

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Dermatology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 15308

**Title:** TRICHOSCOPY: Essentials for the Dermatologist

**Reviewer's code:** 00646519

**Reviewer's country:** Mexico

**Science editor:** Xue-Mei Gong

**Date sent for review:** 2014-11-22 11:27

**Date reviewed:** 2014-12-17 03:53

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	PubMed Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Authors in the manuscript describe trichoscopy utility for the dermatologist. My major concern is that the manuscript seems to be based on previous review papers of the same technique. The inclusion of only 5 references in a review denotes a scarce scientific literature search. Authors should provide an exhaustive literature review and a better structure of the manuscript. Typos should be corrected.

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Dermatology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 15308

**Title:** TRICHOSCOPY: Essentials for the Dermatologist

**Reviewer's code:** 00646537

**Reviewer's country:** Saudi Arabia

**Science editor:** Xue-Mei Gong

**Date sent for review:** 2014-11-22 11:27

**Date reviewed:** 2014-11-22 14:50

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	PubMed Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

It is an interesting article, but really does not contribute anything significantly new. The best way to improve the article would be to add a lot more images depicting each of the conditions mentioned. Without this it appears similar to many of the previous reviews on trichoscopy appearing in various other journals. Add a section on limitations/disadvantages of trichoscopy How can trichoscopy be used in patient counseling? The single most commonly used indication for trichoscopy is alopecia. You probably need to add more about this in the discussion. You could mention some of the diagnostic algorithms in use for alopecia using trichoscopy.

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Dermatology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 15308

**Title:** TRICHOSCOPY: Essentials for the Dermatologist

**Reviewer's code:** 00646563

**Reviewer's country:** Spain

**Science editor:** Xue-Mei Gong

**Date sent for review:** 2014-11-22 11:27

**Date reviewed:** 2014-12-11 23:20

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	PubMed Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The author give an interesting, well-written review on trichoscopic findings which may aid in the diagnosis of alopecia.

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Dermatology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 15308

**Title:** TRICHOSCOPY: Essentials for the Dermatologist

**Reviewer's code:** 00646464

**Reviewer's country:** Brazil

**Science editor:** Xue-Mei Gong

**Date sent for review:** 2014-11-22 11:27

**Date reviewed:** 2014-11-24 22:20

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	PubMed Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

It is an interesting paper. I suggest the addition of images displaying each of the mentioned conditions in order to improve this review. Some of the diagnostic algorithms at use for trichoscopy could also be added.