

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 88712

Title: Analysis of the effectiveness of cognitive rehabilitation for patients with chronic mental illness: a meta-analysis

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05322345

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Croatia

Author's Country/Territory: South Korea

Manuscript submission date: 2023-10-07

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-10-08 13:16

Reviewer performed review: 2023-10-08 13:35

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="radio"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="radio"/> Grade C: Good <input type="radio"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="radio"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="radio"/> Grade B: Good <input type="radio"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="radio"/> Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="radio"/> Grade B: Good <input type="radio"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="radio"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation

Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This study entitled “Analysis of the effectiveness of cognitive rehabilitation for patients with chronic mental illness: a meta-analysis” seems to have been generally well executed and written. Furthermore, I believe that this paper will be of great interest to the readers. Finally, in my opinion the current work is without any major remarks. I have only a few minor suggestions to further improve the quality of this paper. **Keywords** Consider some additional MeSH keyword to readers easier identify our research (e.g., Cognitive Dysfunction; Cognition; Cognition Disorders). **Discussion.** Please state the main finding of your study in the first sentence of Discussion. To further emphasized the usefulness of cognitive rehabilitation, I recommend you to include in your Discussion the recent finding of Glumac et al (Med Sci Monit, 2019) who showed that a short preoperative cognitive training regime may reduce rates of cognitive decline following surgery.