

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

ESPS manuscript NO: 18939

Title: Laparoscopic liver resection: Experience based guidelines

Reviewer's code: 01558248

Reviewer's country: Taiwan

Science editor: Jing Yu

Date sent for review: 2015-05-07 08:33

Date reviewed: 2015-07-16 15:27

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This was a review article which provided a lot of information for laparoscopic liver resection including the new or not new concepts. Please remained the new one and make this article more effective for readers. 1. references were to many and appeared order according to the instruction for authors. 2. section of "learning curve" needed to be informative 3 The patients in Table 1 were both benign and malignancy. Both had different story and the results were different as well. 4. Please make the manuscript more simple and informative, please LLR had been used more 20 yrs.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

ESPS manuscript NO: 18939

Title: Laparoscopic liver resection: Experience based guidelines

Reviewer's code: 00053659

Reviewer's country: Japan

Science editor: Jing Yu

Date sent for review: 2015-05-07 08:33

Date reviewed: 2015-07-13 12:28

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Fabricio Ferreira Coelho et al reviewed a laparoscopic liver resection and they summarized clinical outcomes. Overall manuscript is well written except references. I ask you to arrange or omit below references due to format errors. 1 is not a paper, just abstract. 6, 14, 17, 27, 28, 30, 33, 41, 53, 54, 56, 60, 62, 66, 76, 88, 111, 122, 114, 124, 129, 133, 135, 138, 165.