PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [ ] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[ ] Yes [Y] No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

No

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

Manuscript NO: 68633

Title: Enlarged folds on endoscopic gastritis as a predictor for submucosal invasion of gastric cancers

Reviewer's code: 03723418

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MBBS, PhD

Professional title: Chief Doctor, Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: Japan

Manuscript submission date: 2021-05-29

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-05-31 09:23

Reviewer performed review: 2021-06-02 09:01

Review time: 1 Day and 23 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [ ] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[Y] Yes [ ] No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [ ] Anonymous [Y] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors in this study evaluated the predicting value of submucosal invasion by the endoscopy-based Kyoto classification of gastritis. The concluded that the enlarged folds of gastritis were associated with submucosal invasion. Preliminary review found that it seems an interesting, very well-prepared manuscript; the results presented are sound, convincing and potentially important. However, I think it is necessary to illustrate how the expert endoscopist determined the fold width based on the EGD images in this retrospective study. The same fold may present different width with different distance between mucosa and endoscopic lens. In general, this paper is suitable for publication in this journal if the authors resolve this problem.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

Manuscript NO: 68633

Title: Enlarged folds on endoscopic gastritis as a predictor for submucosal invasion of gastric cancers

Reviewer's code: 03735641

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: Japan

Manuscript submission date: 2021-05-29

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-06-01 23:50

Reviewer performed review: 2021-06-10 09:44

Review time: 8 Days and 9 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [Y] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [ ] Grade B: Minor language polishing [Y] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [Y] Rejection
Re-review	[ ] Yes [Y] No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

While I appreciate the effort of the work presented, this article reporting that submucosal invasion of gastric cancer could be predicted by endoscopic observation of background gastritis is unlikely of interest to World J Gastroenterology readers.