

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Hepatology

ESPS manuscript NO: 30920

Title: IP-10 as a predictive marker of antiviral hepatitis C treatment: A systematic review

Reviewer's code: 00051373

Reviewer's country: Taiwan

Science editor: Jin-Xin Kong

Date sent for review: 2016-10-28 10:24

Date reviewed: 2016-10-28 22:02

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a well written and comprehensive systemic review to explore the association between baseline levels of interferon- γ -inducible protein-10 and virological response to treatment with pegylated interferon and ribavirin among patients chronically infected with hepatitis C virus, genotype 1-4. It should be beneficial to all of our readers worldwide. In my own opinion, it should be accepted for publication without alteration.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Hepatology

ESPS manuscript NO: 30920

Title: IP-10 as a predictive marker of antiviral hepatitis C treatment: A systematic review

Reviewer's code: 00013065

Reviewer's country: Germany

Science editor: Jin-Xin Kong

Date sent for review: 2016-10-28 10:24

Date reviewed: 2016-11-10 23:19

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dr. Neesgaard and colleagues have presented an interesting systematic review article in which they aimed to summarize current case reports on the association of IP-10 with SVR/RVR in CHC patients under IFN/RBV treatment. As a result of their examination the authors conclude that there are is a correlation between baseline IP-10 and SVR of CHC with HCV-1 and -4 but not HCV-2 and -3. Overall, the review article is well performed and written and yet concise in its content. The tables are adequate. Actually there is no major criticism with regard to the content and sufficiency of the manuscript. However, as a minor comment, if there is any plausible explanation in terms of the differences between HCV1 and-4 compared to HCV-2 and -3 and correlation with IP-10, please, add a note in the discussion section. Did the authors exclude HBV co-infection?