

Dear Sir,

Thank you very much for your review. We have revised the manuscript as you have advised. The editing company certificate was provided and the images were uploaded again. We hope they are satisfactory now. The first figure is the screenshot of the ultrasound of the medulla; ultrasound pictures are always a little blurred due to the nature of the resolution of the machine and the imaging properties of the ultrasound. Other photos were taken under the microscope. Here, the centre of the image is the sharpest and the margins cannot be in focus, since the distance from the objective to the objects (skin, muscle, spinal cord and nerves) differ. We hope that the revisions are now satisfactory for the acceptance of the article.

Yours sincerely,

Tomaz Velnar

2019-04-23

Dear Sir or Madam,

Thank you very much for your review and the comments, which were very valuable to us for the revision. We have revised the text as you have advised. The guidelines were followed and the Informed consent was added, as well as the Audio Core Tip. The text was prepared according to the case report instructions and template and we hope it is satisfactory now. The issues raised by the reviewers have been discussed point-by-point below. We hope that the revisions are now satisfactory for the acceptance of the article.

Yours sincerely,

Tomaz Velnar

Answer to the reviewers

Dear Sir or Madam,

Thank you for the manuscript review and the comments, which were very valuable for us. We have revised the text to our best knowledge. You will find the answers below.

Reviewer 1

QUESTION 1: I read the article with interest. English language edition with correction of spelling and grammatical mistakes is needed. Introduction should be shortened. It has no new information but operative details are well described.

ANSWER 1: The language was edited and grammar corrected. The Introduction has been shortened slightly, so as not to remove all the important information for the reader that is needed for the understanding of the rest of the article.

Reviewer 2

QUESTION 2: The case described and the surgical procedure described by Velnar and co-authors is original. The paper is well written, so technically suitable for publication.

ANSWER 2: The language polishing has been done, with the native speaker reading.

Reviewer 3

QUESTION 1: No specific comments. Language polishing.

ANSWER 1: The text of the article has been corrected according to the recommendations and the spelling has been checked.