



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Oncology

ESPS manuscript NO: 20597

Title: Is breast conservative surgery a reasonable option in multifocal or multicentric tumors?

Reviewer's code: 02562238

Reviewer's country: Spain

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2015-06-15 15:45

Date reviewed: 2015-07-28 15:46

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript reviews the literature on the option of BCS for multicentric/multifocal tumors. I consider this is a good review although I think it needs to focus more on the surgical issue that the authors are discussing. On the introduction the authors include many references on SLN for multicentric tumors and they explain about incidence and recurrences and I think this references should be reduced in the introduction and leave them for the use of SLN in this setting. In the last decade with the introduction of oncoplastic techniques, the surgical approach of multicentric tumors have changed. I think they should focus more on this studies and make a real discussion, one of the real indications for oncoplastic procedures is the multicentric and multifocal tumors, achieving negative margins and a good cosmetic results, that with conventional BCS could not be achieved, so I would emphasize this point with more references and discussion, maybe also discuss different surgical approaches depending where the tumors are located. The radiotherapy part is well discussed.



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

http://www.wjgnet.com

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Oncology

ESPS manuscript NO: 20597

Title: Is breast conservative surgery a reasonable option in multifocal or multicentric tumors?

Reviewer's code: 02678305

Reviewer's country: United States

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2015-06-15 15:45

Date reviewed: 2015-08-06 14:15

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Very relevant topic. The paper is difficult to read. Organization and presentation of the material could be much better. would recommend foci on the relevant clinical points. that is for Multi focal multi centric tumors 1- local recurrence risk compared with uni focal and radiation management 2- incidence of noel disease, 3- systemic risk 4- cosmetic results. I think if it was addressed in this way it would be easier to digest for readers. could also organize data / paper review