

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 22654

**Title:** EPITHELIAL TURNOVER IN DUODENAL FAMILIAL ADENOMATOUS POLYPOSIS: A POSSIBLE ROLE FOR ESTROGEN RECEPTORS?

**Reviewer's code:** 00068250

**Reviewer's country:** China

**Science editor:** Yuan Qi

**Date sent for review:** 2015-09-15 08:20

**Date reviewed:** 2015-11-03 11:10

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1 Data of clinical manifestation are inadequate for this cohort of patients. Although this study is retrospective, history of familial polyposis for these patients should be described briefly. 2 A table is suggested to list the basic clinical characteristics of this cohort. 3 Patients with "dyspeptic symptoms" should not be described as "healthy", and the endoscopy for them might be to detect insidious lesions. Selection of the cite and number of biopsy must be described. 4 There are typo and grammatical errors in the manuscript.

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 22654

**Title:** EPITHELIAL TURNOVER IN DUODENAL FAMILIAL ADENOMATOUS POLYPOSIS: A POSSIBLE ROLE FOR ESTROGEN RECEPTORS?

**Reviewer's code:** 02676022

**Reviewer's country:** South Korea

**Science editor:** Yuan Qi

**Date sent for review:** 2015-09-15 08:20

**Date reviewed:** 2015-11-04 20:44

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This paper is well written and the work was well done. The results are very interesting and bring new important knowledges in adenomatous polyposis.

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 22654

**Title:** EPITHELIAL TURNOVER IN DUODENAL FAMILIAL ADENOMATOUS POLYPOSIS: A POSSIBLE ROLE FOR ESTROGEN RECEPTORS?

**Reviewer's code:** 00183194

**Reviewer's country:** China

**Science editor:** Yuan Qi

**Date sent for review:** 2015-09-15 08:20

**Date reviewed:** 2015-11-10 18:20

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors investigate the expression of ERs in FAP duodenal carcinomas and their relationship with epithelial proliferation and apoptosis markers. They found that that ER-beta strongly decreases in duodenal FAP carcinomas in a multiple step way with a putative anti-carcinogenetic effect. ER-alpha has an opposite trend. Overall, the paper is well-written. I have the following comments: 1) The major limitation of this study is its small sample size, which needs to clarify in the discussion section. 2) The previous studies on the similar issue should be mentioned, and possible explanations turnover on the relationship between ERs and cellular are needed to be provided in detail.