

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Orthopedics

ESPS manuscript NO: 24117

Title: Orthopedic disorders of the knee in hemophilia - A current report

Reviewer's code: 02699758

Reviewer's country: Japan

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2016-01-12 08:45

Date reviewed: 2016-01-25 17:20

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors describe the aetiology, diagnosis and treatments of knee problems with haemophilia patients by referring to many papers, including their own. Even readers who are not familiar with haemophilia will be able understand the points to treat haemophilia patients after reading it. I recommend this paper as suitable for publication as a review manuscript in WJO without revision.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Orthopedics

ESPS manuscript NO: 24117

Title: Orthopedic disorders of the knee in hemophilia - A current report

Reviewer's code: 00484021

Reviewer's country: United States

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2016-01-12 08:45

Date reviewed: 2016-01-29 01:43

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The review manuscript presented by Dr. Rodriguez-Merchan introduces the original and follow-up studies which addressed the use of alternative diagnostic tools or treatments for hemophilic arthropathy. Furthermore, the paper performs a comparison in terms of advantages and disadvantages of one tool or treatment with another one. Considering the extensive technical knowledge and practical experience that the author has in this field, I believe this review is of great value to the hemophilia area and to World Journal of Orthopedics. However, in order to guarantee its publication, English grammar should be corrected and Core tips and conclusion should be re-written. Therefore, I will consider acceptance with major revisions.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Orthopedics

ESPS manuscript NO: 24117

Title: Orthopedic disorders of the knee in hemophilia - A current report

Reviewer's code: 03065340

Reviewer's country: Austria

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2016-01-12 08:45

Date reviewed: 2016-02-01 03:38

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors presented a very interesting and informative review article about current diagnostics and therapies for hemophilic arthropathy of the knee joint. The actual scientific status and the pathogenesis are explained - The clinical relevance was discussed. Title To my opinion, the title would benefit from a more detailed description of the study type as: "..... - A review article" or "..... - A current report" Abstract: It awakens the interest of the reader for this topic. However, as it's the exact same text as the conclusion-part, i would recommend to rewrite the abstract: write 2 introductive sentences, add the word "review article" or "report" in the abstract as well as a short sentence about the aim of the study. Introduction: Nice introduction to an interesting topic. Problems and open questions of hemophilic arthropathy are stated. Maybe also this part would benefit from a short sentence of the aim of the study. Pathogenesis: The pathogenesis of HA was well described, various studies were presented. - Sufficient Diagnostics No suggestions Therapy: Sufficient Conclusion: Sufficient. References: They included 56 references from various journals. The latest were from 2012. Figures: Nice figures / x-rays of haemophilic arthropathy and a possible treatment method. - Sufficient Generally, the manuscript could benefit from minor



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

edits for language. Some minor corrections are necessary for the text: Page 4:cartilage matrix formation and an increased (instead of "increases", or and increases.....) release of matrix components..... Page 10: Efficacy in chronic synovitis and pain was judged subjectively (instead of "subjetively") as effective..... Orthopaedic Treatment - Celecoxib - 1st paragraph, last sentence: Moreover, they (the) clearly state..... To my opinion, this manuscript can be recommended for publication in the "World Journal of Orthopaedics" if minor revisions were performed.