



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Orthopedics

ESPS manuscript NO: 20024

Title: Coronal shear fractures of distal humerus - diagnostic and treatment protocols

Reviewer's code: 03319860

Reviewer's country: Italy

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2015-05-30 12:39

Date reviewed: 2015-06-15 05:32

| CLASSIFICATION | LANGUAGE EVALUATION | SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT | CONCLUSION |
|--|--|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing | Google Search: | <input type="checkbox"/> [Y] Accept |
| <input type="checkbox"/> [Y] Grade B: Very good | <input type="checkbox"/> [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> [] The same title | <input type="checkbox"/> [] High priority for publication |
| <input type="checkbox"/> [] Grade C: Good | <input type="checkbox"/> [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> [] Duplicate publication | <input type="checkbox"/> [] Rejection |
| <input type="checkbox"/> [] Grade D: Fair | <input type="checkbox"/> [] Grade D: Rejected | <input type="checkbox"/> [Y] No | <input type="checkbox"/> [] Minor revision |
| <input type="checkbox"/> [] Grade E: Poor | | BPG Search: | <input type="checkbox"/> [] Major revision |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> [] The same title | |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> [] Duplicate publication | |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> [] Plagiarism | |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> [Y] No | |

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors performed an interesting review about the coronal shear fractures of distal humerus. It's written in a simple way, but complete and detailed. The English language is essential, but clear. The introduction, with general informations about the anatomy, classification, clinical evaluation and imaging, is really useful. Also the review of literature is useful and detailed. The treatment strategies are also described in a simple but useful way, and also the figures can be appreciated. This review, even if short and essential, could be useful and clear for the readers and it could be accepted for publication.