



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 49363

Title: Laparoscopy-assisted pylorus-preserving gastrectomy for early gastric cancer: A retrospective study of long-term functional outcomes and quality of life

Reviewer’s code: 01207071

Reviewer’s country: Japan

Science editor: Jia-Ping Yan

Reviewer accepted review: 2019-06-03 13:56

Reviewer performed review: 2019-06-06 04:35

Review time: 2 Days and 14 Hours

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer’s expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors conducted retrospective case control study for long term functional comparison between LAPPG and LADG. The manuscript is generally well written with some minor grammatic error of articles and typos ("Willcoxon", "several of the



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

benefit"). The major limitation of this study which is not addressed in the manuscript is that LADG contains only Billroth II reconstruction. Therefore, long term functional difference between LAPPG and LADG might be from diversion of duodenum rather than preservation of pylorus. At least the abstract should contain information of Billroth II. The conclusion should reflect the aim of this study.

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No

BPG Search:

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 49363

Title: Laparoscopy-assisted pylorus-preserving gastrectomy for early gastric cancer: A retrospective study of long-term functional outcomes and quality of life

Reviewer’s code: 03262127

Reviewer’s country: Russia

Science editor: Jia-Ping Yan

Reviewer accepted review: 2019-06-01 03:56

Reviewer performed review: 2019-06-07 07:25

Review time: 6 Days and 3 Hours

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer’s expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> General
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

OCID number - ORCID is right. according to the patient’s needs and condition (Abstract, Core tip and Discussion) - this phrase is unclear. I recommend to improve it. An esophagogastroduodenoscopy was performed annually, and the patient was



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

evaluated for the presence of bile reflux and the grade of residual food according to the following criteria; no food residue, liquid only, soft diet residue, and nearly normal diet residue). - Page 9. The bracket at the end of the sentence should be removed. ranging from 2 to 5 years postoperation. - Page 9 and many times later (e.g., three times on Page 12) - postoperatively is better. smaller decreased in gastrin levels - Page 15 - decrease is right. hemoglobin levels might be associated with the lower functional scores (Page 15) - I think this phrase is wrong. It will be changed to "the lower functional scores might be associated with the lower hemoglobin levels". blood loos (Table 1) - loss is right. OoL (Table 3) - QoL is right. Dysphasia (Table 3) - maybe dysphagia?? The references should be constructed in strict accordance to the Instruction for Authors and should be uniform. E.g., the Titles of Journals will be presented with word capitalizations (as in PubMed). To be corrected.

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No

BPG Search:

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No