

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology

Manuscript NO: 56999

Title: Myasthenia gravis and pregnancy

Reviewer's code: 00012973

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Chief Physician

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2020-05-22

Reviewer chosen by: Ya-Juan Ma

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-09-18 03:17

Reviewer performed review: 2020-09-24 11:02

Review time: 6 Days and 7 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The review provides a reasonable summary of the relationship between myasthenia gravis and pregnancy. Obviously, the authors already did an excellent work , but still have some problems in detail which I have to propose. Which confuse me a lot is that in the part of “MG DEVELOPMENT IN PREGNANCY”, most of the content is about “the diagnosis of MG”. Maybe more words associated with the development of MG during pregnancy will be benefit. Otherwise, the part of “MG DEVELOPMENT IN PREGNANCY” and “EFFECTS OF PREGNANCY ON MG” seem can be merged, because of their common direction of discussion. In addition, too many papers were cited to clarify a same opinion, which may make readers can’t get enough and reliable information from this manuscript. The last one problem I want to mention is that the part of “CONCLUSION” is a little bit thin. The most important content of a review- the author's personal summary and outlook is missing. Hope the authors can revise their manuscript better and look forward to seeing their work published.