



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, United States

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242 Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com <http://www.wjgnet.com>

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 6803

Title: Rho/ROCK signaling in motility and metastasis of gastric cancer

Reviewer code: 00003642

Science editor: Cui, Xue-Mei

Date sent for review: 2013-10-29 18:22

Date reviewed: 2013-11-02 12:54

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The review is comprehensive and includes updated knowledge of the expression of Rho/ROCK in a few cancers including gastric cancers. It also details on the two types of movements that ROCK is involved in. It then covers the potential therapeutic aspects targeting Rho/ROCK. I have a comment: The authors should separate ROCK into ROCK1 and ROCK2, as they have different functions and also different regulators (e.g. RhoE for ROCK1 and not ROCK2) and possibly different downstream target.

ESPS Peer-review Report
Name of Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

ESPS Manuscript NO: 6803

Title: Rho/ROCK signaling in motility and metastasis of gastric cancer

Reviewer code: 00069467

Science editor: Cui, Xue-Mei

Date sent for review: 2013-10-29 18:22

Date reviewed: 2013-11-17 22:13

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Matsuoka T and Yashiro M reviewed the roles which Rho/ROCK signaling pathway played as well as proposed mechanisms by which Rho/ROCK signaling pathway worked in the motility and metastasis of gastric cancer in the review presented. On balance, the review is of importance and essence in regard to the significance with sufficient novelty in the setting of gastric cancer. However, there are still some concerns should be addressed fully prior to its publication in World Journal of Gastroenterology. (1) First of all, there is shortage of readability in this review, which should and had better be polished and checked by an English native speaker/editor before submission. For instance, frequent somewhere in the review, it is simply reference-packed rather than informatively-organized and readable; (2) Secondly, the review seems to be somehow longer than it could be, which was built on two aspects, that is motility and metastasis. To be readable and focus, the paper presented could be divided into two serial reviews over which deserves your thinking; (3) Third, with regards the relevant contents of Rho/ROCK family (RHOA, RHOB and RHOC), it would be better to list in a table rather than in word form; (4) Figure 1 here is not helpful in illustrating the information the authors delivered. It would be suggestion that the figure 1 here should be redone.