

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Hepatology

ESPS manuscript NO: 25479

Title: Management of refractory ascites in cirrhosis: Are we out of date?

Reviewer's code: 02861175

Reviewer's country: Indonesia

Science editor: Jin-Xin Kong

Date sent for review: 2016-03-14 17:14

Date reviewed: 2016-03-15 00:37

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear author: there are same correction for your manuscript - table 1 was not be noticed in the manuscript - table 2 was be changed from portrait to landscape formatted.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Hepatology

ESPS manuscript NO: 25479

Title: Management of refractory ascites in cirrhosis: Are we out of date?

Reviewer's code: 01560862

Reviewer's country: Japan

Science editor: Jin-Xin Kong

Date sent for review: 2016-03-14 17:14

Date reviewed: 2016-03-17 16:37

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This manuscript entitled: Management of refractory ascites in cirrhosis: are we out of date 1. Please mention about concentrated ascites reinfusion therapy (CART) for refractory ascites. 2. Refractory ascites is defined fluid overload that is unresponsive to high-dose diuretics (spironolactone, furosemide). Tolvaptan is a non-peptide orally available arginine vasopressin V2 receptor antagonist, which has been indicated for heart failure. Recently, it became available for use in hepatic edema. Please add the review of the new type diuretics (Tolvaptan) for hepatic edema.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Hepatology

ESPS manuscript NO: 25479

Title: Management of refractory ascites in cirrhosis: Are we out of date?

Reviewer's code: 00053417

Reviewer's country: China

Science editor: Jin-Xin Kong

Date sent for review: 2016-03-14 17:14

Date reviewed: 2016-03-23 19:40

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Management of Ascites in Cirrhosis has been well documented in many papers, review articles and guidelines. In this comprehensive review article, the authors claim "As most of the management guidelines have significant limitations.... Our objective is to review the origins of our current management of refractory ascites and its limitations." Therefore, this review should focus on the current data, and point out the limitations of the guidelines, and suggest an update strategy. The other contains should be refined. There is a problem about the manuscript I received a draft manuscript with a lot of corrections on it. I am not sure whether or not it is a final version.