



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: editorialoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Psychiatry

ESPS Manuscript NO: 10373

Title: A preliminary study into associative stigma in trainee psychiatrists in Flanders, Belgium

Reviewer code: 02445272

Science editor: Ling-Ling Wen

Date sent for review: 2014-03-31 13:32

Date reviewed: 2014-04-19 15:12

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)		<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

General comment Generally, this is an interested study. However, the presentation of obtained results is insufficient. The result section was insufficient. In the Experience of stigmatization: It was described that the average total stigma score was 15.8, and 1.3% of young doctors remembered stigma. However, how to calculate these values is unclear. Also, descriptions such as three quarters of all trainee.. and more than half of them... were not insufficient. The authors should explained the data of Table 2 more appropriately without presenting only a few results of some questions. In the Descriptive result: The authors showed points of the number and percentage of each four answers; never, once, more than one and often in Table 2. However, more important information is results of the comparison between young and senior trainees, trainees working in adult psychiatry and in child or youth psychiatry, or biologically oriented trainees and the others. Indeed, authors referred the results of these comparison in the Descriptive result section. However, only statistical values but not points of these subgroups were described. Further details of results are needed to be described and more tables or figures are required to added to present these data. Minor comments, In the introduction: A brief description about educational programs for trainee psychiatrists in the location, Flanders Belgium, is helpful to understand the results. On page 5, meaning of the sentence 'the reasons why psychiatrists... be mainly idealistic' is not clear and more description for the meaning of 'idealistic' is needed.



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: editorialoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Psychiatry

ESPS Manuscript NO: 10373

Title: A preliminary study into associative stigma in trainee psychiatrists in Flanders, Belgium

Reviewer code: 02465274

Science editor: Ling-Ling Wen

Date sent for review: 2014-03-31 13:32

Date reviewed: 2014-05-03 00:56

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Important topic addressin a critical issue in clinical practice and professional training. In our view, this manuscript from additional details with respect to: 1. Demographics of participants (age, gender) and their training enviroment (rural vs urban) 2. How this questionnaire was developed? 3. What methodology was used to select these questions? 4. Any predictions if the results could be replicated in French-speaking part of Belgium or other countries or the results are specific only to the Flemish population?



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: editorialoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Psychiatry

ESPS Manuscript NO: 10373

Title: A preliminary study into associative stigma in trainee psychiatrists in Flanders, Belgium

Reviewer code: 02915488

Science editor: Ling-Ling Wen

Date sent for review: 2014-03-31 13:32

Date reviewed: 2014-05-10 10:16

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I believe this article has an important place, and is a good starting point for research into the area of stigmatization of trainee psychiatrists. A bit of polishing with wording is needed and a more explicit Procedure section should be developed. Overall, good work.