

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

**Manuscript NO:** 88088

**Title:** Endophthalmitis in silicone oil-filled eye: A case report

**Provenance and peer review:** Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 05333910

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MD

**Professional title:** Doctor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Malaysia

**Author's Country/Territory:** China

**Manuscript submission date:** 2023-09-09

**Reviewer chosen by:** Yu-Lu Chen

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2023-11-09 11:26

**Reviewer performed review:** 2023-11-12 10:22

**Review time:** 2 Days and 22 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation

<b>Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors highlight a case of silicone-oil filled eye with presumed acute postoperative endophthalmitis following a diabetic vitrectomy. The aqueous tap cultures were negative repeatedly. The study highlights a different approach to management using full dose intravitreal antibiotics three times repeatedly in a silicone-oil filled eye 24 hours apart with anterior chamber wash out with antibiotics. The management was successful with no signs of retinal toxicity seen. 1. It will be good to highlight if a steroid challenge was performed prior to the diagnosis of endophthalmitis. 2. How was retinal toxicity was assessed post-treatment? 3. It will be good to also mention the systemic control and status of the patient when he presented with the endophthalmitis. 4. 4. Please correct some grammatical errors as follows:Pg 5 line 117. 5. Please rephrase the sentence in pg 7 line 201-203 as it is unclear. 6. Please discuss the incidence of positive aqueous tap results in silicone filled eyes in previous publications.