



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 40474

Title: Fungal dysbiosis predicts the diagnosis of pediatric Crohn’s disease

Reviewer’s code: 00503587

Reviewer’s country: New Zealand

Science editor: Ze-Mao Gong

Date sent for review: 2018-07-02

Date reviewed: 2018-07-08

Review time: 5 Days

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer’s expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This works assessed the patterns of the fungioeme in children with and without Crohn disease Specific Comments 1. The authors focus their work and the results arising as changes in the fungal patterns to be predictive of CD. I would interpret their results as showing that there are differences between the fungioeme in children with active CD than



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

in control children without CD. The concept of prediction is not shown by these data 2. The ABSTRACT has many details: does the abstract fit within the journal word limit? If not, there are many places where the abstract could be shortened 3. The INTRODUCTION includes the numbers of the subjects enrolled: these are results and should only be provided in the RESULTS section. 4. The RESULTS section would benefit from subheadings 5. The RESULTS doesn't mention the details of the control subjects. 6. Also, were there no diagnoses of ulcerative colitis or IBDU in this group? 7. mucosal biopsies were used for analyses. Were there any difference between the location of the mucosal biopsies? or were these all grouped together? 8. While the authors note that it is not possible to scope well children to obtain mucosal biopsies, have the looked at stool patterns in well children (who were not undergoing colonoscopy) 9. The term "Crohn's children" should not be used (as per standard convention) - this should be replaced with "children with Crohn disease". 10. The title " Shannon index" for Figure 2 and the title for Figure 3 are not adequate. A full title should be provided, followed by any required legend 11. Table 3 is huge. Does this all need to be provided? 12. There are many errors of English language, word usage and grammar. These should all be corrected

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No

BPG Search:



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 40474

Title: Fungal dysbiosis predicts the diagnosis of pediatric Crohn’s disease

Reviewer’s code: 00004011

Reviewer’s country: Greece

Science editor: Ze-Mao Gong

Date sent for review: 2018-07-02

Date reviewed: 2018-07-09

Review time: 7 Days

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer’s expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

very good manuscript

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No

BPG Search:

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No