

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**Manuscript NO:** 53099

**Title:** Effects of long non-coding RNA OI -A on colon cancer cell resistance against oxaliplatin by regulating miR-137

**Reviewer's code:** 05079464

**Position:** Editorial Board

**Academic degree:** DDS, PhD

**Professional title:** Doctor, Professor, Research Scientist, Teacher

**Reviewer's country:** Mexico

**Author's country:** China

**Manuscript submission date:** 2019-12-04

**Reviewer chosen by:** Jie Wang

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2019-12-14 23:47

**Reviewer performed review:** 2020-01-06 20:21

**Review time:** 22 Days and 20 Hours

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
**https://**www.wjgnet.com

## **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

1 Title. Does the title reflect the main subject/hypothesis of the manuscript? yes 2  
Abstract. Does the abstract summarize and reflect the work described in the manuscript?  
yes 3 Key words. Do the key words reflect the focus of the manuscript? yes 4  
Background. Does the manuscript adequately describe the background, present status  
and significance of the study? yes 5 Methods. Does the manuscript describe methods  
(e.g., experiments, data analysis, surveys, and clinical trials, etc.) in adequate detail? yes  
6 Results. Are the research objectives achieved by the experiments used in this study?  
What are the contributions that the study has made for research progress in this field?  
1.Yes 2.The contributions of this study of was evaluate the presences of OIP5-AS1 and  
the interaction with miR-137 in the behavior of the colon cancer 7 Discussion. Does the  
manuscript interpret the findings adequately and appropriately, highlighting the key  
points concisely, clearly and logically? Are the findings and their applicability/relevance  
to the literature stated in a clear and definite manner? Is the discussion accurate and  
does it discuss the paper's scientific significance and/or relevance to clinical practice  
sufficiently? 1. Yes 2. Yes 3. Yes 8 Illustrations and tables. Are the figures, diagrams  
and tables sufficient, good quality and appropriately illustrative of the paper contents?  
Do figures require labeling with arrows, asterisks etc., better legends? 1.yes 2.no 9  
Biostatistics. Does the manuscript meet the requirements of biostatistics? yes 10 Units.  
Does the manuscript meet the requirements of use of SI units? yes 11 References. Does  
the manuscript cite appropriately the latest, important and authoritative references in  
the introduction and discussion sections? Does the author self-cite, omit, incorrectly cite  
and/or over-cite references? 1.yes 2.NO 12 Quality of manuscript organization and  
presentation. Is the manuscript well, concisely and coherently organized and presented?  
Is the style, language and grammar accurate and appropriate? 1.YES 2.YES 13 Research

methods and reporting. Authors should have prepared their manuscripts according to manuscript type and the appropriate categories, as follows: (1) CARE Checklist (2013) - Case report; (2) CONSORT 2010 Statement - Clinical Trials study, Prospective study, Randomized Controlled trial, Randomized Clinical trial; (3) PRISMA 2009 Checklist - Evidence-Based Medicine, Systematic review, Meta-Analysis; (4) STROBE Statement - Case Control study, Observational study, Retrospective Cohort study; and (5) The ARRIVE Guidelines - Basic study. Did the author prepare the manuscript according to the appropriate research methods and reporting? YES 14 Ethics statements. For all manuscripts involving human studies and/or animal experiments, author(s) must submit the related formal ethics documents that were reviewed and approved by their local ethical review committee. Did the manuscript meet the requirements of ethics? YES

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS First. (a) This manuscript is interesting, and original. They established new hypothesis about treatment and resistance of CC. (b) the author evaluated to relation between miR-137 and OIP5-AS1 and the resistances of treatment and progression. (c) yes Second. (a) This manuscript is interesting and provide data about CC behavior and resistance treatment (b) the author 8cevaluate the relation between miR-137 and OIP5-AS1 and failure and the success of the treatment. (c) they not proposed new methods in this study . (d) yes (e) yes (f) yes Third. (a) they not studied the relation of OIP5-AS1 and miR-137 in nude mice. And the prognosis need more studies and they need more basic experiments. (b) about new therapies treatment (c) the efficacy of inhibitions of OIP5-AS1 (d) they need more studies, they need basic studies (e) this publication is very interesting because is evaluate the OIP-AS1 participation in CC, and determine its inhibition by miR-137 . Comments to the authors The manuscript is very interesting well documented, and they explain in detail each part of this , the discussion and conclusion are interesting and provide new important information and hypothesis



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
**<https://www.wjgnet.com>**

## INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

### *Google Search:*

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

### *BPG Search:*

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**Manuscript NO:** 53099

**Title:** Effects of long non-coding RNA OI -A on colon cancer cell resistance against oxaliplatin by regulating miR-137

**Reviewer's code:** 05085948

**Position:** Associate Editor

**Academic degree:** MD, PhD

**Professional title:** Assistant Professor

**Reviewer's country:** Italy

**Author's country:** China

**Manuscript submission date:** 2019-12-04

**Reviewer chosen by:** Jie Wang

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2020-01-08 05:39

**Reviewer performed review:** 2020-01-12 08:50

**Review time:** 4 Days and 3 Hours

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
**<https://www.wjgnet.com>**

#### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

Interesting and well conducted work. It might open new scenarios in evaluating the efficacy of colon cancer OHP-based therapies. I think it'll be better if you would reduce the too many achronimous, whose significance is often omitted and improve english language quality.

#### **INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT**

##### ***Google Search:***

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ [ Y ] No

##### ***BPG Search:***

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ [ Y ] No