

Dear Reviewer,

We feel great thanks for your professional review work on our article. As you are concerned, there are several problems that need to be addressed. According to your nice suggestions, we have made extensive corrections to our previous draft, the detailed corrections are listed below:

AQ 1. It is a very interesting case report. However, it needs major revision, especially regarding the timeline of the events. It is extremely hard to follow - and prove - things that have happened in 1981, i.e. more than forty years from now. I highly appreciate this serious attempt, but documenting medical problems of forty years before is a problem.

Answer: Thanks for your suggestion. However, The earliest medical history of this patient in our hospital cannot be found due to the relocation of the hospital and the earthquake. Therefore, We can only record previous information through the past medical history section of the patient's hospitalization records in our hospital in recent years. Fortunately, The electrocardiogram and cardiac ultrasound data from the hospital follow-up are enough for us to record the changes in the patient's heart. We have tried our best to improve the content of the article. Time modifications in the text have been highlighted in the text. Thank you again for your suggestions.

AQ 2. Please mind the calendar.... Even more, giving precise details (date of birth etc....) might lead to unacceptable ethical issue due to potential identification of the patient. Ensure anonymity.

Answer: We think this is an excellent suggestion. We have removed the section on the patient's date of birth as recommended. The modified part is in the highlighted part of the main complaint paragraph. Thanks for your suggestion.

AQ 3. The formule regarding menstruation is not understandable.

Answer: Thanks for your suggestion. We have changed the way we describe menstrual history. Changes are highlighted in yellow in the Personal and family history section.

AQ 4. Figure 1 is overlapped and unclear: please separate these into two-three clear insets.

Answer: As suggested, we split the three indicator changes in the previous figure into three figures and modified the text below the figure in accordance

with the journal's requirements. Our figure is placed at the end of the article.  
Thank you for your suggestion.