peer-review Report

**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 8983

**Title:** Cronkhite-Canada syndrome: report of 6 cases and review

**Reviewer code:** 00183658

**Science editor:** Ma, Ya-Juan

**Date sent for review:** 2014-01-16 16:17

**Date reviewed:** 2014-01-27 11:04

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The article from China is aim to investigate the clinical features and potential therapies for Cronkhite-Canada syndrome. The title is "Cronkhite-Canada syndrome: report of 6 cases and review". I have some questions. The authors should to be clarified and be added the following issues in the text.

1. Cronkhite-Canada syndrome is a rare non-congenital gastrointestinal polyposis syndrome. However, this study is a retrospective study. Some limitations might be occurred.
2. How do the authors do to diagnose Cronkhite-Canada syndrome? Are there any diagnostic tools to confirm the diagnosis? How about the sensitivity and specificity of these tools? Please add these issues in the text.
3. Please differential diagnosis of this syndrome from other diseases.
4. What is the clinical impact of this report?
5. The clinical application of the study is very important. The authors should to be recommended the readers to apply this knowledge into routine clinical practice.

Thank you so much

## ESPS Peer-review Report

**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 8983

**Title:** Cronkhite-Canada syndrome: report of 6 cases and review

**Reviewer code:** 00503404

**Science editor:** Ma, Ya-Juan

**Date sent for review:** 2014-01-16 16:17

**Date reviewed:** 2014-02-21 14:50

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a case series of a rare disorder. Comments; 1. Manuscript could benefit from proofread by native speaker

# ESPS Peer-review Report

**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 8983

**Title:** Cronkhite-Canada syndrome: report of 6 cases and review

**Reviewer code:** 02822953

**Science editor:** Ma, Ya-Juan

**Date sent for review:** 2014-01-16 16:17

**Date reviewed:** 2014-02-24 14:06

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1. Given the rarity of this disease the authors need to begin with the accepted rigorous clinical and pathological diagnostic criteria. Then they can outline which of these clinical and pathological characteristics was used to diagnose each patient, thereby convincing the reader of the diagnosis in each of the six cases. 2. The authors introduction should include a brief review of currently accepted diagnostic algorithms and etiological theory. 3. Discussion of the correct diagnosis of these patients. Demonstrating exclusion criteria and why these patients were included. 4. Since the authors are trying to make the point of autoimmune etiology, it may be helpful to graph each of the autoimmune markers discussed (ASCA, ANA, and response to steroids). This would provide support to their argument.

## ESPS Peer-review Report

**Name of Journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 8983

**Title:** Cronkhite-Canada syndrome: report of 6 cases and review

**Reviewer code:** 00009417

**Science editor:** Ma, Ya-Juan

**Date sent for review:** 2014-01-16 16:17

**Date reviewed:** 2014-02-25 03:14

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript focussed on Cronkhite-Canada syndrome gives a clinical and pathophysiological review. A cohort of 6 patients is demonstrated. Criticism 1. New findings of the syndrome should be better addressed. 2. Figure 3: The hyperplastic and juvenile polyps should be better illustrated.