



ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS manuscript NO: 31057

Title: Coexisting tubular adenoma with a high-grade neuroendocrine carcinoma of colon allowing early surgical intervention and implicating a shared stem cell origin

Reviewer's code: 00573611

Reviewer's country: Taiwan

Science editor: Yuan Qi

Date sent for review: 2016-10-28 15:03

Date reviewed: 2016-11-04 10:19

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In this study, the authors presented a unique case of a large cell high-grade colonic neuroendocrine carcinomas (NECs) of ascending colon that was identified during a routine colonoscopic surveillance, due to its coexistence with a tubular adenoma (TA). The authors concluded that the co-existence of TA with high grade-NEC in this case allowed early identification and intervention of the otherwise asymptomatic but aggressive tumor. The finding of a high-grade NEC within a large TA in this case suggested a link between the two lesions and could represent a shared stem cell origin. Comments This is an interesting case report. The manuscript is well-written. The reviewer has some minor concerns as follows: 1. The images in Figure 1 are really unclear; especially in A, there is nothing under arrow. 2. The description for Figure 3A and 3B in the Results is lacking.