

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 62152

Title: Importance of clinical suspicion and multidisciplinary management for early diagnosis of a cardiac laminopathy patient: A case report

Reviewer's code: 05927046

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: Italy

Manuscript submission date: 2021-04-18

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-04-20 01:09

Reviewer performed review: 2021-04-21 02:31

Review time: 1 Day and 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgooffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In this manuscript, the heterozygous missense mutation (c.949G>A; p.Glu317Lys) in exon 6 of the lamin A/C (LMNA) gene was identified in patient. And a remote monitoring device was given to the patient. The findings of this study has some clinical significance. In this manuscript, there are few descriptions about the remote monitoring device, and one of the main findings of this study is the remote monitoring device. Therefore, the advantages of the remote monitoring device over other device should be emphasized.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 62152

Title: Importance of clinical suspicion and multidisciplinary management for early diagnosis of a cardiac laminopathy patient: A case report

Reviewer's code: 06011673

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: BSc, FRCP (C), MBChB, PhD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: United Kingdom

Author's Country/Territory: Italy

Manuscript submission date: 2021-04-18

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-04-21 13:48

Reviewer performed review: 2021-04-21 14:08

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Thank you for asking me to review this case report. This is an interesting case and of educational merit. However, it is not clear to me how the remote monitoring played any role in the management nor what the significance of this case presenting during Covid-19 lockdown. It is a nice case of a LMNA mutation, and while not very rare is a good learning case. I would suggest that reference to covid and remote monitoring is removed from the title or the authors make it more clear why they are relevant. There is no mention which remote monitoring device is used. Was a treadmill test post PCI considered to assess if correcting the coronary lesion has resolved VT risk? If VT occurred post PCI should ICD not have been considered sooner? There appear to be 12 authors – this is a lot for a case report – they need to justify inclusion of such a large number of individuals. Minor points Lipothymia is not a common word – would be better to use ‘syncope’. Bicameral also not commonly used – ‘dual chamber bradycardia pacemaker’ might be better.

RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 62152

Title: Importance of clinical suspicion and multidisciplinary management for early diagnosis of a cardiac laminopathy patient: A case report

Reviewer's code: 05927046

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: Italy

Manuscript submission date: 2021-04-18

Reviewer chosen by: Yun-Xiao Jian Wu

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-06-07 14:24

Reviewer performed review: 2021-06-08 01:14

Review time: 10 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The author made the revision according to the comments of reviewers, and I think it has basically reached the publication level.