

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** office@baishideng.com https://www.wjgnet.com

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Hepatology

Manuscript NO: 90157

Title: Amebic Liver Abscess: An Update

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03202199 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: India

Manuscript submission date: 2023-11-25

Reviewer chosen by: Jia-Ru Fan

Reviewer accepted review: 2024-01-08 11:31

Reviewer performed review: 2024-01-11 14:47

Review time: 3 Days and 3 Hours

Scientific quality	[Y] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good
	[] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[Y] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	[Y] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No creativity or innovation



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** office@baishideng.com https://www.wjgnet.com

Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[Y] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This manuscript discusses the types of amebic liver abscess, pathogenesis characteristics, clinical manifestations, diagnostic methods and so on, which is of some significance. However, there are several points that need to be revised and improved, such as the abstract should be able to reflect the main content and viewpoints of the full text; the database source, time, and selection of the literature should be provided; the discussion part should also summarize the content of the review, and it should have its own viewpoints and reflections; because it is a review, the references should be mainly in the last three years. It is suggested to publish it after revision and improvement.