

# PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery* 

Manuscript NO: 83451

Title: Spleen-preserving distal pancreatectomy from multi-port to reduced-port surgery

approach

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03723046

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Attending Doctor, Lecturer

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: Taiwan

Manuscript submission date: 2023-02-04

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-02-18 01:59

Reviewer performed review: 2023-02-18 03:24

Review time: 1 Hour

	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C:
Scientific quality	Good
	[ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Good [ Y] Grade C: Fair [ ] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Good [Y] Grade C: Fair
this manuscript	[ ] Grade D: No creativity or innovation





Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Good [Y] Grade C: Fair [ ] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This mini-review was well designed and the literature review was collected, and data was relatively detailed. A few comments were as follows: 1. please revise Figure1. (the flowchart). 2. Please made some explanation of the novelty of this review in the discussion section. 3. Please carefully check the meaning of the long sentence for the precise meaning.



# PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery* 

Manuscript NO: 83451

Title: Spleen-preserving distal pancreatectomy from multi-port to reduced-port surgery

approach

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03647581

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor, Surgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Italy

Author's Country/Territory: Taiwan

Manuscript submission date: 2023-02-04

Reviewer chosen by: Geng-Long Liu

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-03-08 21:05

Reviewer performed review: 2023-03-08 21:25

Review time: 1 Hour

	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C:
Scientific quality	Good
	[ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [ ] Grade C: Fair [ ] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [ ] Grade C: Fair
this manuscript	[ ] Grade D: No creativity or innovation



# Baishideng

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Good [ Y] Grade C: Fair [ ] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<ul> <li>[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority)</li> <li>[ ] Minor revision [ Y] Major revision [ ] Rejection</li> </ul>
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous       [] Onymous         Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes       [Y] No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

To the best of my knowledge this is the first review exploring outcomes and spleen preservation rates of reduced/single port distal pancreatectomy, either robotic or laparoscopic. The topic seems to be worthy of investigation since all minimally invasve procedures are more and more technically demanding and it is natural to wonder whether it is appropriate to approach them with a single port technique. few articles on this topic have been published, so the results of this review are limited to the feasibility and safety of the procedures. moreover, the complication and spleen preservation rates suffer from a considerable selection bias as it is certainly the simplest cases to be approached with the single port technique and this must be reported by the authors in a reviewed version of their manuscript. Ultimately, I advise authors to remove the language editor's comments in the version they submit in the future. in the one I just reviewed they are all visible.



# **RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT**

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery* 

Manuscript NO: 83451

**Title:** Spleen-preserving distal pancreatectomy from multi-port to reduced-port surgery approach

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03647581

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor, Surgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Italy

Author's Country/Territory: Taiwan

Manuscript submission date: 2023-02-04

Reviewer chosen by: Jia-Ping Yan

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-04-02 07:31

Reviewer performed review: 2023-04-02 07:36

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<ul> <li>[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing</li> <li>[ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection</li> </ul>
Conclusion	<ul> <li>[ ] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority)</li> <li>[ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection</li> </ul>
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous





statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors have answered to all my concerns raised for the first version of the manuscript.