



# BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Radiology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 12610

**Title:** Imaging of bone metastasis - An update

**Reviewer's code:** 02669968

**Reviewer's country:** Turkey

**Science editor:** Yue-Li Tian

**Date sent for review:** 2014-07-19 15:56

**Date reviewed:** 2014-11-18 21:23

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

### COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This review paper entitled 'Imaging of Bone metastasis - An Update' includes valuable information about variable imaging methods on bone metastases. I think this will be interesting for readers.



# BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Radiology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 12610

**Title:** Imaging of bone metastasis - An update

**Reviewer's code:** 00503828

**Reviewer's country:** Japan

**Science editor:** Yue-Li Tian

**Date sent for review:** 2014-07-19 15:56

**Date reviewed:** 2014-10-23 20:09

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

### COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The paper review the methods to detect bone metastasis. The paper is well-written and educational.

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Radiology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 12610

**Title:** Imaging of bone metastasis - An update

**Reviewer's code:** 02793333

**Reviewer's country:** Switzerland

**Science editor:** Yue-Li Tian

**Date sent for review:** 2014-07-19 15:56

**Date reviewed:** 2014-11-21 00:30

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

### COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Paragraph "Nuclear medicine: ... recent review by Cuccurullo and colleagues[2] (comment2: it may not be appropriate to refer to a review that for common readers has to be purchased. Comment2: "Tc99m-MDP, [18F]-labelled sodium fluoride, Ga-68, iodine-131, [18F] fluoride, Indium-111 pentetreotide, of F18 FDG" The author confuses with different non-standard nomenclatures, which is not acceptable. The author should follow IUPAC recommendations, e. g., 99mTc-MDP. Comment3: it should be pointed out, that SPECT alone or PET alone is not state of the art. Comment4: it should be pointed out, that all current hybrid imaging devices (SPECT/CT, PET/CT, and PET/MRISPECT are (semi-) quantitative providing a standardized uptake value. Comment5: more tumor specific tracers already exist and have not been mentioned by the author: e. g. 124I-MIBG, 124I, 123I, 131I, 3-deoxy-3-18F-fluorothymidine, 99mTc-labeled small-molecule inhibitors of prostate-specific membrane antigen, and so on). For new tracers, the Molecular Imaging and Contrast Agent Database, MICAD, should be referred, <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov>. Comment 6: a number of 18 references is too short for a review paper, e. g. the author should cite the classical guidelines, such as the NCCN Task Force Report 2013: Bone Health in Cancer Care.